ANDERSON L. HARRIS,

vs

THE WESTERN MARYLAND DAIRY, INCORPORATED, a body corporate

IN THE

SUPERIOR COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY

Mr. Clerk:-

Please enter the above entitled case agreed and satisfied.

Davis Towars & J. H. Hampton
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorneys for Defendent

365

IN THE

SUPERIOR COURT OF

BALTIMORE CITY

ANDERSON L. HARRIS

VS

WESTERN MARYLAND DAIRY, INC. a body corporate

ORDER OF SATISFACTION agreed & Lettled

Mr. Clerk:-

Please file.

Davis Towneys for Plaintiff

Attorneys for Defendant

2.60

Filed 19 Sept 1925

:

ANDERSON L. HARRIS : IN THE

vs : SUPERIOR COURT

THE WESTERN MARYLAND : of

DAIRY, INCORPORATED, a body corporated : BALTIMORE CITY

The defendant by Sauerwein, Lindsay and Donoho, its attorneys, for a plea to the Declaration filed in the above entitled case says that it did not commit the wrongs alleged.

ahmad potenta insumous

Attorneys for Defendant

SHI BIE PH

IN THE
SUPERIOR COURT OF
BALTIMORE CITY

ANDERSON L. HARRIS

vs

WESTERN MARYLAND DAIRY, INC. a body corporate

GENERAL ISSUE PLEA

Mr. Clerk:-

Please file.

Dankly himban Somoho
Attorneys for Defendant

Fd. 21 Jany. 1925

IN THE

VS.

THE WESTERN MARYLAND DAIRY COMPANY, INC.

Superior T COURT.

The following is a bill of particulars of the plaintiff's claim against the defendant, that is to say:

That this suit is brought by Anderson L. Harris for the purpose of recovering the damages sustained by reason of his illness caused by being deprived of the services of his wife the may during her illness, having drunk the contents or some of the contents of a bottle of milk purchased from the defendant, its agents, servants, or employees, said bottle of milk contained foreign substances, that is to say "Linoxyn" or some gummy substance of the nrture of paint.

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

IN THE Superior 225

ANDERSON L. HARRIS

VS.

THE WESTERN MARYLAND
DAIRY, a body corporate.

Bill of Portugar

Mr .Clerk:-

Please file.

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

Service Copyalmit

Savewer Lucasony o Donat

J. STEWARD DAVIS

ATTORNET AT EAU

215 SAINT PAUL PLACE

d 17 Jany 14

BAUMGARTEN & CO., INC.

0

:

ANDERSON L. HARRIS

IN THE

VS

SUPERIOR COURT

WESTERN MARYLAND DAIRY, a body corporate

of BALTIMORE CITY

.

The defendant, by Sauerwein, Lindsay and Donoho, its attorneys, demurs to the Declaration in the above entitled case, and says that the same is bad in substance and insufficient in Law.

Attorneys for Defendant

1924

IN THE

SUPERIOR COURT

of

BALTIMORE CITY

ANDERSON L. HARRIS

VS

WESTERN MARYLAND DAIRY, Inc. a body corporate

DEMURRER

Mr. Clerk:

Please file.

Attorneys for Defendant

Conice of Copy Salviettel 8 tacquest 1920

SHERIFF'S RETURN

A CORPORATION, BY SERVICE ON OSCAN. B.Schier. Vice.
A CORPORATION, BY SERVICE ON OSCAN. B.Schier. Vice.
AND A COPY OF NAR AND NOTICE TO PLEAD WITH A COPY OF THE PROCESS
LEFT WITH SAID PICE President, ALSO NOTICE OF SAID SUMMONS
LEFT AT THE PRINCIPAL OFFICE OF SAID CORPORATION.
Ur 40/1/20
(Bichy) (6-10-240)

John & Potee SHERIFF

STATE OF MARYLAND,

BALTIMORE CITY, to wit:

	To the Sheriff of Baltimore City, Greeting
	You are hereby commanded to summon
	The Western Maryland Dairy
	a body corporate
of Baltimore City, to appear be	ore the Superior Court of Baltimore City, to be held at the Court House in th
same city, on the second Mond	ay ofnext, to answe
an action at the suit of	Anderson L. Harris
and have you then and there th	s writ:
Witness, the Honorable	JAMES P. GORTER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City
the12th	day of May 1924
	day of June 192 4
200 400	DI D C P 1

P 569

No.	23	•••••	565	/1924	Ļ	Su.	Ct.
A	nder	son	L.	Harri	s		
				••••••			
			vs				
The	Wes	ter	n Ma	rylar	ıġ.		
Da	iry	a l	oody	corp	0.1	rate	
	7		•••••	•••••			
	One Wri			Sum	m	ons	
D:	to t	be ser & I H. I	ved o Evan Hamp	ton 1924	ıda	nt. ttorn	
			1	\wedge			

ANDERSON L. HARRIS

IN THE

VS.

THE WESTERN MARYLAND DAIRY, a body corporate

Superior COURT.
Baltimore City

Anderson L. Harris by his attorneys Davishand Evans and John H. Hampton, sues the Wastern Maryland Dairy, a body corporate.

(I)

For that the Western Maryland Dairy, a body corporate, defendant, at the time of the injuries hereinafter complained of, prior thereto and at the present time, was and still is engaged in the manufacture and bottling of dairy products and in particular milks advertised and sold within the City of Baltimore.

(2)

For that heretofore to wit: on or about the IOth day of October, 1923, Ella May Harris, the plaintiff's wife, purchased for herself for the purpose of drinking, a pint bottle of milk and sold by the aforesaid. The Western Maryland Dairy, a body corporate, its agents and employees to the said Ella May Harris, having been delivered to the said Ella May Harris by the defendant's driver for the use and consumption of the said Ella May Harris or others desiring to use it. That the said bottle of milk at the time and place aforesaid contained foreign substances. That the said Ella May Harris by reason of the negligence and carelessness of the said defendant in permitting said foreign substances to remain in said bottle of milk. which was being drunk by the said Ella May Harris, who was using due care and caution in drinking said bottle of milk, was seriously injured and made very nervous and ill by reason of said foreign substance being left in the said bottle and was otherwise seriously injured and damaged thereby. and the plaintiff was deprived of and lost the service and society of his wife, the said Ella May Harris, for a long time, to wit: for one month; and the plaintiff was forced to and did then and there spend divers sums of money in endeavoring to cure his wife of her hurts and wounds occasioned as aforesaid.

(4)

And the plaintiff says that the injuries of Ella May
Harris and the plaintiff's damages suffered therefrom, were
caused by reason of the negligence and carelessness and want of
dur care on the part of the defendant and not through any
negligence on the part of the plaintiff or Ella May Harris, contributing directly thereto.

WHEREFORE the plaintiff claims \$5,000.00 damages.

Javes ou and Jampson
AFTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF.

The plaintiff elects to have this case tried before a Jury and prays leave of Court to do so.

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF.

TO THE DEFENDANT:

TAKE NOTICE: That on your appearance to this action in Superior Sourt, a rule will be entered requiring you to plead to the aforesaid declaration within thirty days thereafter.

John Hampin.
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTING.

226/9

23 COURT. 365 Baltimore city

ANDERSON L. HARRIS

VS.

THE WESTERN MARYLAND DAIRY, a body corporate

BOX No. 2411

Mr.Clerk:-

Please file.

John St. Hampton AZTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF.

J. STEWARD DAVIS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
215 SAINT PAUL PLACE
BALTIMORE MD

BAUMGARTEN & CO., INC.