On the Sevent Coart 3/0/17 Backing 163 Srisie Lee, 926 and the second Remard Ree Divorce a Vineulo who Clerk Please file () Genai Jani J. STEWARD DAVIS ATTORNEY AT LAW 118 E. LEXINGTON STREET March)

In The Circuit Court

of

Susie Lee

vs.

Leonard Lee

Baltimore City.

To The Honorable, The Judge of Said Court:

Your Oratrix, complaining, respectfully states:

FIRST, That Your parties hereto, were married November 3rd. 1917 by Rev. Hayes, a methodist minister in Baltimore; and they lived together as man and wife until the first of September 1919.

SECOND, That Your Oratrix is a resident of the city of Baltimore, state of Maryland, and has been for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint. That the respondent is also a resident of the city of Baltimore, state of Maryland.

THIRD, That though the conduct of your Oratrix toward her husband has always been kind, affectionate and above reproach; He without any just cause or reason abandoned her, that upon divers times and occasion he committed adultery with one Margaret Kriegler.

FOURTH, That Your Oratrix has never condoned nor forgiven the said desertion, that she has never co-habited with the said respondent since the said desertion.

FIFTH, That there is one minor child, Clarence, I4 months old born of the parties of this suit.

SIXTH, That Your Oratrix is without the means to defray the expenses of this litigation and that the defendant is employed, earning about \$30 per week, and she is advised by counsel that she is entitled to have an order passed by This Honorable Court, awarding her a certain sum as alimony during the progress of this suit, permanent alimony for the support of the aforesaid minor child, and a further sum to compensate her solicitor for his services in the cause.

WHEREFORE YOUR ORATRIX PRAYS:

a-That a decree be passed, divorcing Your Oratrix from the

respondent, a vinculo matrimonnii.

b-Permaneht for the support of herself and minor child.

-2-

c-Such other and further releif as the case may require, including alimony pendente lite and counsel fee in such sums as this Honorable Court may think proper. Awarding her a certain sum as alimony during the progress of this suit, permanent alimony

May it please Your Honor to grant unto Your Oratrix a writ of subpoena, directed unto said defendant, commanding him to be or appear in this Court on some day certain, to be named therein, to perform such decree as may be passed in the premises. As in duty bound etc.

icitor for Complainant.

State of Maryland City of Baltimore

) To Wit

I hereby certify that on this day of 17 h 1920, before me the subscriber, a Notary Public in and for the dry of Baltimore, state of Maryland aforesaid, personally appeared Susie Lee complainant in the foregoing bill and made oath in due form of law that the matter contained therein was true to the best of her knowledge and beleif.

Authin n. Toyers

35 113 Bles Wyman Park Darage Myman 29 th At Runington 7 29 th At Ct. Ct. 1920 Docket No. SUBPOENA TO ANSWER BILL OF COMPLAINT 0.50 Filed 24 March 1925 Alternal Davis SOLICITOR

EQUITY SUBPOENA The State of Maryland

To

emaid Lee.

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, that all excuses set aside, you be in your person before the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, at the Court House in said City, on the second Monday of 192 0, to answer the complaint of

isie Lee

against you in said Court exhibited.

HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril:

WITNESS, the honorable MORRIS A. SOPER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench day of March of Baltimore City, the 1920 arch in the year 192 D Issued the day of Clerk. Thitefor Our

Notice to the person summoned:

"Personal attendance in Court on the day named in the above writ is not required; but unless within fifteen (15) days after the return day, legal defense is made in the above mentioned suit a Judgment by default may be entered against you."

 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B & Docket \\ 19 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$ CIRCUIT COURT vs. 1019 artington and uch se Order For Alimony Pendente Lite ORDER B No. 20 7 7 B) 6.57 0. Fd: 18 March 1920

•••

IN THE 11000 **CIRCUIT COURT** OF vs. **BALTIMORE CITY** March TERM, 192 () 10191 18 day of Much 1920, ORDERED BY THE COURT this.... that the defendant pay to the plaintiff Ame the sum of... 18 Dollars per week, during the continuance of this suit, accounting from the nurce 192 U to the said plaintiff \searrow 00 URIO day of. 3 as alimony, pendente lite unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before the March 192 Q provided a copy of this Order be served on the said defendant day of... 34 Emaid Lee ...on or before the.. day of (Marc 192 *D* TRUE COPY-TEST: CLERK