IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF LYDIA MAIN BILL FOR DIVORCE Mr.Clerk:-Please file. J. STEWARD DAVIS ATTORNEY AT LAW

BAUMGARTEN & CO., INC.

S. John

FRANK MAIN : IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

VS. 9

OF

LYDIA MAIN

BALTIMORE CITY.

TO THE HONORABLE. THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Your Orator complaining respectfully represents:

- I. That he was married to his wife, Lydia Main on the 25th day of November, 1903 and with whom he resided until the 3rd day of January. 1921 when the defendant deserted the plaintiff.
- 2. That ever since said marriage your Orator has been a faithful, chaste and affectionate husband toward the said Lydia Main.
- That the said Lydia Main has on divers days and times since said marriage committed the crime of adultery with divers, lewd and abandoned men, whose names to your Orator are unknown and said offense has not been condoned by: your Orator.
- Lydia Main has always been kind, affectionate and above reproach, she has, without any just cause or reason abandoned and deserted him and has declared her intentions to live with him no longer, and that such abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years and is deliberate and final, and the separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable expectation of reconciliation.
- 5. That your Orator has not lived or co-habited with the said defendant since said desertion.
- That there are three children born as issue of said marriage; Nancy, age twenty-two years, Rosa, age twenty and Annie, age twelve.

That your Orator is a citizen of the State of Maryland having resided in Baltimore City for more than three years prior to the filing of this Bill, but that the defendant is a nonresident of the State of Maryland and when last heard of was in Petersburg, Virginia.

TO THE END, THEREFORE:

- '(a) That your Orator may be divorced a vinculommatrimonii from the said Lydia Main.
- That he may have such other and further relief as his case may require.

May it please your Honor to grant unto your Orator the Order of Publication directed to the said Lydia Main commanding and requiring her to be and appear in this Court on some day certain to be named therein to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree or order as may be passed therein.

AND as in duty bound, etc.

DAVIS &B EVANS, SOLICITORS

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY.

FRANK MAIN VS. LYDIA MAIN

ORDER OF PUBLICATION

ORDER OF PUBLICATION

The object of this Bill is to procure a divorce a vinculo matrimonii by the plaintiff from the defendant, Lydia Main.

The bill recites that the parties were married on the 25th day of November . 1903 and lived together until the 3rd day of January 1921 when the defendant deserted the plaintiff. That the conduct of your Orator has been above reproach. The defendant has committed the crime of adultery with divers , lewd and abandoned men, whose names to your Orator are unknown, since the discovery of which, your Orator has not condoned the offense, neither has she lived or co-habited with the defendant.

That the defendant deserted and abandoned the plaintiff without any just cause or reason on the 3rd day of January, 1921. That said abandonment and desertion has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years and is deliberate and final and that there is no hope or reasonable expectation of reconciliation. That there are three children, Nancy, age 22; Rosa, age 20 and Annie, age I2 born as issue of said marriage.

That your Orator is a citizen of the State of Maryland and that the defendant is a non-resident and when last heard of was in Petersburg, Virginia.

It is thereupon by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, this 9' day of May, 1925, ordered that the plaintiff by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some daily newspaper, published in Baltimore City once a week for four successive weeks, before the // day of June 1925, give notice to the absent defendant of the object and substance of this bill and warn her to be and appear in this Court in person, or by counsel, on or before the V day of MUL 1925 and show cause, if any she may have,

363

Docket No ..

