IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALTIMORE CITY.

1835

CLARENCE MCGEE

VS.

BEATRICE Me GEE.

BILL FOR DIMORCE

Mr.Clerk:-

Please file.

Lavis TEvan

13-28739

DAVIS & EVANS,

ATTORNEY SAT LAW

215 SAINT PAUL PLACE

BALTIMORE, MD.

Fall Chrilly

BAUMGARTEN & CO., INC.

CLARENCE MCGEE

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

VS.

:

OF

BEATRICE McGEE

BALTIMORE CITY.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Your Orator complaining respectfully represents:

- I. That he was married to his wife, Beatrice McGee on the I5th day of April, I9I9 in Baltimore City, and with whom he resided until about the 5th day of June, I920 when the defendant deserted the plaintiff.
- That though the conduct of your Orator towards his wife has always been kind, affectionate and above reproach, she has without any just cause or reason, abandoned and deserted him and has declared her intentions to live with him no longer and that such abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years and is deliberate and final and the separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable expectation of reconciliation.
- That there is one child, Gertrude McGee, age five years for whom your Orator asks the custody.
- 4. That your Orator has not lived or co-habited with the said defendant since said desertion.
- 5. That your Orator is a citizen of the State of Maryland having resided in Baltimore City for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill, but that the defendant is a non-resident of the State of Maryland and when last heard of was in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

TO THE END THEREFORE:

- (a) That your Orator may be divorced a vinculo matrimonii from the said Beatrice McGee.
- (b) That he may have the custody of their child, Gertrude McGee, age five years.
- (c) That he may have such other and further relief as his case may require.

May it please your Honor to grant unto your Orst or the Order of Publication directed against the said Beatrice McGee, a non-resident of the State of Maryland, aforesaid, commanding and requiring her to be and appear in this Court on some day certain to be named therein to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree or order as may be passed therein.

AND as in duty bound, etc.

Davis VE vaus
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF.

DAVIS & EVANS, SOLICITORS, 215 ST.PAUL PLACE BALTIMORE, MD.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY.

CLARENCE MCGEE VS. BEATRICE MCGEE.

ORDER OF PUBLICATION

The object of this bill is to procure a divorce A
Vinculo Matrimonii by the Plaintiff from the Defendant.

The Bill recites that the parties were married on the I5th day of April, I9I9 in Baltimore City and that they lived together until about the 5th day of June, I920 when the defendant deserted the plaintiff. That there is one child born as issue of said marriage. That the defendant is a non-resident of the State of Maryland and when last heard of was in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. That the defendant deserted the plaintiff without any just cause or reason and has declared her intentions to live with him no longer and that such abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years and is deliberate and final and the separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable expectation of reconciliation. That the plaintiff has been a citizen of the State of Maryland for more than three years prior to the filing of this Bill.

It is thereupon this oday of May 1925, ordered by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City that the plaintiff by causing a copy of this order to be published in a daily newspaper published in Baltimore City, once in each of four successive weeks, before the day of May 1925, give notice to the absent defendant of the object and substance of this bill, warning her to be and appear in this Court in person or by Solicitor, on or before the day of May 1925, and show cause, if any she may have, way a decree ought not be passed as prayed.

Georget Solling

Docket No. 1865 1925. Clarence McSex Blatrice Mc Yeur Certificate of Publication B 28739 (21/2) THE DAILY RECORD Filed day of July 1925-

283

THE DAILY RECORD

Davis & Evans, Solicitors, 215 St. Paul Place.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTI-MORE CITY — (B—283—1925) — Clarence McGee vs. Beatrice McGee.

ORDER OF PUBLICATION.

The object of this bill is to procure a divorce a vinculo matrimonii by the plain-

tiff from the defendant.

