10

BOX NO 1191

SARAH CLARK

VS.

FINKELS LADIES READY TO

WEAR STORE

605 N. Broadway

Mr.Clerk:-

Please file.

HILLI FEB 28 1924

EMED FEB 28 1924

J. STEWARD DAVIS

ATTORNEY AT LAW

215 SAINT PAUL PLACE

BALTIMORE, MD.

BAUMGARTEN & CO., INC.

IN THE BALTIMORE CITY COURT

SARAH CLARK

VS.

FINKELS LADIES READY TO WEAR STORE

Sarah Clark, plaintiff by J. Steward Davis and George W. Evans her attorneys, sues the Finkels Ladies Ready to Wear Store.

For that the defendant on to wit: the I2th day of Feb-I924 was a Ladies Ready to Wear Store doing business at 605 N. Broadway, Baltimore City.

That the plaintiff to wit: on the I2th day of February, I924 entered the aforesaid store of the defendant for the purpose of purchasing some articles. That the defendant by its servants or employees assaulted the plaintiff, gave her into the custody of an officer and caused her to be imprisoned in the Eastern Police Station.

That on the I2th day of February I924 the defendant falsely stated to an officer of the law of Baltimore City that the plaintiff had committed the crime of larceny and procured her arrest by charging her with the larceny of some goods of the defendant; that the plaintiff was: arrested upon said charge and brought before Joseph J. Rettaliata one of the Police Justices of Baltimore City for the Eastern District. That the defendant appeared before said Magistrate and falsely stated that the plaintiff had committed the crime of larceny; that the said charge was in fact false, and the plaintiff on the hearing thereof at said station was acquitted and discharged; that the defendant made the said charge from motives of malice; that there was no reasonable or probable cause for said prosecution. That the said prosecution has injured the plaintiff's reputation and credit and the plaintiff

and the plaintiff has also by reason of the said premises been otherwise greatly damaged and injured in the loss of the hospitality of her associates; and other circumstances.

WHEREFORE she claims 5000.00 damages.

2- (-

APTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF.

The plaintiff elects to have this case tried before a Jury and prays leave of Court to do so.

Generall Estans

ATTORNEYS FOR BLAINTIFF

TO THE DEFENDANT:

TAKE NOTICE: That on your appearance to this action in the Baltimore City Court, a rule will be entered requiring you to plead to the aforesaid declaration within thirty days.

ATTORNATE HOR PLAINTIPE

Baltimore City Court. arab Clark WRIT OF SUMMONS of Nar and Notice to plead within to be served on defendant. Attorney for Plaintiff

STATE OF MARYLAND

BALTIMORE CITY, to wit.

To the Sheriff of Baltimore City, Greeting:

You are	e commanded to summ	on		
Fin	pels Laa	lies Read	by to Hear	Store
	•		·	
•				
of Baltimore City, to appear city, on the second Monday of	/)			House in the same
suit of	Darah	Clark	, ,	······································
				`
and have you then and there	this writ.			
Witness the Honord City, the 14 Issued the 9-8		eb	192 4 in the year 192 7	

115 Mar 36

IN THE

BALTIMORÉ CITY COURT.

SARAH CLARK

VS.

FINKELS LADIES READY TO WEAR STORE

PLEA.

Mr. Clerk:-

Please file, etc.

LAW OFFICES
SAMUEL S. LEVIN
659-661 CALVERT BUILDING
BALTIMORE, MD.

FILED MAR 2 4 1924

FILE

SARAH CLARK

IN THE

vs.

FINKELS LADIES READY TO WEAR STORE

BALTIMORE CITY COURT.

The Defendant, by Samuel S. Levin its
Attorney for plea to the Plaintiff's declaration and each Count thereof, says:

That it did not commit the wrongs alleged.

Attorney for Defendant.

Í

IN THE BALTIMORE CITY

VS.

405 SBroadway

AMENDED DECLARATION and ORDER OF COURT THEREON.

Mr.Clerk:-

FILED OCT 1 8 1924

J. STEWARD DAVIS ATTORNEY AT LAW 215 SAINT PAUL PLACE BALTIMORE, MD.

IN THE BALTIMORE CITY COURT

SARAH CLARK

VS.

FINKELS LADIES READY TO WEAR STORE and MORRIS HAMBURGER

George W.Evens, her attorneys, says that on or about the day of I924, she filed suit in this Honorable Court against Finkels Ladies Ready to Wear Store and prays leave to amend the declaration that the present caption will be changed to Joseph Finkel, trading as Finkels Ladies Ready to Wear Store and that Morris Hamburger be made a party defendant, and that the clerk be directed to issue against said Morris Hamburger making summons returnable the next return day; that is the declaration may be as follows:

Sarah Clark, plaintiff, by her attorneys J. Steward Davis and George W. Evans sues Joseph Finkel, trading as Finkel Ladies Ready to Wear Store and Morris Hamburger.