Main

Mari

Certificate of Publication

B28898

THE DAILY RECORD

THE DAILY RECORD

Davis & Evans, Solicitors,	D 12 100
215 St. Paul Place.	Baltimore,
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTI-	, 33,
MORE CITY - (B-363-1925) - Frank	
Main vs. Lydia Main.	We hereby certify that the approved advertise
ORDER OF PUBLICATION.	We hereby certify that the annexed advertise-
The object of this bill is to procure a li	
divorce a vinculo matrimonii by the plain-	ment of Order Jublication Circuit Court
tiff from the defendant, Lydia Main.	ment of Order www.casta Circuit Court
The bill recites that the parties were	
married on the 25th day of November, 1903,	
and lived together until the 3rd day of	of Politimore City Cose of
January, 1921, when the defendant deserted the plaintiff. That the conduct of your	of Baltimore City, Case of
orator has been above reproach. The de-	
fendant has committed the crime of adul-	
tery with divers lewd and abandoned men,	Hoank Main
whose names to your orator are unknown,	
since the discovery of which, your orator	
has not condoned the offense, neither has	vs. Lydia Main
he lived or cohabited with the defendant.	VS. JOUGO
That the defendant deserted and aban-	
doned the plaintiff without any just cause to or reason on the 3rd day of January, 1921.	was published in THE DAILY RECORD, a daily news-
That said abandonment and desertion has	was published in THE DITIET RECORD, a daily news-
continued uninterruptedly for more than	·
three years and is deliberate and final and	
that there is no hope or reasonable ex-	paper published in the City of Baltimore, once in each of
pectation of reconciliation. That there are	
three children, Nancy, age 22; Rosa, age	an accessing the form the
20, and Annie, age 12, born as issue of said	successive weeks before the
marriage.	
That your orator is a citizen of the State of Maryland and that the defendant is a	// (day of) June 1926
non-resident and when last heard of was	1949
in Petersburg, Virginia.	
It is thereupon by the Circuit Court of	First insertion 1925
Baltimore City this 9th day of May, 1925,	That macrition, 1/2-
ordered that the plaintiff by causing a copy	
of this order to be inserted in some daily	· //
newspaper published in Baltimore City	
once a week for four successive weeks be- fore the 11th day of June, 1925, give notice	THE DAILY DESCRIPTION (
to the absent defendant of the object and	THE DAILY RECORD) // /
substance of this bill and warn her to be	
and appear in this Court in person or by	
counsel on or before the 27th day of June,	Per World
1925, and show cause, if any she may have,	
why a decree ought not be passed as	
prayed.	
GEORGE A. SOLTER.	•

True Copy-Test: my12,19,26,je2

363 Bls Ct. Ct.
192 5 Docket

Decree Pro Confesso.

25898 No. (4) Sapp, (4) Filed Inly 1925,

Franks Main

IN THE

Circuit Court

ОF

BALTIMORE CITY.

Tycha Main

Term, 1925

The Defendant having been duly summend (notified by Order of Publication) to appear to the Bill of Complaint, and having failed to appear thereto, according to the exigency of the wait, (said Order).

It is thereupon this day of My in the year nineteen hundred and twenty by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, Adjudged, Ordered and Decreed, that the complainant is entitled to relief in the premises, and that the bill of Complaint be and is hereby taken pro confesso against the defendant. But because it doth not certainly appear to what relief the Plaintiff is entitled, it is further Adjudged, and Ordered, that one of the Examiners of this Court, take testimony to support the allegations of the bill.

Malos & Daycus

363 B65 Ct. Ct. 1925 Docket

Decree Pro Confesso.

82898 Sappr. Filed 22 July 1925

IN THE

Circuit Court

BALTIMORE CITY.

Term, 1925

The Defendant having been duly summoned (notified by Order of Publication) to appear to the Bill of Complaint, and having failed to appear thereto, according to the exigency of the writ-(said Order).

It is thereupon this M in the year nineteen hundred and twenty by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, Adjudged, Ordered and DECREED, that the complainant is entitled to relief in the premises, and that the bill of Complaint be and is hereby taken pro confesso against the defendant. But because it doth not certainly appear to what relief the Plaintiff is entitled, it is further Adjudged, and Ordered, that one of the Examiners of this Court, take testimony to support the allegations of the bill.

Malter F. Douxins.

B	
Doc. B 363	
In the Circuit Court, of BALTIMORE CITY	
DEPOSITIONS	
Frank Omain	
Lydia Main	
No. 2.8898B	
PLAINTIFF'S GOSTS	
Examiners \$	
Copies	
Stenographer	
\$	
DEFENDANT'S COSTS	
Examiners\$	
Copies	
Sheriff	100
Stenographer	
Ad1) " august 192	J

Grank Main	
	In the Circuit Court
Lydia Main	OF BALTIMORE CITY.
Jecres	Pro Coupesso harries
and notice having been given me by	sed in said cause the Solicitor for the Saintiff
	same, I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, one
of the Standing Examiners of the O	Circuit Courts of Baltimore City, under and by
turenty- second	day of in the year nineteen
• //	ny office, in the city of Baltimore, in the State
in the same year at. Luo.	o'clock in the after-woon and the in the City and State
aforesaid, as the time and place for	r such examination of witnesses in said cause;
at which last mentioned time and	place I attended, due notice of such meeting
1/ - 4:10	the presence of the Solicitor of theto take the following depositions, that

FRANK MAIN

VS.

LYDIA MAIN.

