

3/24/28

In Amount of
\$ 7.16
Baltimore, Md. 1919

Pauline Helms

vs

Army for Helms

Bill for Dinner

Mr. Clerk please

~~for~~

B 20160

(1)(2)

J. STEWARD DAVIS

ATTORNEY AT LAW

113 E. LEXINGTON STREET
BALTIMORE, MD.

At 28 Oct 1919

Pauline Helm

In The Circuit Court

Vs.

of

Arrington S. Helm

Baltimore City.

To The Honorable, The Judge of Said Court:

Your Oratrix, complaining, respectfully says:

FIRST, That the parties hereto were married on or about the 7th. day of January 1918 and lived together as man and wife until on or about the 1st. day of July, 1919. Said marriage occurred in Baltimore City, State of Maryland.

Second, That Your Oratrix is a resident of the city of Baltimore, state of Maryland, and has been for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint. That the respondent is a non-resident of the city and state and when last heard of was in Madison N.J.


THIRD, That though the conduct of Your Oratrix towards her husband has always been kind, affectionate, and above reproach, he without any just cause or reason, abandoned Your Oratrix; and that said defendant was prior to the said marriage, impotent and has been every since their marriage.

FOURTH, That Your Oratrix has never condoned said offense That there are no children born as a result of said marriage. Therefore YOUR ORATRIX PRAYS:


- a-A divorce a vinculo matrimonii from the respondent.
- b-Such other and further relief as the case may require.

May it please Your Honor to grant unto Your Oratrix, an order of publication, setting forth the nature and substance of this bill and warning the said respondent to be in this Court in person or appear by solicitor on or before a certain day, to be therein named and show cause, if any he may have, why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

As in duty bound etc.



Complainant



Solicitor for Complainant.

(2)

State of Maryland)
) To Wit
Baltimore City)

I hereby certify that on this 25 day of Oct 1919, before me the subscriber, a Notary Public in and for the city of Baltimore, state of Maryland, personally appeared Pauline Helm, the complainant in the foregoing action and made oath in due form of law that the matter contained therein was true to the best of his knowledge and beleif.

Arthur M. Rogers

Notary Public.

J. Steward Davis, & Solicitor
118 E. Lexington St.

IN THE Circuit Court of Baltimore City.

Pauline Helm Vs. Arrington Helm.

ORDER OF Publication.

The object of this suit is to procure a decree for a divorce A Vincul Matrimonii, by the plaintiff from the defendant.

The Bill states that the parties thereto were married in Baltimore City, State of Maryland on or about the 7th. day of January 1918 and lived together as man and wife until on or about the 1st. day of July, 1919. That the plaintiff is a resident of the city of Baltimore, state of Maryland and has been for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill of Complaint. That the defendant is a non-resident of this City and State, and when last heard of was in Madison City, N.J. That though the conduct of the plaintiff towards her husband was always kind and affectionate and above reproach; he, without just cause or reason, abandoned her, and has declared his intentions not to live with her any longer and that said defendant was prior to the said marriage, impotent and has been every since their marriage.

It is thereupon by The Circuit Court of Baltimore City, ordered this ²⁷ day of ^{October} 1919, that the plaintiff by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some daily newspaper, published in the city of Baltimore, once a week ^{for} four successive weeks, before the ²⁷ day ^{November} 1919. and give notice to the said defendant, Arrington S. Helm (now absent) of the object and substance of this Bill and warning him to be and appear in this Court in person or by Solicitor, on or before the ¹⁵ day ^{December} 1919. to show cause if any he may have, why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

James P. Gorter