

3/18/13/43

<sup>B 194/1919</sup>  
In The Circuit Court  
no. 2 St

Baltimore Ct

Helen Fowler

vs

James Fowler

Bills for Divorce

General Jurisdiction

not cash payable

No 18125 B

J. Stewart Pa

Helen Fowler

In The Circuit Court

Vs.

No. 2 of

James Fowler

Baltimore City.

.....

To The Honorable, The Judge of said Court:

Your Oratrix, complaining, respectfully says:

First, That the parties hereto were married in Balti-

more City on or about the 20th day of September, 1903 and  
led together as man and wife until the 27<sup>th</sup> day of May, 1917

Second, That Your Oratrix has ever been a good, chaste,  
tionate and faithful wife to the said defendant.

Third, That the defendant did on or about the 27<sup>th</sup> day of  
1917, wilfully abandon and desert Your Oratrix and live as  
the husband of another woman in Hubbard Alley, this city,  
committing the offense of adultery.

Fourth, That Your Oratrix has never condoned or forgiven  
the adultery.

Fifth, That both Your Oratrix and the defendant are res-  
idents of Baltimore City and have been for more than two  
years prior to the filing of this ~~bill~~ bill of complaint.

Sixth, That there are four minor children born as the re-  
sult of said marriage: Theodore, 12 years old, Gladys, 10 years  
old, Myrtle, 7 years old, Malvin 6 years old.

Seventh, That Your Oratrix is without the means to de-  
fray the expenses of this litigation and that the defendant  
is employed earning about one-hundred dollars per month, and  
she is advised by counsel that she is entitled to have an or-  
der passed by This Honorable Court awarding her a certain

2.

sum as alimony during the progress of this suit and a further sum to compensate her solicitor for services in the same.

Wherefore Your Oratrix Prays:

a. That a decree be passed divorcing Your Oratrix a vinculo matrimonii.

b. Permanent alimony for the support of herself and her four minor children.

c. Such other and further relief as the case may require, including alimony and counsel fee in such sums as this Honorable Court may think proper.

May it please Your Honor to grant unto Your Oratrix a writ of subpoena, directed unto the said defendant, commanding him to be or appear in this Court on some day certain to ~~the~~ therein named and perform such decree as may be passed in the premises.

As in duty bound etc.

Helen Fowler  
Complainant

C. Paul Jairo  
Solicitor for Complaint.

STATE OF MARYLAND )  
Baltimore City )

To Wit:

I hereby certify that on this 13<sup>th</sup> day of May, in the year nineteen hundred and nineteen, before me the subscriber a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, county of Baltimore, personall appeared Helen Fowler who made oath in due form on law that the facts stated in the above Bill of Complaint are the truth to the best of her knowledge and beleif.

Arthur W. Rogers  
Notary Public of Baltimore





174

Ct. Ct. No. 2

1919

1919

Docket No. 28

Fowler

vs.

Fowler

Subpoena to Answer Bill of Complaint

923

Pro

Hubbards Alley

not for v. months &  
used for order Court  
No. 18125B

2

Copy - kept

Filed

9th June

1919

Stewart Davis  
118 E. Lexington St  
Solicitor.

4

Now Est.

Thomas F. McQuilty  
Sheriff

(Pro)



EQUITY SUBPOENA  
The State of Maryland

To

*James Fowler*

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all excuses set aside, you be in your person before the Circuit Court No. 2 of Baltimore City, at the Court House in said city, on the second Monday of *June*, 191*9*, to answer the complaint of

*Helen Fowler*

against you in said Court exhibited.  
HEREOF fail not, as you will answer to the contrary at your peril:

WITNESS, the Honorable MORRIS A. SOPER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City, the *12<sup>th</sup>* day of *May*, 191*9*

Issued the *15<sup>th</sup>* day of *May*, in the year 191*9*

*John Pleasant*  
Clerk.

**NOTICE TO THE PERSON SUMMONED:**

“Personal attendance in Court on the day named in the above Writ is not required; but unless within such number of days thereafter as the law limits, legal defense is made to the above mentioned suit a judgment by default may be entered against you.”