The bill recites that the parties were married on the 15th day of April. 1919, in Baltimore City, and that they lived together until about the 5th day of June. 1920, when the defendant deserted the plaintiff. That there is one child born as issue of said marriage. That the defendant is a non-resident of the State of Maryland and when last heard of was in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. That the defendant deserted the plaintiff without any just cause or reason and has declared her intentions to live with him no longer and that such abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years and is deliberate and final and the separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable expectation of reconciliation. That the plaintiff has been a citizen of the State of Maryland for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill

of Maryland for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill.

It is thereupon, this 16th day of April, 1925, ordered by the Circuit Court of Balfimore City that the plaintiff by causing a copy of this order to be published in a daily newspaper published in Baltimore City, once in each of four successive weeks, before the 19th day of May, 1925, give notice to the absent defendant of the object and substance of this bill, warning her to be and appear in this Court in person or by solicitor, on or before the 6th day of June, 1925, and show cause, if any she may have, why a decree ought not be passed as prayed.

GEORGE A. SOLTER.
True Copy—Test:

CHAS. R. WHITEFORD,

Clerk

Baltimore, MAY 9 = 1925, 192. We hereby centify that the annexed advertise-Circuit Court ment of Order of Baltimore Gity, Case of was published in THE DAILY RECORD, a daily newspaper published in the City of Baltimore, once in each of successive weeks before the First insertion...... THE DAILY RECORD

65 Ct. Ct.
1925 Docket \$283

Clarence Mige

Beatrice M. Sui

Decree Pro Confesso.

328739 No. Sapp Filed Thely 1921 Clarence Mi Shee

IN THE

Circuit Court

OF

BALTIMORE CITY.

Beatrice McShee

Thay

Term, 1925

The Defendant having been duly summoned (notified by Order of Publication) to appear to the Bill of Complaint, and having failed to appear thereto, according to the exigency of the writ, (said Order).

It is thereupon this . day of Muy in the year nineteen hundred and twenty by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, Adjudged, Ordered and Decreed, that the complainant is entitled to relief in the premises, and that the bill of Complaint be and is hereby taken pro confesso against the defendant. But because it doth not certainly appear to what relief the Plaintiff is entitled, it is further Adjudged, and Ordered, that one of the Examiners of this Court, take testimony to support the allegations of the bill.

Aug Suffy

In the Circuit Court, OF BALTIMORE CITY **DEPOSITIONS** Clarence One Gee Bestrice Onc Ger No. 24 8 7 3 9 K PLAINTIFF'S COSTS Examiners \$.....\$ Copies..... Sheriff Stenographer **DEFENDANT'S COSTS** Examiners....\$ Copies..... Sheriff Stenographer

Clarence mobbee	
	In the Circuit Court
Beatrice na Gee	OF BALTIMORE CITY.
hen Decree	Pro Confesso having sed in paid cause
and notice having been given me by	the Solicitor for the Placestiff
•	same, I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, one
of the Standing Examiners of the (Circuit Courts of Baltimore City, under and by
Several the mueteenth	day of Leptender in the year nineteen
hundred and lellely full at r	ny office, in the city of Baltimore, in the State
	venty-first day of September
	o'clock in the noon and the
office of Musers Darwy	Eraus in the City and State
aforesaid, as the time and place for	r such examination of witnesses in said cause;
at which last mentioned time and	place I attended, due notice of such meeting
having been given, and proceeded in plaintiff is to say:—	the presence of the Solicitor of the the presence of the Solicitor of the solicitor

t •

8-4-8

CLARENCE MCGEE

VS.

BEATRICE MCGEE.

Testimony taken before me,

A. deRussy Sappington, Examiner, at the
offices of J. Stewart Davis, Esquire,

Baltimore, Maryland, on September 21, 1925,
at 12.00 o'clock noon.

Thereupon---

CLARENCE MCGEE,

the plaintiff, of lawful age, produced on his own behalf, having been first duly sworn according to law, was examined and testified as follows:

By the Examiner:

1Q Please state your name, residence and occupation?

