IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALTIMORE CITY.

CHARLES H.MOLLOCK

VS.

SARAH L.MOLIOCK

30800

BILL FOR DIVORCE

Mr.Clerk:-

Please file.

Fd 24" June 1926

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

CHARLES H.MOLLOCK

VS.

OF

SARAL L.MOLIOCK

:

:

:

BALTIMORE CITY.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:
Your Oretor complaining respectfully represents:

- That he was married to his wife, Sarah L.mollock on the 17th day of August, 1913 and with whom he resided until the 18th day of June, 1919 when the defendant described the plaintiff.
- That though the conduct of your Oretor towerds the said defendant has always been kind, effectionate and above reprosed, she has, without any just cause or reason, abandoned and described him and has declared her intentions to live with him no longer, and that such abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years and is deliberate and final and the separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable expectation of reconciliation.
- 3. That your Orator has not lived or co-habited with the said defendant since said desertion.
- 4. That there are no children born as issue of said marriage.
- 5. That both your Oretor end the defendent ere citizens of the State of Maryland having resided in Baltimore City for more than three years prior to the filing of this Bill of Complaint.

#### TC THE END, THUREFORE:

- (a) That your Oretor may be divorced a Vinculo Metrimonii from the seid Sarah L. Mollock.
- (b) That he may have such other and further relief as his case may require.

May it please your Honor to great unto your Orator the Writ of Subpoens directed against the said Serah L. Mollock, commanding and requiring her to be and appear in this Court on some day certain to be named therein to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree or order as may be passed therein.

AND as in duty bound, etc.

Nava Y EVALUA ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF.

Ct. Ct. Docket No. REISSUED TO. SOLICITOR

## **EQUITY SUBPOENA**

## The State of Maryland

Tn

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all excuses set aside, you do within the time limited by law, beginning on the second Monday of next

cause an appearance to be entered for you and your answer to be filed to the complaint of

Sarah I Mollock #817 Harlem Cevr

against you exhibited in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City,

HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril.

WITNESS, the Honorable JAMES P. GORTER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore

City, the

Issued the

day o

. .. // . ... /

y ual of

Clerk

MEMORANDUM: You are required to file your answer or other defense in the Clerk's Office, room 206, in the Court House, Baltimore City, within fifteen days after return day.

(General Equity Rules 11)

278 Ct. Ct.
192 6 Docket 1366

Charles H Mollock

Sarah L. Mollock

Decree Pro Confesso.

Frick

B 30800

Filed 4 Oct 1926

Charles H. Mollock

IN THE

Circuit Court

BALTIMORE CITY.

ept Term, 1926

having been duly summoned (notified by Order of Publication) to appear to The Defendant the Bill of Complaint, and having failed to appear thereto, according to the exigency of the writ, (said Order).

day of ottober in the year nineteen It is thereupon this hundred and twenty by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, ADJUDGED, ORDERED and DECREED, that the complainant is entitled to relief in the premises, and that the bill of Complaint be and is hereby taken pro confesso against the defendant. But because it doth not certainly appear to what relief the Plaintiff is entitled, it is further Adjudged, and Ordered, that one of the Examiners of this Court, take testimony to support the allegations of the bill.

<b>~</b> •	• -	$\sim$ .
Circi	uit	Court

1926

Docket No. 66 B

Ohoile Molloch

s.

Sarah Mollock

# Order of Reference and Report

Lyus.

B No. 30800

Order Filed Jay of Oce 1926
Report Filed Jay of Oce 1926

Oh, on Only Olach	
Signes (rvalled)	IN THE
	Circuit Court
vs.	
$S_{\alpha} = 0$ $O(1 \cdot 0) \cdot 0$	OF
Sarah (Mollock	BALTIMORE CITY
	4
	November Term, 1926
This case being submitted, without argument, it is	ovdered by the Court this
day of Hornely, 1926  William P. Lyone.	, that the same be and it is hereby referred to
William P. Lyone.	Esg., Auditor and Master, to report the
pleadings and the facts, and his opinion thereon.	, , , ,
_	
Cc	igrank
Report of Auditor	and Master
Bill for divorce a vinculo matrin	nonii filed by the husband
against his wife on the ground of abar	ndonment. Code Art. 16, Sec.
37-42.	
Defendant summoned but failed to	_answer.
Plaintiff's residence in Baltimor	ce City for more than twoyears
prior to the filing of the bill prover	1.
The marriage proven.	
Abandonment uninterruptedly for t	three years, its finality and
the irreconcilability of the parties p	oroven.
Decree pro confesso was passed ag	gainst the defendant and more
than thirty days have since elapsed.	
Case ready for decree:	
	Villiam P. Lyons
November 19, 1926	VAuditor and Master

# CIRCUIT COURT

**B** 278 1926

No.