For that on, to wit; the I2th day of February, I924

Joseph Finkel was trading as Finkel Ladies Ready to West Store

at 605 N.Broadway, Baltimore City. That the plaintiffs to twit: on

the I2th day of February, I924 entered the aforesaid store for the

purpose of purchasing some articles. That the defendants, their

agents, servents or employees accused the plaintiff of larceny,

gave her into the custody of an officer and caused her to be

imprisoned at the Eastern Police Station.

That on the I2th day of February I924, the defendants, their agents, servants or employees falsely stated to an officer of the law of Baltimore City that the plaintiff had committed

the orine of larceny of some goods and procured her errest by charging her with said crime of larceny of some goods of one of the defendants; that the plaintiff was arrested upon said charge and brought before Joseph J. Rettaliata , one of the Police Justices of Baltimore City for the Eastern District. That the defendants, their agents, servants or employees appeared before said Magistrate and falsely stated that the plaintiff had committed the crime of larceny: that the said charge was in fact, false, and the plaintiff on the hearing thereof at said station, was acquitted and discharged; that the defendants, their agents, servants or employees made the said charge from motives of malice; that there was no reasonable or probable cause for said prosecution. That the said prosecution has injured the plaintiff's reputation and credit and the plaintiff has also by reason of the said premises, been otherwise greatly damaged and injured in the loss of the hospitality of her associates; and other circumstances.

WHEREFORE the plaintiff claims \$15,000 damages.

Il teward and was gow Evans

Och. 1924, that Morris Hamburger be and is hereby made a party defendant and that the declaration be and is hereby emended as prayed, and the Clerk of the Court is hereby directed to summon the defendants to answer the declaration as amended.

Seen Duffy

The plaintiff elects to have this case tried before a Jury and prays leave of Court to do so.

Her gewerd Davis

TO THE DEFENDANT:

TAKE NOTICE: That on your appearance to this action in the Baltimore City Court, a rule will be entered requiring you to plead to the aforesaid declaration within thirty days.

Ilterand Davis Georgew Evans

Baltimore City Court. WRIT OF SUMMONS 2 Copulo of Nar and Notice to plead within to be served on defendant. Attorney for Plaintiff Filed day of 192

STATE OF MARYLAND

BALTIMORE CITY, to wit.

	To the Sheriff of Baltimore City, Greeting:
N 3 3	You are commanded to summon Seph Finkel, tradius
<u> </u>	as Finkels Ladies Ready to Thear Stope
	and
: ' S	Morris Hamburger
of Baltimore City, to	appear before the Baltimore City Court, to be held at the Court House in the same
city, on the second M	onday of Hovember wish next, to answer an action at the
suit of	A A A
	Darah Clark
and have you then ar	id there this writ.
Witness the	Honorable JAMES P. GORTER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore
City, the	day of Sept, 192 (
Issued the	day of 10 V in the year 192
	Leo aren/ Lindsay Clerk.
	Otor N.

IN THE BALTIMORE CITY COURT (5)

200

SARAH CLARK,

Plaintiff v

vs.

JOSEPH FINKEL, trading as FINKEL'S READY TO WEAR STORE, and MORRIS HAMBURGER,

Defendants

PLEA OF DEFENDANT, Morris Hamburger

Mr. Clerk:-

Please file.

Sacol J. New Attorney for Defendant,
Morris Hamburger

Service of copy admitted this ... day of November, 1924.

Plaintiff's Attorney

Filed 20th November 1924

SARAH CLARK,

Plaintiff

vs.

JOSEPH FINKEL, trading as)
FINKEL'S READY-TO-WEAR STORE;
and)
MORRIS HAMBURGER,

Defendants

IN THE

BALTIMORE CITY COURT

And now comes the Defendant, Morris Hamburger, by Jacob S. New, his Attorney, and for plea to the declaration filed in the above entitled cause against him, says: That he did not commit the wrong alleged.

Attorney for Defendant,

Morris Hamburger

(146) 366

IN THE

BALTIMORE CITY COURT
Docket 55A - 366

Sarah Clark

Vs.,

Joseph Finkel, et al

Plea of Defendant Joseph Finkel

Mr. Clerk:

Please File;

Attorneys for defendant Joseph Finkel

Service of copy admitted this 2 / day of November, 1924

Attorney for Plaintiff

ELLIS ROSENBERG

659 ATTORNEY-AT-LAW

TAN CALVERT BUILDING

BALTIMORE, MD.

FILED NOV 21 1924

SARAH CLARK

IN THE

VS.

BALTIMORE CITY COURT

JOSEPH FINKEL
trading as FINKEL'S
READY-TO-WEAR STORE
and
MORRIS HAMBURGER
Defendants

Docket 55a - 366

.

And now comes the Defendant, Joseph Finkel, trading as Finkel's Ready-to-wear Store by Ellis Rosenberg and Samuel S. Levin, his Attorneys and for plea to the Declaration filed in the above entitled cause against him, says: That he did not commit the wrong alleged.

Attorneys for Defendant Joseph Finkel