IN THE BEST 19-13

BALTIMORE CITY.

LOUISE HOWARD

VS.

CLIFTON HOWARD

BILL OF COMPLAINT

MR. Clerk,-

Please file,

2100050 1110,

1326036

Sol. for plaintiff

Milton Dashiell, Esq.,

8 E. Lexington St.

A 19 action 1/23

IN THE

LOUISE HOWARD

CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY

VS.

CLIFTON HOWARD

TO THE HONORABLE THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Your oratrix, complaining, says:

7

That on the 8th day of August, 1920, she was married to Clifton Howard, with whom she resided as husband and wife in Baltimore City until the month of March, 1923, when the defendant abandoned the plaintiff, and the plaintiff has been a resident of the State of Maryland, City of Baltimore for more than two years last past prior to the filing of this bill of complaint.

II

That there are no children born as a result of said marriage.

III

That ever since their said marriage your oratrix has behaved herself as a faithful, chaste and affectionate wife towards the said Clifton Howard.

IV

That the said Clifton Howard on divers days and times since the said marriage, to wit, between the month of March last past and the filing of your oratrix's bill, has committed the crime of adultery with divers lewd and abandoned women at Baltimore City, whose names are to your oratrix unknown but which will be given at the hearing of this case.

٧

That your oratrix has not lived or cohabited with the said Clifton

Howard since she has discovered his said adulteries.

VI

That the said Clifton Howard is a chauffeur by occupation and should earn at least \$20.00 per week, while your oratrix is wholly destitute of means of supporting herself during the pendency of this suit, or of defraying the costs and expenses attending the prosecution of the same.

To the end, therefore,

(a) That your oratrix may be divorced a winculo matrimonii from

the said Clifton Howard.

- (b) That your oratrix may be awarded alimony pendente lite and permanently thereafter.
- . (c) That your oratrix may have such other nad further relief as her case may require.

May it please your Honor to grant unto your oratrix the writ of subpoena, directed to the said Clifton Howard, residing in said Baltimore City, commanding him to be and appear in this Court at some certain day, to be named therein, and answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree as may be passed therein.

And as in duty bound, etc.,

Miltou Dashiell

Sol. for Plaintiff.

Swow to before the this 18th day of October 1923

22012 L. Fornoff.

Wolong Pable

685 B63 Ct. Ct.

192 3 Docket No. SUBPOENA TO ANSWER BILL OF COMPLAINT

EQUITY SUBPOENA

The State of Maryland

Un

lefton Howardspioles (2)

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all excuses set aside, you do within the November time limited by law beginning on the second Monday of next cause an appearance to be entered for you and your answer to be filed to the complaint of

against you exhibited in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City,

HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril.

WITNESS, the Honorable JAMES P. GORTER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of

Baltimore City, the

10:

September day of

Issued the

day of

October , in the year 1923

Chas R. Whiteford,

Clerk

MEMORANDUM: You are required to file your answer or other defense in the Clerk's Office, room 206, in the Court House, Baltimore City, within fifteen days after return day.

(General Equity Rules 11.)

B Docket 685-CIRCUIT COURT. Clifton Howard Order for Alimony Pendente Lite ORDER

Laurse Howard

vs.

Clifton Howard

IN THE

CIRCUIT COURT

OF

BALTIMORE CITY

TERM, 192

ORDERED BY THE COURT this day of Cotton	rest 1923
that the defendant Clifton Howard	
pay to the plaintiff Louise Howard	
the sum of Lersen	
	19
Dollars per week, during the continuance of this suit, accounting from the	7 /
day of October 1923 to the said plaintiff Donise &	forward
as alimony, pendente lite unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before the	3
day of Myeugher 1923 provided a copy of this Order be served on the	e said defendant
Cliften Hurard on or before the 27	
day of Oolules 192 3	
Newy duffy	

TRUE COPY-TEST:

CLERK

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY. LOUISE HOWARD 92 VS. CLIFFORD HOWARD RESPONDENT'S ANSWER Mr.Clerk:-Please file J. STEWARD DAVIS

BAUMGARTEN & CO., INC.

LOUISE HOWARD

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

VS.