A Clarence McGee, 821 Mount Street, work at Sparrows Point.

2 Q Do you know the parties to this suit?

A Yes, I am the plaintiff and my wife is the defendant.

By Mr. Davis:

lQ When, where and by whom were you married?

A April 15, 1919 in Baltimore City by a Minister of the Gospel.

2Q Mou lived together as man and wife until when?

A June 5. 1920.

3Q Which left the other?

A She left me.

4Q State what happened on the day she left; were you there?

A Yes, I was there. She had been running around and I got after her and she said she was not going to stop, and I told her about it.

5Q Did she admit to you she had been running with men?

A Yes, before the separation. And then she took her things and left.

6Q Have you seen her since the separation?

A Yes, and she said she was not coming back with me any more.

7Q How did you treat your wife?

A As good as I could. I supported her.

8Q Were you a kind, affectionate and faithful husband?

A Yes.

9Q Did you give her any just cause or reason to leave you?

A No, sir.

10Q There is one child?

A Yes, Gertrude, five years old.

110 You have that child?

A Yes, I have had her four years.

12Q You want the custody of the child?

A Yes.

13Q Have you forgiven or condoned or cohabited with your wife since the desertion?

A No, sir.

14Q Any hope of reconciliation?

A No. sir.

15Q Are you a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland and have you been for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill?

A Yes, sir.

16Q Your wife is a non resident; where was she last heard of?

A Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

17Q Has this separation continued uninterruptedly for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill?

A Yes.

18Q Is it deliberate and final and beyond any hope of reconciliation?

A Yes, sir.

GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so. state the same filly and at large in your answer?

Mo Charence Mc Gee,

Thereupon---

ANNIE GODSEY,

a witness of lawful age, produced on behalf of the plaintiff, having been first duly sworn according to law, was examined and testified as follows:

By the Examiner:

1Q Please state your name, residence
and occupation?

A Annie Godsey, Turner's Station, housework.

Do you know the parties to this suit?

A Yes.

By Mr. Davis:

lQ Do you remember when they were married and lived together as husband and wife?

A Yes.

2Q Was there reputation in the community that of man and wife?

A Yes.

3Q He stated he was married on April, 15, 1919 in Baltimore; is that correct?

A It is.

4Q He states that they lived together as man and wife until June 5, 1920?

A That is correct.

5Q Which left the other?

A She left him; she was a bad woman.

6Q Do you know whether or not she had been running around?

A Yes, I know she had.

7Q After she left him what did she do?

A She went to live with another man in Baltimore.

8Q Did you see her to talk to after the separation?

A Yes.

9Q What did she say?

A She said she was living with another man---I went to the house. She said she was not coming back to her husband any more.

10Q You lived near them at the time of the separation and visited them often?

A Yes, I did.

llQ What was Mr. McGee's conduct to his wife?

A He treated her fine--she never had to work a day.

Annie Godsey

12Q Did he give her any just cause to leave?

A No, sir.

13Q Was he a kind, affectionate and true husband?

A Yes.

14Q KEX Mr. McGee a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland, and has he been for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill?

A Yes.

15Q He states that his wife is a non resident of the State of Maryland, where is she?

A In Philadelphia that I last heard of her.

16Q There is one child, Gertrude, as result of the marriage?

A Yes.

17Q That child is with Mr. McGee?

A Yes.

18Q Do you think he is a fit and proper person to have the care and custody of the child?

A Yes.

19Q Has he lived or cohabited with

his wife since the desertion?

A No, sir.

20Q Any hope of reconciliation?

A No, sir.

21Q Was Mrs. McGee's leaving her own deliberate and final act?

A Yes.

GENERAL QUESTION

or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If co, otate the same filly and at large in your enswer?

No annie Today

Circuit Court 1 8-283 Order of Reference and Report

Order Filed 24 day of 100 1925.