Testimony taken before me, A. deRussy Sappington, Examiner, at the offices of G. W/ Evans, Baltimore, Maryland on July 22, 1925 at 2.00 o'clock in the afternoon.

Thereupon ---

FRANK MAIN,

the plaintiff, of lawful age, produced on his own behalf, having been first duly sworn according to law, was examined and testified as follows:

By the Examiner:

- 1Q Please state your name, residence and occupation?
- A Frank Main, 620 Bond Street, work at Sparrows Point.
- 2Q Do you know the parties to this suit or either of them?
- A Yes, I am the plaintiff and my wife is the defendant.

By Mr. Evans:

- 1Q When, where and by whom were you married?
- A 25th of November, 1903 in Sussex County, Virginia, by a Minister of the Gospel.
- 2Q Did you live with your wife?
- A Yes.
- 3Q For how long?
- A Ninetem years, until January, 1921.
- 4Q Any children born as result of your marriage?
- A Three, Nancy, Rosa and the baby named Annie.
- 5Q Two of them are grown and Annie is under age?
- A Yes.
- 6Q Who has the custody of Annie?
- A Her Mother.
- 7Q You say you left your wife in 1921?
- A Yes.
- 8Q What was the trouble between you and your wife?
- A She just run out and stay ed out three and four days and nights at a time and came back drunk; she run with other men, and I asked her about it,

and she said she was grown and would do what she pleased.

9Q 10d you accuse her of running with men?

A Yes, and she said she would do as shempman and pleased. I have come home and caght men there.

10Q Now, on the night of the separation, tell me what happened?

A She came in between one and two o'clock to the room where I was -- I called her.

11Q. What was her condition?

A She was half drunk.

12Q Did you ask her to stop running around?

A Yes, I begged her for a long time; from the time she started until I left. She said that she would do to sutit herself.

13Q You had some words the night you left?

A Yes, I asked her to stay at home and I told
her I would have to leave if she did not do better,
and she said she would not, and I left.

14Q Have you lived or co habited with her since January, 1921?

A No, I have not seen her.

15Q Any hope of recond liation?

A No.

16Q Has she made any demands on you for support?

A No, sir, none at all.

17Q Have you been a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland for more than two years prior to the time this case started?

A Yes.

18Q Has the separation continued uninterrutedly for more than three years prior to the same time?

19Q. Isthe separation deliberate and final?

A It is.

Yes, sir.

20Q Is your wife a non resident or resident of Maryland?

A A non resident; when last heard of she lived in Petersburg, Virginia.

August 30, 1928
Frank Main, plaintiff herein, having this day been recalled before the Auditor and Master, testified as follows:BY MR. DAVIS:-

Q. Have you lived or cohabited with your wife since you last testified in this case?

A. I have not. I have not seen her since 1921. She is still a non-resident of Maryland.

GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

i. o- 900

Je ronk noin

Thereupon ---

ROSA MAIN,

a witness of lawful age, produced on behalf of the plaintiff, having been first duly sworn according to law, was examined and testified as follows:

By the Examiner:

- 1Q Please state your name, residence and occupation?
- A Rosa Main, 920 Arlington Avenuer laundry.
- 2Q Do you know the parties to this suit or either of them?
- A Yes, my mother and father.

By Mr. Evans:

- 1Q Do you remembe r when they lived together as husband and wife?
- A Yes
- 2Q Were they known in the community and recognized as such?
- A Yes, sir.
- 3Q When did he leave her?
- A In January 1921.

Rosa Main

4Q Why?

A She didnt treathim like a should should do.

5Q He has said on the night of January 3rd, she came home drunk and he said something to her about it and an argument ensued; do you know anything about that?

A I was there when it went on. He asked her to stop but she told him she would do to suit herself and he said he would have to leave, because he couldn't stand it any longer, and she said, to go ahead and leave if he wanted to.

6Q Did you hear him accuse her of running around with men?

A Yes, and she never did deny it. He asked her to stop running around and she told him she was grown and would stop when she got ready. I heard her say that,

7Q Do you know whether she was drunk that morning?

A Yes, bout half drunk. It was about 2 o'clock in the morning.

8Q Now there are three children, and are their names properly given by the Father.

A Yes, sir.

9Q Nancy and Rosa are grown and Annie is 12 years old, is that right?

A Yes, sir.

10Q W koo has Annie?

A My Mother.

11Q Has your father lived or cohabited with his wife since 1921?

A No, sir.

12Q Any hope of reconciliation?

A No.

