

65 *B 147*
in the
Circuit Court of
Baltimore City.

1925

Hattie Blount, otherwise
known as
Hattie Blunt,

vs.

Thomas Blount, otherwise
known as
Thomas Blunt.

BILL OF COMPLAINT.

Mr. Clerk:-

Please file, etc.

B n 8467

George Hofferbert

Attorney for Complainant.

(1)

GEORGE HOFFERBERT

ATTORNEY AT LAW

743-753 CALVERT BLDG

BALTIMORE, MD

Vol 25 July 1925

Hattie Blount, otherwise	::	In the
known as		
Hattie Blunt,	::	
	::	
vs.	::	Circuit Court of
	::	
Thomas Blount, otherwise	::	
known as		
Thomas Blunt.	::	Baltimore City.

To the Honorable the Judge of said Court:

Your Oratrix, complaining, says:

1. That on or about the 23rd day of October, 1912, she was married by a duly ordained minister of the Gospel in the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland, to Thomas Blount, otherwise known as Thomas Blunt, with whom she resided in said City of Baltimore until about the month of November, 1923.

2. That she has been a resident of Baltimore City, State of Maryland, for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint.

3. That, although the conduct of your Oratrix toward her husband, the said Thomas Blount, otherwise known as Thomas Blunt, has always been kind, affectionate and above reproach, the said Thomas Blount, otherwise known as Thomas Blunt, has without any just cause or reason, abandoned and deserted her and has refused to return and live with her.

4. That your Oratrix is without means of support for herself except what she can earn from her own labor.

5. That she is informed, and verily believes, that her husband, the said Thomas Blount, otherwise known as Thomas Blunt, is earning or is capable of earning from

fifty to sixty dollars a week.

6. That your Oratrix has received no allowance whatever from the said Thomas Blount, otherwise known as Thomas Blunt, for the support and maintenance of herself since April 18th, 1924;

To the end, therefore:

(a) That the said Thomas Blount, otherwise known as Thomas Blunt, may be decreed to pay unto your Oratrix a reasonable sum in payment of back alimony and an allowance each week as permanent alimony for her maintenance and support;

(b) That your Oratrix may be allowed alimony pendente lite as also a reasonable sum as counsel fee for her solicitor of record herein.

(c) That your Oratrix may have such other and further relief as her case may require.

May it please your Honor to grant unto your Oratrix the writ of subpoena, directed to the said Thomas Blount, otherwise known as Thomas Blunt, residing in Baltimore City, commanding him to be and appear in this Court at some certain day, to be named therein, to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree as may be passed therein.

And as in duty bound, etc.

Nattie Blount

Complainant.

George Hoffstedt
Solicitor for Complainant.

STATE OF MARYLAND, CITY OF BALTIMORE, to wit:

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 18th day of February, in the year nineteen hundred and twenty-five, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, in and for Baltimore ~~City~~^{County} aforesaid, personally appeared Hattie Blount, otherwise known as Hattie Blunt, and made oath in due form of law that the matters and facts set forth in the foregoing Bill of Complaint are true to the best of her knowledge and belief.

AS WITNESS my hand and Notarial Seal.

L. Esta Simpson
Notary Public

address of Dept
1118 Orleans St

around McElderry &
Eden Sts

65 B Docket 147

1925

CIRCUIT COURT.

Hattie Blount otherwise known as
Hattie Blunt
vs.

Thomas Blount otherwise known as
Thomas Blunt

Order for Alimony

Pendente Lite

ORDER

19 28467
B No.

(3)

Fd: 25 Feby 1925
B 3

Now Est John E. Potes Sheriff

(2nd Return)

copy of the within Order of Court served on
Thomas Blount on the 31st day of March 1925
in presence of Adam S Buck

Fee \$0.50

John E. Potes
Sheriff

Hattie Blount otherwise known as Hattie Blunt

vs.

IN THE
CIRCUIT COURT

OF

BALTIMORE CITY

Thomas Blount otherwise known as Thomas Blunt

TERM, 192

ORDERED BY THE COURT this 25 day of Feb 1925

that the defendant *Thomas Blount otherwise known as Thomas Blunt*

pay to the plaintiff *Hattie Blount otherwise known as Hattie Blunt*

the sum of Ten Dollars (\$10⁰⁰/₁₀₀)

Dollars per week; during the continuance of this suit, accounting from the 25

day of Feb 1925 to the said plaintiff *Hattie Blount otherwise known as Hattie Blunt*

as alimony, *pendente lite* unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before the 10

day of March 1925, provided a copy of this Order be served on the said defendant

Thomas Blunt on or before the 6th

day of March 1925

George A. Jones

TRUE COPY—TEST:

CLERK

The foregoing Order having been returned "Non Est" as appears by the Sheriff's return thereon; it is Ordered by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City this 11 day of March 1925, that the time for showing cause under said Order be extended to the 6 day of April 1925, and the time for service of a copy of the same be extended to the 31 day of March 1925.