Docket

CHARLES H. MOLLOCK

VS.

SARAH L. MOLLOCK

Recorded

## Decree of Divorce

B No. 30 800

FG 30 Oce 1926.

The within is a proper decree to be passed in this case.

William & Lyons Auditor and Master.

	DIVORCE

### IN THE

CHARLES H. MOLLOCK	Circuit Court
VS.	OF BALTIMORE CITY,
SARAH L. MOLLOCK	November Term, 192_6
read and considered.  It is thereupon, this	of Cicculture, A. D. 1926.  rdered and Decreed, that the said
the above named Complainant be and he is hereby  Defendant, SARAH L. MOLLOCK.	
	·
And it is Further Ordered, That the said pay the cost of this proceeding.	Complainant, Charles H. Mollock, -

0	harles H. Mollock
S	arah L. Mollock
DE	POSITIONS
13	No. 30,800 (6)
F	PLAINTIFF'S COST
Examiner_	\$\$
Copies	
Notices _	
Sheriff	
Stenograph	
	\$
DI	EFENDANT'S COSTS
Examiner_	\$\$
Copies	
Notices_	
Sheriff	
Stenograph	ner
8-4	\$

Charles H. Mollock  vs.  Sarah L. Mollock	In the Circuit OF BALTI	<b>Court</b> MORE CITY
A Decree Pro Con	nfesso having passe	d,
and notice having been given me by	y the Solicitor for the	Plaintiff
of a desire to take testimony in the		
the Standing Examiners of the C	ircuit Courts of Baltimo	re City, under and by
virtue of an order of the above nan	ned Circuit Court, passed	
the fourth day		,
hundred and twenty- S18	, at my office, in the Cit	ry of Baltimore, in the
State of Maryland, and assigned the	e f <b>ifth</b> day	of October
in the same year at two	o'clock in the	after noon and the
office ofthe Examiner. in the City and State		
aforesaid, as the time and place for such examination of witnesses in said cause;		
at which last mentioned time and	place I attended, due n	otice of such meeting
having been given, and proceeded in	n the presence of the Sol	icitorof the
Plaintiff	to take the follow	wing depositions, that
is to say:		

Testimony taken at the office of George Arnold Frick. Examiner. on Tuesday, October 5th, 1926, at 2 P.M.

Present: Davis & Evans - Attorneys for Plaintiff.

#### The reupon:

CHARLES H. MOLLOCK, the Plaintiff, produced as a witness on his own behalf, being first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows - that is to say -

#### BY THE EXAMINER: -

- 1 Q- State your name, residence and occupation.
  - A- Charles H. Mollock, 1349 Carey Street, fish dealer.
- 2 Q- You are the plaintiff in this case?
  - A- Yes.
- 3 Q- How long have you know the defendant your wife?
  - A- About fourteen years.

#### BY MR. DAVIS: -

- 4 Q- When were you married?
  - A- On August, 17th, 1913.
- 5 Q- Where?
  - A- Baltimore, Maryland.
- 6 Q- By a minister of the gospel?
- A- Yes, Father Clark, in the church at Fremond and Pennsylvania Aves.
- 7 Q- You lived with your wife until when?
  - A- The 18th of June ,1919.
- 8 Q- You separated, which one left the other.
  - A- My wife left me without a reason.
- 9 Q- What was your conduct toward your wife?