Report Filed 9 day of 1925

Clarence Mc Gu	IN THE
-	Circuit Court
Beatrice McGee	OF
peanue Me	BALTIMORE CITY
This case being submitted, without argument, is day of Movember 192	t is ordered by the Court, this 24" LJ, that the same be and it is hereby referred to
pleadings and the facts, and his opinion thereon.	
his wife on the ground of abandonmen	imonii filed by the husband against t. code Art. 16, Sec. 37-42. a non-resident and her hon-residence
Plaintiff's residence in Baltim	ore City for more than two years en.
The marriage proven. Abandonment uninterruptedly for irreconcilability of the parties pro	three years, its finality and the
Case made for awarding the guar child of the parties to the plaintif	dianship and custody of the minor
Case ready for decree.	
December 5,-1925	Milliam Lyona Auditor and Master

Ç

CIRCUIT COURT

B 283

o. Docket

CLARENCE MCGEE

VS.

BEATRICE MCGEE

Recorded

Folio 3461925

Decree of Divorce

B No. 28739

Fd. 15" December 1935.

The within is a proper decree to be passed in this case.

William P. Lyon Auditor and Master.

2934

0

,

CIA RENCE MCGEE		Circuit (Court
		OF	
VS.		BALTIMORE	CITY
			·
BEATRICE McG	EE	November	Term, 19.25
This cause standing ready	for hearing and bei	ng duly submitted, the proc	eedings were by the
ourt read and considered			
It is thereupon, this	lieuth day	of December	, A. D. 1925.
y the Circuit Court of Baltim	nore City. Adjudged.	Ordered and Decreed, that the	e said
		McGEE,	- ,
. 	- MINTERNAL	MCGERT, = = =	
efendant, BEATRICE Mc	GEE;		
efendant, BEATRICE McAND it is further of trude McGee, minor chawarded to the Compla	GEE; rdered that the ild of the par- inant, Clarence	e guardianship and c ties, be and the sam e McGee; until the f	ustody of Ger- e are hereby
efendant, BEATRICE MCAND it is further of trude McGee, minor chawarded to the Compla	GEE; rdered that the ild of the par- inant, Clarence	e guardianship and c ties, be and the sam e McGee; until the f	ustody of Ger- e are hereby
efendant, BEATRICE McAND it is further of trude McGee, minor chawarded to the Compla	GEE; rdered that the ild of the par- inant, Clarence	e guardianship and c ties, be and the sam e McGee; until the f	ustody of Ger- e are hereby
efendant, BEATRICE McAND it is further of trude McGee, minor chawarded to the Compla	GEE; rdered that the ild of the par- inant, Clarence	e guardianship and c ties, be and the sam e McGee; until the f	ustody of Ger- e are hereby
efendant, BEATRICE MCAND it is further of trude McGee, minor chawarded to the Compla	GEE; rdered that the ild of the par- inant, Clarence	e guardianship and c ties, be and the sam e McGee; until the f	ustody of Ger- e are hereby
efendant, BEATRICE McAND it is further of trude McGee, minor chawarded to the Compla	GEE; rdered that the ild of the par- inant, Clarence	e guardianship and c ties, be and the sam e McGee; until the f	ustody of Ger- e are hereby
Defendant, BEATRICE MC AND it is further of trude McGee, minor ch awarded to the Compla	GEE; rdered that the ild of the par- inant, Clarence	e guardianship and c ties, be and the sam e McGee; until the f	ustody of Ger- e are hereby
he above named Complainant be Defendant, BEATRICE MC AND it is further of trude McGee, minor ch awarded to the Compla of this Court.	GEE; rdered that the ild of the par- inant, Clarence	e guardianship and c ties, be and the sam e McGee; until the f	ustody of Ger- e are hereby
Defendant, BEATRICE MC AND it is further of trude McGee, minor ch awarded to the Compla	GEE; rdered that the ild of the partinant, Clarence	e guardianship and c ties, be and the sam e McGee; until the f	e are hereby urther order