Gerrit A. Salvo

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALTIMORE CITY.

B 147
B 1925

HATTIE BLOUNT, otherwise
known as HATTIE BLUNT

VS.

THOMAS BLOUNT, otherwise
known as THOMAS BLUNT

B 28,467
(5)

RESPONDENT'S ANSWER

Mr. Clerk:-

Please file.

Davis & Evans
ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

J. STEWARD DAVIS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
215 SAINT PAUL PLACE
BALTIMORE, MD.

Filed 4th April 1925

HATTIE BLOUNT, otherwise : IN THE CIRCUIT COURT
known as
HATTIE BLUNT

VS. : OF

THOMAS BLOUNT, otherwise
known as

THOMAS BLUNT : BALTIMORE CITY.

The answer of your respondent to the petition of the complainant exhibited is as follows:

I. That your respondent admits the allegations as contained in the first paragraph of the bill of complaint.

(2)

That your respondent admits the allegations as contained in the second paragraph of the bill of complaint.

(3)

That your respondent denies with great emphasis that he abandoned the complainant without just cause or reason, but avers that the complainant has committed the crime of adultery with divers, lewd and abandoned men, whose names will be disclosed at the time of the trial of this cause.

(4)

That your respondent denies that the complainant is without any means of support and avers that her income is greater than that of your respondent.

(5)

That your respondent denies that he has any income to the amount of fifty (\$50.00) dollars or sixty (\$60.00) dollars per week or that he can earn any such an amount.

WHEREFORE your respondent prays that the bill be dismissed with costs to the complainant.

Davis T. Evans
ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT

Thomas Blount
RESPONDENT.

STATE OF MARYLAND, BALTIMORE CITY, to wit:-

I HEREBY CERTIFY, that on this 2nd day of April, in the year one thousand nine hundred and twenty five before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, in and for the City of Baltimore aforesaid, personally appeared Thomas Blount and made oath in due form of law that the matters and facts in the foregoing answer are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

AS WITNESS my hand and Notarial Seal.

Helena C. Fisher
NOTARY PUBLIC.

Geo. Hoffert

147-1365 Ct. Ct.
1925 No. Docket

Blount

vs.
Blount

MOTION FOR HEARING

B
B No. 28467
(6)

Filed 6 day of June 1925

Davis + Evans

*Hattie Blount, otherwise
Known as Hattie Blunt*
vs.
*Thomas Blunt, otherwise
Known as Thomas Blunt*

IN THE
CIRCUIT COURT
OF
BALTIMORE CITY

The *Complainant* by *George Hofferbus*
her Solicitor, applies to have the above entitled cause placed on the Trial Calendar

for hearing

on alimony pendente lite

in conformity with the First Equity Rule.

Solicitor for

George Hofferbus
Complainant

Serve on

Davis & Evans

Service admitted
Davis & Evans.
April 9, 1925
A.C.F.

215
St Paul

TRUSTEES COURT

Ct. Ct.

B-147
1925 No. Docket

HATTIE BLONNT, ETC.

vs.

THOMAS BLOUNT, ETC.

NOTICE OF HEARING

1 copy

B. No. 28467

No. (7)

Filed 6th day of April 1925

17

Copy of the within Notice served on Davis and Evans, Solicitors
on the 9th day of April, 1925 in the presence of
Louis Hecht.

John E. Pollee
Sheriff

Two \$0.50

Hattie Blount, otherwise known as
Hattie Blunt.

vs

Blount
Thomas ~~Blunt~~, otherwise known as
Thomas Blunt.

IN THE

CIRCUIT COURT

OF BALTIMORE CITY

Upon application made by the Solicitor for the Complainant.....

.....

the above entitled cause has been placed upon the trial calendar in
accordance with the provisions of the First Equity Rule, and the
same will stand for hearing on Alimony Pendente Lite.....

.....

.....

when reached in due course on said calendar.

CHARLES R. WHITEFORD

Clerk Circuit Court.

Geo. Hofferbert

Serve on

Geo. Hofferberth

Notice admitted for
Geo. Hofferbert.
By W. L. Dugan
Apr. 5/25

743
Colvert
B

Davis + Evans

CIRCUIT COURT

147
19 26 - 365 Docket No.

Blount

VS.

Blount

1 copy

PETITION AND ORDER TO
TAKE TESTIMONY UN-
DER 30th RULE

B. No. 28467
(8)

Fd. 6 April 1925
H

Copy of the within Petition and Order of Court served on
George Hofferberth, Solicitor on the 9th day of April, 1925
in the presence of Louis Hecht.

