

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALTIMORE CITY

B 572
1927
FLORENCE COCHRAN

VS.

WILLIAM COCHRAN
2525 Madison Avenue.

B 32447
D
BILL FOR DIVORCE

Mr. Clerk:-

Please file.

Davis & Evans
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

DAVIS & EVANS
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Filed 10 Nov 1927

FLORENCE COCHRAN : IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

VS. : OF

WILLIAM COCHRAN : BALTIMORE CITY

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Your Oratrix complaining respectfully represents:

- (1) That she was married to her husband, William Cochran, on the 15th day of April, 1925 and with whom she resided until on or about the 1st day of November, 1927.
- (2) That ever since said marriage your Oratrix has behaved herself as a faithful, chaste and affectionate wife toward the said William Cochran.
- (3) That the said William Cochran has on divers days and times since said marriage, committed the crime of adultery with divers, lewd and abandoned women, whose names are unknown to your Oratrix and said offense has not been condoned by your Oratrix.
- (4) That your Oratrix has not lived or co-habited with the said defendant since she discovered his said adulteries.
- (5) That there are no children born as result of said marriage.
- (6) That both your Oratrix and the defendant are citizens of the State of Maryland, having resided in Baltimore City for more than three years prior to the filing of this Bill of Complaint.
- (7) ~~Your Oratrix is~~ destitute and without means of financing the expenses of this suit and she is advised by Counsel that she is entitled to have an order passed by this Honorable Court awarding her some amount as alimony pendente lite and some sum certain to compensate her Solicitor. The defendant is employed and earns about thirty-five dollars per week.

TO THE END, THEREFORE, YOUR ORATRIX PRAYS:

- (a) That she may be divorced A Vinculo Matrimonii from the said William Cochran.
- (b) Alimony and Counsel fee.
- (c) That she may have such other and further relief as her case may require.

May it please your Honor to grant unto your Oratrix the Writ of Subpoena directed against the said William Cochran, commanding and requiring him to be and appear in this Court on some day certain to be named therein to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree or order as may be passed therein.

AND as in duty bound, etc.



ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

3/13/1916

RECEIVED AT THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE ON NOV 10 1927 3 22 PM

P 438

Ct. Ct.

B-572

192 7 Docket No.

Florence Cochran

vs.

William Cochran

SUBPOENA TO ANSWER BILL OF COMPLAINT

No. B-32447

(2)

Filed 14 Nov 1927

Davis & Evans

SOLICITOR

Summoned and a copy of the Process left with the Defendant

John E. Potter Sheriff

\$0.95

J. W.

(By cut) (11/14/27)

EQUITY SUBPOENA

The State of Maryland

On William Cochran
2525 Madison Avenue

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all excuses set aside, you do within the time limited by law, beginning on the second Monday of November next cause an appearance to be entered for you and your answer to be filed to the complaint of

Florence Cochran

against you exhibited in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril.

WITNESS, the Honorable JAMES P. GORTER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City, the 12th day of September 1927 Issued the 10th day of November, in the year 1927.

Handwritten signature of Chas R. Whiteford

Clerk

MEMORANDUM: You are required to file your answer or other defense in the Clerk's Office, room 206, in the Court House, Baltimore City, within fifteen days after return day.

B Docket 572
1927

CIRCUIT COURT.

Florence Cochran

vs.

William Cochran

Order for Alimony

Pendente Lite

ORDER

B No. 32447
() (3)

Fd: 12 Nov 1927
11

Copy of the within Order of Court served on
William Cochran on the 14th day of November
1927. in Presence of Joseph E. Lyett

John E. Postee
Sheriff

Plus \$075

NOV 12 1927 12 09 PM

RECEIVED AT THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE ON

IN THE
CIRCUIT COURT
OF
BALTIMORE CITY

Florence Cochran

vs.

William Cochran
2525 Madison Ave

September TERM, 1927

ORDERED BY THE COURT this 13th day of November 1927

that the defendant William Cochran

pay to the plaintiff Florence Cochran

the sum of Twelve

Dollars per week, during the continuance of this suit, accounting from the 12th

day of November 1927 to the said plaintiff Florence Cochran

as alimony, *pendente lite* unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before the 28th

day of November 1927, provided a copy of this Order be served on the said defendant

William Cochran on or before the 19th

day of November 1927

H. Arthur Stamp

TRUE COPY—TEST:

CLERK

572

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT
OF
BALTIMORE CITY.

B 572
1927

FLORENCE COCHRAN
VS.

WILLIAM COCHRAN.

B 32447
(4)

ANSWER TO BILL OF
COMPLAINT AND ORDER
NISI THEREON.

Mr. Clerk:

Please file &c.,

Thomas E. Mason

Solicitor for Respondent.

THOMAS E. MASON
ATTORNEY AND COUNSELLOR AT LAW
2 E. LEXINGTON STREET
BALTIMORE, MD.

Fd 28 Nov 1927

FLORENCE COCHRAN.

VS.

WILLIAM COCHRAN.

*
*
*
*
*
*

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

OF

BALTIMORE CITY.

* * * * *

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT.

The answer of your respondent, William Cochran, to the bill of complaint and order nisi thereon, in this cause heretofore exhibited against him, respectfully shows into your Honor:

First: That he admits the allegations contained in the first, fifth and sixth paragraphs of said bill of complaint.

Second: That he denies the allegations contained in the third and fourth paragraphs of said bill of complaint.