:

OF

CLIFFORD HOWARD

BALTIMORE CITY.

TO THE HONORABLE. THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

The answer of the respondent to the bill of complaint in the above case exhibited is as follows:

- I. The defendant neither admits nor denies the allegations contained in the first paragraph of the plaintiff's bill of complaint.
- 2. The defendant admits the allegations contained in the second paragraph of the plaintiff's bill of complaint.
- 3. The defendant denies with great emphasis the allegations contained an the third paragraph of the complainant's bill.
- 4. The defendant denies with great emphasis the allegations contained in the fourth paragraph of the plaintiff's bill of complaint.
- 5. The defendant denies with great emphasis the allegations contained in the fifth paragraph of the plaintiff's bill of complaint.
- 6., The defendant denies with great emphasis the allegations contained in the sixth paragraph of the complainant's bill.
- 7. Your respondent is a chauffeur and has an income of fifteen dollars per week.

Wherefore your respondent prays that the bill of complaint be dismissed with costs to the complainant.

And as in duty bound, etc.

(ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT.

CIRCUIT COURT

685 B63 Docket No.

Hward os.

Howard

Order

15

Petition for leave to take Testimony and Order of Court thereon.

> 8 26056 No. 26056

Fd 15 Dos 1993

	se Ho	US.		
00	iften	110		1
Q	year	- Pro	was	40

IN THE
Circuit Court

OF

BALTIMORE CITY

To the Honorable the Judge of the Circuit Court of Baltimore City:

in this case, respectfully shows that sleave be granted to do so before one of the Standing Examiners of this Court.

THE PETITION OF Louise Howard

Milton Dashiell
Solicitor for piff

N THE CIRCUIT COURT
Louise Howard Clifton Howard
DEPOSITIONS
P No. 9 60 50
PLAINTIFF'S COSTS Examiner \$ 8.00 Copies Sheriff Stenographer 2.00 Paid DEFENDANT'S COSTS
Examiner \$ Copies Sheriff Stenographer
GEORGE ARNOLD FRICK, Examiner
iled 12 day of March 19

Louise Howard vs Clifton Howard	In the Circuit Court OF BALTIMORE CITY
The above entitled	l cause being at issue,
and notice having been given me b	y the Solicitor for the Plaintiff
of a desire to take testimony in the	same, I, GEORGE ARNOLD FRICK, one of
the Standing Examiners in the C	ircuit Courts of Baltimore City, under and by
virtue of an order of the above nam	ned Circuit Court, passed in said cause on the
d	ay of December 19_23, met on
the <u>17th</u> day of	December in the year nineteen
hundred and twentythree a	t my office, in the City of Baltimore, in the
State of Maryland, and assigned the	e 17th day of December
in the same year attwo	o'clock in the after noon and the
office of Mr. Milton Dashiel	1 . Solicitor, in the City and State
aforesaid, as the time and place for	such examination of witnesses in said cause;
at which last mentioned time and	l place I attended, due notice of such meeting
having been given, and proceeded i	n the presence of the Solicitorof the
Plaintiff	to take the following depositions, that

is to say:—

LOUISE HOWARD, the plaintiff, produced as a witness on her own behalf, being first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows-that is to say:-

BY THE EXAMINER: -

- 1 Q- Will you state your name, residence and occupation ?
- Λ My name is Louise Howard, my residence is 219 Myrtle Avenue and my occupation is maid.
- 2 Q- Do you know the parties to this suit ?
- A- Yes sir, I am the plaintiff and Clifton Howard is my husband.

BY MR. DASHIELL:-

- 3 Q- When were you and he married ?
 - A- August 8. 1920.
- 4 Q- Where and by whom were you married ?
- A- I was married on Poppleton street, Baltimore, Maryland, by a duly ordained Minister.
- 5 Q- Where did you live after you were married?
 - A- 404 Fremont Avenue.
- 6 Q- Are you living together now?
 - A- No sir.
- 7 Q- When did you separate?
 - A- Sometime in March, 1923.
- 8 Q- Did you leave him or did he leave you?
 - A- He left me.