Ct. Ct. No. 2

194

1919

B

Docket No. 28

Fawler

vs.

Fawler

Subpoena to Answer Bill of Complaint

Cahy

No. ....

Filed ....., 191

Solicitor.



EQUITY SUBPOENA  
The State of Maryland

To



*James Fowler*

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all excuses set aside, you be in your person before the Circuit Court No. 2 of Baltimore City, at the Court House in said city, on the second Monday of

*June*

, 191*9*, to answer the complaint of

*Helen Fowler*

HEREOF fail not, as you will answer to the contrary at your peril: against you in said Court exhibited.

WITNESS, the Honorable MORRIS A. SOPER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City, the *12<sup>th</sup>* day of *May*, 191*9*

Issued the *15<sup>th</sup>* day of *May*, in the year 191*9*

*John Pleasant*

Clerk.

**NOTICE TO THE PERSON SUMMONED:**

“Personal attendance in Court on the day named in the above Writ is not required; but unless within such number of days thereafter as the law limits, legal defense is made to the above mentioned suit a judgment by default may be entered against you.”

TRUE COPY TEST

*John Pleasant*

CLERK.



SERVE ON

*James Fowler*  
~~*923 Hull Street*~~

*194* B Docket

*1919*  
**Circuit Court No. 2**

*J. Fowler*

vs.

*J. Fowler*

**Order Counsel Fee and Alimony.**

Pendente Lite

ORDER *15 May 1919*

" *27 May 1919*  
" *17 June 1919*  
No. *18125* B.

(3-4) (6)

*Copy = copied*  
*Copy order = copied*  
*Copy order = copied*  
Filed *15 May* 1919  
" *27 May* 1919  
" *17 June 4* 1919

*Now Est.*  
*Thomas J. Wolf* Sheriff  
(Wolf)  
*2nd Return*  
*Now Est.*  
*Thomas J. Wolf* Sheriff  
(Wolf)  
*3rd Return*  
*Now Est.*  
*Thomas J. Wolf* Sheriff  
(Wolf)

IN THE  
**CIRCUIT COURT No. 2**

OF  
**BALTIMORE CITY**

*Belus Fowler*

VS.

*James Fowler*

*May*

TERM, 1919

ORDERED BY THE COURT this

*15<sup>th</sup>*

day of

*May*

1919

that the defendant

*James Fowler*

pay to the plaintiff

*Belus Fowler*

the sum of

*Twelve 50/100*

Dollars per week, during the continuance of this suit as Alimony, pendente lite, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before the

*31<sup>st</sup>*

day of

*May*

, 1919,

provided a copy of this Order be served on the said defendant

*James Fowler*

on or before the

*20<sup>th</sup>*

day of

*May*

, 1919,

the question of Counsel fee being reserved for the further determination of this Court.

*John D. Dobler*

The foregoing Order having been returned "Non Est" as appears by the Sheriff's return thereon; it is Ordered by the Circuit Court No. 2, of Baltimore City this

*2<sup>nd</sup>* day of

1919,

that the time for showing cause under said Order be extended to the

*12<sup>th</sup>* day of

*June*

1919,

and the time for service of a copy of the same be extended to the

*2<sup>nd</sup>* day of

*June*

1919.

*John D. Dobler*

The foregoing Order having been returned "Non Est" as appears by the Sheriff's return thereon; it is Ordered by the Circuit Court No. 2, of Baltimore City this

*17<sup>th</sup>* day of

1919,

that the time for showing cause under said Order be extended to the

*23<sup>rd</sup>* day of

*July*

1919,

and the time for service of a copy of the same be extended to the

*23<sup>rd</sup>* day of

*June*

1919.

*John D. Dobler*



SERVE ON

194  
1919 B Docket

# Circuit Court No. 2

Fowler

vs.

Fowler

Order Counsel Fee and Alimony.

Pendente Lite

ORDER

No.

B.