13Q Is your father a resident of the City of Baltimore and has he been for more than two years prior to the time this c se started?

A Yes, sir.

14Q Is your Mother a resident of Maryland?

A No, when last heard from she lived in Petersburg, Virginia.

15Q Has she made any demands on your father since the separation in 1921 for support or anything?

A No.

16Q How long have you been living in Maryland - you came here before your father and lived here with your Mother, didnt you?

A Yes, mearly four years.

Rosa Man

g

17Q Did you live with your mother?

A Yes/

18Q Did she continue to run around?

A Yes, and I asked her if she was ever going to live with my father any more. I asked her to behave herself and I told her she would never get another husband like him, but she just kept on and refused to stop it.

ROSA MAIN, a witness of lawful age, heretofore produced and sworn, recalled:

BY MR. EVANS:

- 1 Q. State your name, please?
 - A. Rosa Main.
- 2 Q Where do you live?
 - A. Out at Guilford, where I work.
- 3 Q. Do you know Frank Main and Lydia Main?
 - A. Yes sir.
- 4 Q. Are they your mother and father?
 - A. Yes sir.
- 5 Q. I want you to tell us how your mother treated your father?
- A. From the time I can remember she never treated him just right. When my little sister was still young, she was only about three months, old, my mother would come home drunk and my father would ask her why she did such things as that and she would not answer him. He said he could not stand it if she kept on.
- 6 Q. How old was the youngest child?
- A. The youngest was my baby sister, three or four months old.
- 7 Q. Did she go out at night?
 - A. She would be out most of the time; she would stay

Rosa Main

out more than one night. The longest time was two weeks. 8 Q. And when she came home where did she say she had been?

- A. She would not tell us where she had been, she would say it was none of our business.
- 9 Q. What was her condition when she came home?
 - A. She was generally drunk.
- 10 Q. Would she be home when your father came home for his meals?
 - A. No, she would not.
- 11 Q. Did men come around the house when your father was away to work?
- A. Yes. They would sit around the table and play cards and drink, and he would ask her not to do that, and she would not stop it, she just kept on.
- 12 Q. Did that continue up until the time of the separation?
- A. yes sir, until my father left. She would stay out, and one Sunday when my father came home from Church, he brought the preacher with him, and he asked her not to do those things, and not to drink, but when my father came in she had men sitting around the table playing cards and had whiskey.
- 13 Q. Your father left when he found a man there?
 - A. Yes sir.

GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

Rose mairo

	No other witnesses being named or produced before me, I then, at the request of the Solicitorof the
	closed the depositions taken in said cause and now return them closed under my
	hand and seal, on this <u>Reventeenth</u> day of <u>luguet</u>
•	in the year of Our Lord nineteen hundred and tenently fewe at the
	City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland
	Examiner.
	There areExhibits with these depositions, to wit:
	Plaintiff'sExhibit/
	·
•	Defendant's Exhibit
	Celli Cappinghe
	exam ine r.
	I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, the Examiner before whom the fore-
	going depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning
	a day, and taking the said depositions upon days, on days, on
•	of which I was employed by the Plaintiff, and on
	by the Defendant
	Examiner.

.

1

Circuit Court	
Circuit Court 363 19 25 Bocket No. 65	
Frank Main	
vs.	

Order of Reference and Report

Lydia Mari

CM2 B-28898 (6)