- 9 Q- How long have you been living in Baltimore?
- A- I came here in 1917 and have been living here continously ever since.
- 10 Q- Is there any children as a result of your marriage?
 - A- No sir.
- 11 Q- What was your conduct towards your husband ?
 - A- Kind and affectionate.
- 12 Q- Always provided for him did you ?
 - A- Yes sir, and kept a good home.
- 13 Q- Did he support you properly?
- A- Sometimes he wasn't working and I was working the whole time I was married.
- 14 Q- When he left you did you know of him having done anything wrong?
- A- Yes sir, because he used to stay out at nights before he left me, and I forgave him for this because he promised to do better; but he finally left me in March, 1923.
- 15 Q- Why did he leave you in March, 1923 ?
- A- I don't know whey he left me, just running about, that's all I know.
- 16 Q- Did he leave you; or have you any reason to believe since the separation why he left you?
 - A- For good times with another woman.
- 17 Q- Have you ever talked to him, do you know any of these women?
 - A- One by the name of Helen.

- 18 Q- Where does this Helen live ?
- A- I don't know where she lives now but I know where she did live.
- 19 Q- When ?
 - A- About a month ago she lived at 223 Myrtle Avenue.
- 20 Q- Where did she live between the time that he left you and the time you brought this divorce suit, did she at that time live at Myrtle Avenue?
 - A- Yes sir, she did.
- 21 Q- Did you ever have a conversation with your husband about this girl?
 - A- Yes sir.
- 22 Q- And what did he tell you?
 - A- He said he stayed with her some nights.
- 23 Q- And that was this girl Helen, was it ?
 - A- Yes sir.
- 24 Q- What else did he say to you?
- A- He said he wasn't going to stop running around until he finished his good times.
- 25 Q- Since your separation have you ever had mariatl relations with him ?
 - A- Not since I found out about this Helen.
- 26 Q- Have you ever condoned or forgiven him for this offense?
 - A- No sir.
- 27 Q- What does your husband do ?
 - A- He was a chauffeur, I don't know what he is doing now.

- 28 Q- What does he make a week?
- A- I don't know sir, I cannot answer that, my husband make s about twelve dollars a week.
- 29 Q- Have you ever seen your husband since the separation, and before you brought your bill for divorce?
 - A- Yes sir.
- 30 Q- Was he alone or was he with so meone?
 - A- With someone.
- 31 Q- Who was he with?
 - A- This girl.
- 32 Q- What girl?
 - A- Helen.
- 33 Q- Where did you see them at ?
 - A- On Myrtle Avenue.
- 34 Q- Did you see where they went ?
 - A- I seen them go in 223 Myrtle Avenue.
- 35 Q- Is that where this girl lives?
 - A- She lived there then.
- 36 Q- What part of the house did she occupy?
 - A- The middle room on the third floor.
- 37 Q- You mean that she only occupied one room?
 - A- Yes sir.
- 38 Q- And what kind of room was that?
 - A- Bedroom.
- 39 Q- Do you mean to say that she carried your husband to this room?

- A- Yes sir.
- 40 Q- They lived there together ?
- A- I have seen them go in often at night and then see them come out in the morning.
- 41 Q- You say, you saw that quite often?
 - A- Yes sir.
- 42 Q- How did you come to see that ?
 - A- I lived two doors from there, I used to watch.

QUESTION BY THE EXAMINER:

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be of benefit or advantage to the parties to this suit or either of them or that may be material to the subject of this your examination or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

Louise Howard

Answer: W.

EMMA KENNEDY, produced as a witness on behalf of the plaintiff, being first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows-that is to say:-

BY THE EXAMINER:-

- 1 Q- Will you state your name, residence and occupation?
- A-. My name is Emma Kennedy, my residence is 791 W. Mulberry Street, and my occupation is operator.
- 2 Q- Do you know the parties to this suit ?
 - A- Yes sir.
- 3 Q- How long have you known them ?
- A- I know him fifteen or sixteen years all together, her about ten years.

