IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALTIMORE CITY.

IRENE DIXON

VS.

GEORGE DIXON
1128 Mystle av.

Mr.Clerk:-

Please file.

J. STEWARD DAVIS

ATTORNEY AT LAW

215 SAINT PAUL PLACE

BAUMGARTEN & CO., INC.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

IRENE DIXON

VS.

OF

GEORGE DIXON

BALTIMORE CITY

TO". THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:
Your Oratrix complaining respectfully says:

- I. That she was married to her husband, George Dixon on or about the 5th day of April, 1915 and with whom she resided until about the 14th day of July, 1924 when the defendant deserted the plaintiff.
- 2. That though the conduct of your Oratrix toward the said George Dixon has always been kind, affectionate and above reproach, he has without any just cause or reason, abandoned and deserted her and has declared his intention to live with her no longer, that such abandonment is deliberate and final and the separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable expectation of reconciliation.
- That there are three children born as issue of said marriage, ages ten years, four years and two years.
- 4. That your Oratrix is destitute and without funds for her support, and the support of the three children. She is advised by Counsel that she may petition this Honorable Court that she may be granted separate support and maintenance for her self and children, and a reasonable amount as counsel fee to compensate her solicitor. Defendant large about 35% a week
- 5. That both your Oratrix and the defendant are citizens of the State of Maryland, having resided in Baltimore City for

more than two years prior to the filing of this Bill of Complaint.
WHEREFORE YOUR ORATRIX PRAYS:

- I. That she be granted separate support and maintenance for herself and children.
- 2. Alimony pendente lite. V coursel fee
- .3. Such other and further relief as the case may require.

May it please your Honor to grant unto your Oratrix
the Writ of Subpoena directed against the said George Dixon,
commanding and requiring him to be and appear in this Court on
some day certain to be named therein to answer the premises and
abide by and perform such decree or order as may be passed therein.

AND as in duty bound.etc.

AMMORNEYS HOR PLAINMING

Ct. Ct. Docket No. SUBPOENA TO ANSWER BILL OF COMPLAINT SOLICITOR S.

EQUITY SUBPOENA

The State of Maryland

To

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all excuses set aside, you do within the time limited

rge Dison Is Mystle avz,

by law, beginning on the second Monday of

next

cause an appearance to be entered for you and your answer to be filed to the complaint of

against you exhibited in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City,

HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril.

WITNESS, the Honorable JAMES P. GORTER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore

City, the

Issued the

 \mathcal{O} day

100

, in the year 192

Clerk

MEMORANDUM: You are required to file your answer or other defense in the Clerk's Office, room 206, in the Court House, Baltimore City, within fifteen days after return day.

(General Equity Rules 11)

B Docket 24/ Order for Alimony Pendente Lite **ORDER** B No. 30

ORDERED BY THE COURT this.

ORDERED BY THE COURT this.

TERM, 192

that the defendant of the suit of t

TRUE COPY—TEST:

day of.....

CLERK

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY.

IRENE DIXON

VS.

GEORGE DIXON.

ANSWER.

1330727

Mr: Clerk:

Please file &c.,

espendent.

ROY S. BOND

ATTORNEY AT LAW 220 St. Paul Place

BALTIMORE, MD.

FILED JY

June 1926

THE DAILY BECORD COMPANY Baltimore, Md.

IRENE DIXON

IN THE---

VS.

CIRCUIT COURT OF.

GEORGE DIXON

BALTIMORE CITY.

TO THE HONORABLE-THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Ì

Your Respondent, George Dixon, in answer, respectfully says:

- (1). That he denies the allegations contained in paragraph ONE.
- (2) That he denies the allegations contained in paragraph TWO.
- (3) That he denies the allegations contained in paragraph THREE.
- (4) That he denies the allegations contained in paragraph FOUR.
- (5) Having answered in so far as he is advised is necessary, he respectfully prays that the bill heretofore filed be dismissed and the order thereon vacated.

As in duty bound, he will ever pray.

or lesponde

Gespondent.

STATE OF MARYLAND

BALTIMORE CITY

-to wit-

of 1926, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public, of the State of Maryland, in and for Baltimore City, personally appeared— GEORGE DIXON—Respondent, and made cath in due form of law, that the matter and facts set forth in this his ANSWER, are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

AS WITNESS MY HAND AND NOTARIAL SEAL.

Eleansea S. Wreglel
-NOTARY PUBLIC.

241 Ct. Ct. 1926 No.66 Docket Irene Dilon George Dion. MOTION FOR HEARING B No. 30727 25)

R. S. Bond.

Filed 1' day of July 1926

I rene Dijon	\
	IN THE
vs.	CIRCUIT COURT
George Dijou	OF
	BALTIMORE CITY
The plantiff by Lavis Evalus Ler Solicitor, applies to have the above entitled cause placed on the Trial Calendar for hearing or almony pendent Site	
in conformity with the First Equity Rule.	
Solicitor for.	Plantiff

Serve on

R.S. Bond 220 M Paul

Ct. Ct.

241 1926 No. B-66 Docket

Dixon

vs.

Dixon

NOTICE OF HEARING

B- No. 30727

No.

Filed la day of July 1926

(6)

H

Irene Dixon

vs.

CIRCUIT COURT

OF BALTIMORE CITY

Upon application made by the Solicitor for the

Plaintiff

the above entitled cause has been placed upon the trial calendar in accordance with the provisions of the First Equity Rule, and the same will stand for hearing on

Alimony Pendente Lite

when reached in due course on said calendar.

CHARLES R. WHITEFORD

Clerk Circuit Court.

Circuit Court

1926 B No.

Docket.

Inene Augon

Final Order, Counsel Fee and Alimony Pendente Lite.

BNo. 30727B.

Filed/2" July 1976

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF OF CITY

\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	.
	BALTIMORE CITY.
George Arkon	Term, 19
This cause coming on to be heard	upon the petition for Alimony pendente lite and Counsel
fee, and the answer thereto, and testing	mony taken, and having been submitted by the
solicitors for the respective parties;	
ORDERED by the Circuit Court of	4
of 10 26, th	nat the deservant
pay to the	lautiff
the sum of	
for the Solicitor of the	and that he
further pay the sum of + True	Dollars per week,
to the said planuly	
•	om the 12 day of 1926
and continuing until theday of	
so long pending) or until the further order	er of this Court. Cartinent of The Augroing Mondy
	gun Boug