Third: That he denies the allegations contained in the second paragraph of said bill of complaint and avers that the plaintiff's treatment of him was anything but affectionate; that she treated him with the greatest harshness and cruelty and finally abandoned and deserted him without just cause or reason.

Fourth: That he denies the allegations contained in the seventh paragraph that he earns thirty-five dollars per week or that his wife is destitute and avers on the contrary that his wife is in possession of nearly three thousand dollars, the proceeds from the sale of her home about one year ago and that she is the proprietor of a retail business conducted by her at 724 Linden Avenue, Baltimore, Md., the proceeds of which are more than ample for her support and maintenance; that he is employed by A. Weiskittel & Son Company, where his pay is four dollars per day, but that he has been unable for a long time to draw a full week's pay due to the fact that said factory is not and has not been running on full time and if he were to make a full weeks pay he would only draw twenty-four dollars per week.

WHEREFORE, having answered fully the material allegations of the bill of complaint in this cause heretofore exhibited against him and having shown cause to the contrary, your respondent prays that said order nisi be not made final against him and that the said bill of complaint be dismissed with costs:

And as in duty bound, etc.,



Solicitor for Respondent.

per
William & Cochran
Respondent.
Mason

Davis + Evans

572
1927

Ct. Ct.

No. 867 Docket

Florence Cochran

vs.

William Cochran

MOTION FOR HEARING

B 32447
(5)

No.

Filed 16 day of Feb 1928

Thos E Mason

Florence Cochran

vs.

Williams Cochran

IN THE
CIRCUIT COURT
OF
BALTIMORE CITY

The *Plaintiff* by *Davis Evans*

her Solicitor, applies to have the above entitled cause placed on the Trial Calendar

for hearing *on alimony pendente lite*

in conformity with the First Equity Rule.

Solicitor for *Plaintiff*

Serve on

T. E. Mason

2 Chestnut

Notice of Copy

Admitted this
20th day of Feb

1928
Thomas Mason
Sol for Mason

FEB 17 1928 12 07 PM

THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE

Ct. Ct.

572

1927

No. B-67 Docket

Cochran

vs.

Cochran

NOTICE OF HEARING

B- No. 32447

(6)

No.

Filed 16 day of Feb. 1928

19

Copy of the within notice of hearing served on Thomas E. Mason solicitor on the 20th day of February 1928 in the presence of Charles H. Doney

New 1075
John C. Lotze
Sheriff

Florence Cochran

vs

William Cochran

IN THE

CIRCUIT COURT

OF BALTIMORE CITY

Upon application made by the Solicitor for the

Plaintiff

the above entitled cause has been placed upon the trial calendar in accordance with the provisions of the First Equity Rule, and the same will stand for hearing on alimony pendente lite

when reached in due course on said calendar.

CHARLES R. WHITEFORD

Clerk Circuit Court.

572-1927

Circuit Court

B572

1927 B

No.

Docket

Florence Cochran

vs.

William Cochran

Final Order, Counsel Fee and Alimony
Pendente Lite.

No. 32447 B

[5]

Filed 5th March 1928

Florence Cochran
vs.
William Cochran

IN THE
Circuit Court
OF
BALTIMORE CITY.

Jan Term, 19 *28*

This cause coming on to be heard upon the petition for Alimony **pendente lite** and Counsel fee, and the answer thereto, and testimony taken, and having been submitted by the solicitors for the respective parties;

Ordered by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City this *fifth* day of *March* 19 *28*, that the *Defendant* pay to the *plaintiff* the sum of _____ Dollars, as counsel fee for the Solicitor of the _____ and that he further pay the sum of *Four* Dollars per week, to the said *plaintiff, Florence Cochran* as Alimony **pendente lite** accounting from the *fifth* day of *March* 19 *28* and continuing until the _____ day of _____ 19 _____, (should this suit be so long pending) or until the further order of this Court.

Payable through the prisoners aid association of the Supreme Bench

Lucy E. D. D.

Davis and Evans

572

Ct. Ct.

1927

No. B67 Docket

Cochran

vs.

Cochran

MOTION FOR HEARING

B 32447
[8]

No.

Thos E Masow

Filed 15 day of Aug 1928.

Florence Cochran

vs.

William Cochran

IN THE
CIRCUIT COURT
OF
BALTIMORE CITY.

The *Defendant* by *Thomas E. Mason*
his Solicitor, applies to have the above entitled cause placed on the Trial Calendar

for hearing *on Bill of Complaint and*
Answer thereto

in conformity with the First Equity Rule.

Thomas E. Mason
Solicitor for *Defendant*

Serge on
Davis & Evans

215 St Paul St
8/16/28
Service admitted
Davis & Evans

RECEIVED AT
THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE ON
AUG 16 10 03 AM '28

COURT

Ct. Ct.

572
192 7 No. B-67 Docket

Cochran
vs.
Cochran

NOTICE OF HEARING

B-No. 32447
(9)
No.

Filed 15 day of Aug. 1928

A.

Copy of the return notes served on
Davis & Evans Solicitors on the 16th
day of August 1928 in presence of
Adam S. Buck. John S. Potter
Sheriff
Per 8.7.5

Florence Cochran

vs

William Cochran

IN THE

CIRCUIT COURT

OF BALTIMORE CITY

Upon application made by the Solicitor for the

Defendant

the above entitled cause has been placed upon the trial calendar in accordance with the provisions of the First Equity Rule, and the same will stand for hearing on **Bill of Complaint and Answer**

when reached in due course on said calendar.

CHARLES R. WHITEFORD

Clerk Circuit Court.