BY MR. DASHIELL:-

- 4 Q- Do you know that they were married ?
 - A- Yes sir.
- 5 Q- Do you know when they were married ?
 - A- In August, I don't remember the exact year.
- 6 Q- They lived together as husband and wife?
 - A- Yes sir.
- 7 Q- They held themselves out as man and wife?
 - A- Yes sir.
- 8 Q- And everybody knew them to be man and wife ?
 - A- Yes sir.
- 9 Q- Do you know how long has she been in Baltimore City, State of Maryland as a resident, has she been in Baltimore,

Emma Kennedy.

more than two years. three years?

- A- Yes sir, for several years continously.
- 10 Q- What was her conduct toward her husband ?
 - A- Good and faithful wife.
- 11 Q- Have they any children?
 - A- No sir.
- 12 Q- Do you know anything about the separation?
- A- No sir, I don't know anything about the separation. If just know that he left her in March, 1923.
- 13 Q- Have you ever seen him with any other woman?
 - A- Yes sir, I have seen him with this girl named Helen.
- 14 Q- Where does this girl live, do you know?
 - A- No sir, I don't know where she lives.
- 15 Q- Do you know whether or not she has lived with her husband since he left her in March?
 - A- No sir, she has not.
- 16 Q- She has not?
 - A- No sir.

QUESTION BY THE EXAMINER:

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be of benefit or advantage to the parties to this suit or either of them or that may be material to the subject of this your examination or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

Answer: W muss Emma bærredez &

-9-

LILLIE GIBSON, produced as a witness on behalf of the plaintiff, being first duly sworn, depose thand saith as follows-that is to say:-

BY THE EXAMINER: -

- 1 Q- Will you state your name, residence and occupation?
- A- My name is Lillie Gibson, my residence is 219 Myrtle Avenue, my occupation is cook.
- 2 Q- Do you know the parties to this suit ?
 - A- Yes sir.
- 3 Q- How long have you known them ?
 - A- Since 1921.
- 4 Q- Do you know one or both?
 - A- Both.

BY MR. DASHIELL:-

- 5 Q- As far as you know are they man and wife ?
 - A- They are.
- 6 Q- Are there any children of that marriage, as issue of that marriage?
 - A- No children.
- 7 Q- Now, what was the conduct of the wife towards the husband?
 - A- Nice, as far as I know; good as far as I know.
- 8 Q- Was she a dutiful wife, keep a proper home?
 - A Yes sir, certainly was.
- . 9 Q- What was his conduct towards her ?
 - A- He left her and stayed out at nights.

Lillie Gibson.

- 10 Q- Are they living together now?
 - A- Nosir.
- 11 Q- Do you know why they are not living together or when they stopped living together?
 - A- In March. 1923.
- 12 Q- Now, did she leave him or did he leave her, which left the other?
 - A- He left her.
- 13 Q- When you say that he stayed out at night, do you mean that he stayed out part of the night or all nights?
 - A- He stayed out all night.
- 14 Q- Do you know who he stayed with?
- A- Stayed with a girl cross the street from me. I know her when I see her.
- 15 Q- Is he still with this girl?
 - A- I don't know now.
- 16 Q- When was the last time that you knew he was with this pirl.?
 - A. In the early part of October of this year.
- 17 Q- So far as you know he was with her in the early part of October of this year?
 - A- Yes Sir, he was.
- 18 Q- Do you know this girl's name?
 - A- Her first name is Helen don't know her last name.

Lillie Gibson.

- 19 Q- You say she lived across the street from you?
 - A- Yes sir.
- 20 Q- Does the defendant. Clifton Howard live there also?
 - A- Yes sir, he did when Helen stayed there.
- 21 Q- How did they live there?
- A- They lived there together as man and wife. I saw them go in there at night and leave in the morning.
- 22 Q- Do you know how this girl Helen and Howard were regarded by the people in the neighborhood?
- A- Yes sir, they were regarded as man and wife, because she was introduced to me as his wife and I knew that wasn't true and she was also introduced to others as being the wife of the defendant.
- 23 Q- Do you know what part of the house Helen and the defendant occupied or how much of the house they occupied?
- A- One room was all I seen, because I could look from the window in my room directly into their room.