( )

Filed

191



Helen Fowler

vs.  
James Fowler

IN THE  
CIRCUIT COURT No. 2

OF  
BALTIMORE CITY

May TERM, 1919

ORDERED BY THE COURT this 15<sup>th</sup> day of May 1919

that the defendant James Fowler  
pay to the plaintiff Helen Fowler  
the sum of Twelve 50/100

Dollars per week, during the continuance of this suit as Alimony, pendente lite, unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before the 31<sup>st</sup>

day of May, 1919, provided a copy of this Order be served on the said defendant

James Fowler on or before the 20<sup>th</sup>

day of May, 1919, the question of Counsel fee being reserved for the further determination of this Court.

John J. Dabler

TRUE COPY—TEST:

John Pleasants  
Clerk.

The foregoing Order having been returned "Non Est" as appears by the Sheriff's return thereon; it is Ordered by the Circuit Court No. 2, of Baltimore City this 27<sup>th</sup> day of May 1919, that the time for showing cause under said Order be extended to the 12<sup>th</sup> day of June 1919, and the time for service of a copy of the same be extended to the 2<sup>nd</sup> day of June 1919.

John J. Dabler

TRUE COPY TEST.

John Pleasants  
CLERK.

The foregoing Order having been returned "Non Est" as appears by the Sheriff's return thereon; it is Ordered by the Circuit Court No. 2, of Baltimore City this 17<sup>th</sup> day of June 1919, that the time for showing cause under said Order be extended to the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of July 1919, and the time for service of a copy of the same be extended to the 23<sup>rd</sup> day of June 1919.

John J. Dabler

TRUE COPY TEST.

John Pleasants  
CLERK.

207

Ct. Ct. No. 2

194  
1919

Docket No. 28

Fowler

vs.

Fowler

Subpoena to Answer Bill of Complaint

Pro

No. 18125B  
5

Copy - looked

Filed 14<sup>th</sup> July, 1919  
Steward Davis  
Solicitor.  
118 E Lexington St

Now Est.

Thomas J. McMully  
Sheriff

(Prof)





EQUITY SUBPOENA  
The State of Maryland

To

*James Fowler*

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all excuses set aside, you be in your person before the Circuit Court No. 2 of Baltimore City, at the Court House in said city, on the second Monday of

*July*

, 191*9*, to answer the complaint of

*Helena Fowler*

against you in said Court exhibited. HEREOF fail not, as you will answer to the contrary at your peril:

WITNESS, the Honorable MORRIS A. SOPER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City, the *12<sup>th</sup>* day of *May*, 191*9*

Issued the *17<sup>th</sup>* day of *June*, in the year 191*9*

*John Pleasants*

Clerk.

**NOTICE TO THE PERSON SUMMONED:**

“Personal attendance in Court on the day named in the above Writ is not required; but unless within such number of days thereafter as the law limits, legal defense is made to the above mentioned suit a judgment by default may be entered against you.”



Ct. Ct. No. 2

194  
1919 B Docket No. 28

Fowler

vs.

Fowler

Subpoena to Answer Bill of Complaint

Oahy

No. ....

Filed ....., 191

Solicitor.

EQUITY SUBPOENA  
The State of Maryland

To



*James Fowler*

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all excuses set aside, you be in your person before the Circuit Court, No. 2 of Baltimore City, at the Court House in said city, on the second Monday of *July*, 191*9*, to answer the complaint of

*Helen Fowler*

against you in said Court exhibited. HEREOF fail not, as you will answer to the contrary at your peril:

WITNESS, the Honorable MORRIS A. SOPER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City, the *12<sup>th</sup>* day of *May*, 191*9*

Issued the *17<sup>th</sup>* day of *June*, in the year 191*9*  
*John Pleasants*  
Clerk.

NOTICE TO THE PERSON SUMMONED:

“Personal attendance in Court on the day named in the above Writ is not required; but unless within such number of days thereafter as the law limits, legal defense is made to the above mentioned suit a judgment by default may be entered against you.”

TRUE COPY TEST.

*John Pleasants*  
CLERK.