Order Filed day of 19

Report Filed day of 19

Frank Marin	IN THE
vs.	Circuit Court
Lydia Main	OF _.
dydia Main.	BALTIMORE CITY
	My Term, 10-2-/
This case being submitted, without ar	gument, it is ordered by the Court, this
ay of August	, 1925, that the same be and it is hereby referred to
Ward B. Coe	Esq., Auditor and Master, to report the
leadings and the facts, and his opinion t	
, ,	Colone Fateur
	Marie Forsier
•• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Auditor and Master lo matrimonii filed by the husband against
Bill for divorce a vincu	lo matrimonii filed by the husband against
Bill for divorce a vincunis wife on the grounds of adu	lo matrimonii filed by the husband against ltery and of abandonment. Code Art. 16,
Bill for divorce a vincu his wife on the grounds of adu secs. 37-42. Defendant proceeded agai	lo matrimonii filed by the husband against ltery and of abandonment. Code Art. 16, nst as a non-resident and her non-resi-
Bill for divorce a vincu his wife on the grounds of adu secs. 37-42. Defendant proceeded agai dence proven.	lo matrimonii filed by the husband against ltery and of abandonment. Code Art. 16, nst as a non-resident and her non-resi-
Bill for divorce a vincu his wife on the grounds of adu secs. 37-42. Defendant proceeded agai dence proven. Plaintiff's residence in	lo matrimonii filed by the husband against ltery and of abandonment. Code Art. 16, nst as a non-resident and her non-resi- Baltimore City for more than two years
Bill for divorce a vincunts wife on the grounds of adusecs. 37-42. Defendant proceeded againence proven. Plaintiff's residence in proven.	lo matrimonii filed by the husband against ltery and of abandonment. Code Art. 16, nst as a non-resident and her non-resi- Baltimore City for more than two years
Bill for divorce a vincunis wife on the grounds of adusecs. 37-42. Defendant proceeded againence proven. Plaintiff's residence in proven. The marriage proven.	lo matrimonii filed by the husband against ltery and of abandonment. Code Art. 16, nst as a non-resident and her non-resi- Baltimore City for more than two years
Bill for divorce a vincunts wife on the grounds of adusecs. 37-42. Defendant proceeded againence proven. Plaintiff's residence in proven. The marriage proven. The abandonment for thre	lo matrimonii filed by the husband against ltery and of abandonment. Code Art. 16, nst as a non-resident and her non-resi- Baltimore City for more than two years
Bill for divorce a vincunts wife on the grounds of adusecs. 37-42. Defendant proceeded againence proven. Plaintiff's residence in proven. The marriage proven. The abandonment for thresidence proven.	lo matrimonii filed by the husband against ltery and of abandonment. Code Art. 16, nst as a non-resident and her non-resi- Baltimore City for more than two years e years, its finality and the irreconcila-
Bill for divorce a vincumbis wife on the grounds of adusecs. 37-42. Defendant proceeded againdence proven. Plaintiff's residence in proven. The marriage proven. The abandonment for thresidence proven. A decree pro confesso was	lo matrimonii filed by the husband against ltery and of abandonment. Code Art. 16, nst as a non-resident and her non-resi- Baltimore City for more than two years e years, its finality and the irreconcila- s passed against the defendant and more
Bill for divorce a vincumis wife on the grounds of adusecs. 37-42. Defendant proceeded againence proven. Plaintiff's residence in proven. The marriage proven. The abandonment for threshility of the parties proven. A decree pro confesso was	lo matrimonii filed by the husband against ltery and of abandonment. Code Art. 16, nst as a non-resident and her non-resi- Baltimore City for more than two years e years, its finality and the irreconcila- s passed against the defendant and more apsed.
Bill for divorce a vincumis wife on the grounds of adusecs. 37-42. Defendant proceeded againence proven. Plaintiff's residence in proven. The marriage proven. The abandonment for threshility of the parties proven. A decree pro confesso was than thirty days have since elements.	lo matrimonii filed by the husband against ltery and of abandonment. Code Art. 16, nst as a non-resident and her non-resi- Baltimore City for more than two years e years, its finality and the irreconcila- s passed against the defendant and more apsed.
Bill for divorce a vincumis wife on the grounds of adusecs. 37-42. Defendant proceeded againence proven. Plaintiff's residence in proven. The marriage proven. The abandonment for threshility of the parties proven. A decree pro confesso was than thirty days have since elements.	lo matrimonii filed by the husband against ltery and of abandonment. Code Art. 16, nst as a non-resident and her non-resi- Baltimore City for more than two years e years, its finality and the irreconcila- s passed against the defendant and more apsed.
Bill for divorce a vincu his wife on the grounds of adu secs. 37-42. Defendant proceeded agai dence proven. Plaintiff's residence in proven. The marriage proven. The abandonment for thre bility of the parties proven. A decree pro confesso wa than thirty days have since el-	lo matrimonii filed by the husband against ltery and of abandonment. Code Art. 16, nst as a non-resident and her non-resi- Baltimore City for more than two years e years, its finality and the irreconcila- s passed against the defendant and more apsed. Auditor and Master March 15th, 1997
Bill for divorce a vincu his wife on the grounds of adu secs. 37-42. Defendant proceeded agai dence proven. Plaintiff's residence in proven. The marriage proven. The abandonment for thre bility of the parties proven. A decree pro confesso wa than thirty days have since el-	lo matrimonii filed by the husband against ltery and of abandonment. Code Art. 16, nst as a non-resident and her non-resi- Baltimore City for more than two years e years, its finality and the irreconcila- s passed against the defendant and more apsed. Auditor and Master