#### Charles H. Mollock.

- A- I did as much for her as I possibly could.
- 10 Q- Where you kind and affectionate?
  - A- Yes.
- 11 Q- Did you support her?
  - A- I did the best I could. We worked together.
- 12 Q- Did you give her any cause to leave you?
  - A- I did not.
- 13 Q- This separation, has it continued uninterrupted for at least three years since the 18th of June, 1919?
  - A- Yes.
- 14 Q- Have you ever lived or cohabited with your wife since that date?
  - A- No.
- 15 Q- Was it her deliberate and final act?
  - A- Yes.
- 16 Q- Is there any reasonable hope or expectation of a reconciliation?
  - A- Yes.

never

- 17 Q- Did she make any remarks that she/wanted to live with you?
- A- Yes she told several people that she did not want to live with me.
- 18 Q- Have you been a resident of the State of Maryland for more than two years prior to the filing of the bill of complaint on June 24th, 1926?
  - A- I was born here and lived here all my life.

#### Charles H. Mollock.

- 19 Q- Is your wife of resident of the state of Maryland and has she been for more than two years prior to the filing of you bill of complaint?
- A- Yes she has been here for the past eight or ten years.
- 20 Q- Are there any children born as a result of this marriage?
  - A- No there are not children living.

-3-

#### QUESTION BY THE EXAMINER:

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be of benefit or advantage to the parties to this suit or either of them or that may be material to the subject of this your examination or the matters in question between the parties If so, statethe same fully and at Answer:-- harles H mustoell

-4-

ALBERT HAMMOND, produced as a witness on the behalf of the Plaintiff, being first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows - that is to say -

#### BY THE EXAMINER: -

- 1 Q- State your name, residence and occupation.
  - A- Albert Hammond, 1528 Wilmer Street, Laborer.
- 2 Q- Do you know the parties to this suit?
  - A- Yes.
- 3 Q- How long have you know them?
  - A- About ten or fifteen years.

#### BY MR. DAVIS: -

- 4 Q- Were you present at the wedding?
  - A- No sir.
- 5 Q- You knew when they lived together as man and wife and held themselves out as such and were so accepted and received by their friends and acquaintances?
  - A- Yes.
- 6 Q- Did you visit them?
  - A- Yes.
- 7 Q- They separated on June 18th, 1919 is that correct?
  - A- Yes about that time.
- 8 Q- Which one left the other?
  - A- She left him.
- 9 Q- Did he give her any cause or reason from/you could observe for her leaving him?
  - A- No. He was a good fellow very kind and affectionate.
- 10 Q- Did he support her?
  - A- Yes he worked every day. \_5-

#### Albert Hammond.

- 11 Q- Was he true and faithful to her?
  - A- Yes.
- 12 Q- Has this abandonment continued uninterrupted for more than three years prior to June 24th, 1926?
  - A- Yes.
- 13 Q- Have they ever lived together or cohabited with each other since the separation?
  - A- No.
- 14 4- Was that abandonment by the defendant her own final and deliberate act?
  - A- Yes.
- 15 Q- Is there any reasonable hope or expectation of a reconciliation?
  - A- I don't think so.
- 16 Q- What if anything have you heard her say with reference to living together with him again?
  - A- I heard her say she didn't want him any more.
- 17 4- Has the plaintiff and defendant been a resident of the State of Maryland for more than two years prior to the filing of the bill of complaint?
- A- Yes, ever since I have known them they have lived in Maryland.
- 18 Q- Any children born as a result of this marriage?
  A- None.

#### QUESTION BY THE EXAMINER:

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be of benefit or advantage to the parties to this suit or either of them or that may be material to the subject of this your examination or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

Answer:--

Aller & Hammond

No other witr	iesses being name	d or produced before me, I then at the
request of the Solicite	ors.of theI	Plaintiff
closed the depositions	taken in said cau	ase, and now return them closed under my
hand and seal on this	nin	nth day of
November	A	in the year of our Lord/nineteen hundred
twenty- six	at the City of	Baltimore, in the State of Maryland.
	larry	Examiner. { SEAL }
There are no		Exhibits with these depositions, to wit:
Plaintiff's	Exhibit	
	Exhibit	
·		Examiner.  K, the Examiner before whom the foregoertify that I was employed in assigning a
day and taking the sai	d depositions upo	n two days, on both
of which I was emplo	yed by the plaintif	f and on none
by the defendant		Juna Sendo Frish
		Examiner.