 24 Q- What kind of a room was it?
 - A- It was a bedroom.
- 25 Q- And you say you saw them there often together?
 - A- Sure.
- 26 Q- On what occasions have you seen them ?
 - A- Well, different times day and night.
- 27 Q- When was it that you saw them in that room together?
- A- At different times during the past summer since he left his wife. the plaintiff.

Lillie Gibson.

28 Q- Have the plaintiff and defendant lived together or cohabited together since the separation?

A- So far as I know they have not.

QUESTION BY THE EXAMINER:

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be of benefit or advantage to the parties to this suit or either of them or that may be material to the subject of this your examination or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer. Answer: Mo Jellie Gibson.

No other witnesses bei	ng named or produced before	e me, I then at the
request of the Solicitorof	the Plaintiff	
closed the depositions taken in	said cause, and now return th	em closed under my
hand and seal on this	12th	day of
March	in the year of our Lo	ord nineteen hundred
	the city of Baltimore in the Sta	
	Yavaza Trund	/// . //
There are no	Exhibits with these	e depositions, to wit:
Plaintiff's Exhibit		
Defendant'sExhibit		
	Parry Frusta	Examiner.
I, GEORGE ARNOLD	FRICK, the Examiner before	whom_the_foregoing
depositions were taken, do here	eby certify that I was employe	d in assigning a day,
and taking the said depositions	upondays, on_	both
of which I was employed by the by the defendant	ne plaintiff, and on, and on	A Harle
]	Examiner

685 Cir. Ct. No. 2. 1923 [63] Docket No.
Louise Howard vs. Olfton Howard
SUBMISSION FOR DECREE.
Mr. Clerk, Please file, Multin Dashiell Solicitor for Plaintiff. 826056 No. (7)
Filed 12 March 192,

Louise Howard	In the Circuit Court No. 2
vs.	Of Baltimore City.
Clifton Howard	TERM 192
To the Honorable	
Juc	lge of the Said Court:
	The above cause is respectfully submitted for
decree and the 43rd General Equity I	Rule is hereby waived.
	Milton Dashiell Solicitor for Plaintiff,
	Solicitor for Frankin,
	Solicitor for Defendant.
J. Steward David	
J. Stewart.	

Circuit Court Order of Reference and Report Order Filed /3 day of March 192 of Report Filed. day of 192

IN THE Circuit Court BALTIMORE CITY This case being submitted, without argument, it is ordered by the Court, this , 192 , that the same be and it is hereby referred to , Esq., Auditor and Master, to report the pleadings and the facts, and his opinion thereon. Odarles Foton Report of Auditor and Master Bill filed by wife against husband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the ground of adultery, Code Article 16, Section 36. Defendant summoned and answered. Proof shows marriage, residence and adultery by the Defendant. Case submitted and ready for decree. Het Anobertson Auditor and Master.

Circuit Court

685 B 1923 No. 63 Docket

LOUISE HOWARD,

VS.

CLIFTON HOWARD,

Recorded

Polio 86 100

DECREE OF DIVORCE

B No. 26056
(9)
Filed 27' March, 1924

The within is a proper decree to be passed in this case.

	IN THE
LOUISE HOWARD,	
	Circuit Court
vs.	O.D.
	OF
CLIFTON HOWARD,	BALTIMORE CITY
OBJETON HOWELDS	MARCH Term, 19.24.
This cause standing ready for hearing and being dul	y submitted, the proceedings were by the Court read
and considered.	
It is thereupon, this Trusty enrith -	
Domini, one thousand nine hundred and twenty-four	by the Circuit Court of
Baltimore City, Adjudged, Ordered and Decreed, that the	said
LOUISE HOWARD,	
the above named complainant be, and she is hereby D defendant, CLIFTON HOWARD.	IVORCED, A VINCULO MATRIMONII, from the
4	
And it is further Ordered, that the said Defendant	
pay the cost of this proceeding.	Charles taken.
pay the cost of this proceeding.	
	I, CHARLES R. WHITEFORD, Clerk of the Circuit
	Court of Baltimore City, do hereby certify
	that the above is a true copy of the decree
	taken from the record of proceedings in
	said cause.
	In Testimony Whereof, I hereunto set my hand and affix the seal of the said Court,
	thisday of19
	Clerk Circuit Court of Baltimore City.