John E. Potee
Sherriff

Fee \$0.50

147
1925

Hattie Blount
otherwise known as

Hattie Blount

VS.

Thomas Blount
otherwise known as

Thomas Blount

IN THE
Circuit Court
OF
BALTIMORE CITY

To the Honorable the Judge of the
Circuit Court of Baltimore City:

The ^{defendant} plaintiff in this case respectfully shows unto your Honor:

THAT he desires to examine orally, in open Court and in the presence of your Honor, certain witnesses who can testify to the facts and matters relevant to the allegations in the Bill of Complaint filed in this case.

Your petitioner therefor prays your Honor to pass an order, according to the Statutes for such case made and provided.

And as in duty bound will ever pray.

Davis Evans

Solicitor S for Plaintiff - Defendant

Upon the foregoing Petition and Application it is this 6 day of April

A. D., 19 25, Ordered that the petitioner have leave to take testimony as prayed and that the testimony to be offered be taken as required by the 30th Rule of this Court. And it is further Ordered

that a copy of this petition and order be served on the Plaintiff or his Solicitor, on or before the 21 day of April 19 25

Gerald A. Solter

CIRCUIT COURT

144

1925 B

No.

Docket.

Blount

vs.

Blount

Final Order, Counsel Fee and Alimony

Pendente Lite.

P 28467

No.

B

9
20 June

Filed

1925

B

Hattie Blount, otherwise
known as Blunt

vs.

IN THE
CIRCUIT COURT
OF
BALTIMORE CITY.

Thomas Blount, otherwise
known as Blunt

January Term, 1925

This cause coming on to be heard upon the petition for Alimony *pendente lite* and Counsel fee, and the answer thereto, and testimony taken, and having been submitted by the solicitors for the respective parties;

ORDERED by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City this 20th day of April 1925, that the Defendant pay to the Plaintiff the sum of _____ Dollars, as counsel fee for the Solicitor of the _____ and that he further pay the sum of Five Dollars per week, to the said Hattie Blount as Alimony *pendente lite* accounting from the 25th day of February 1925 and continuing until the _____ day of _____ 192____, (should this suit be so long pending) or until the further order of this Court.

George A. Salmon

147
1925 13 Cir. Ct. No.....

Walter Blount
otherwise
Walter Blount

vs.

Thomas Blount
otherwise
Thomas Blount

Attachment in Equity.

13 No. 28467
(10)

Filed 25th day of April 1925

Attached John E. Potter Sheriff

Sherriff's fees \$2.00
Clerk's " 1.00
\$3.00

(ATTACHMENT IN EQUITY)

MARYLAND, Sc.

The State of Maryland.

To the Sheriff of Baltimore City, Greeting:

You are Hereby Comanded, that you attach the body of

Thomas Blount, otherwise known as
Thomas Blunt

if he shall be found in your bailiwick, and him sake keep, so that you have him before

the Judge of the Circuit Court, of Baltimore City, at the Court house in the same city, on

the day of 1925, to answer as well touching a certain contempt

by him committed in not

obeying the order of this Honorable Court
dated April 20, 1925,

as well as to such other matters and things as shall be then and there alleged against him

Hereof fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril.

Witness The Honorable James P. Gorter, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore

City, the 9 day of March 1925

Issued the 25 day of April 1925

Chas. Whiteford Clerk.

4/25/25

Let above attachment issue

George A. Soler

Quashed without costs.

George A. Soler

Serve on

Thomas Blount,
1118 Orleans St

Ct. Ct.

B 147
1925

Hattie Blount

vs.

Thomas Blount

ORDER OF COURT

No. 28467 B.

LII

Filed 18th June 1925

copy of the within Order of Court served on
Thomas Blount on the 19th day of June 1925 in presence
of William S. Buck
John E. Cover
Sheriff
Paid \$0.50

Hattie Blount

rc

vs.

Thomas Blount

rc

IN THE
CIRCUIT COURT
OF
BALTIMORE CITY

Ordered by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City this 18 day of June 1925 that the Defendant *Thomas Blount* appear before this Court in person, on the 22 day of June, 1925, at 10 o'clock A. M., and then and there show cause, if any he may have, why he should not be punished for contempt of this Honorable Court in not obeying the Order of this Court passed on the 20 day of April, 1924 directing the payment of Alimony, pendente lite, by him to the Plaintiff, Hattie Blount provided a copy of this Order be served on the said Thomas Blount on or before the 20 day of June 1925.

George A. Solent

Serve on

Thomas Blount

1118 Orleans St.

424

Ct. Ct.

B 147
192 J

Hattie Blount

vs.

Thomas Blount

ORDER OF COURT

No. 78467 B.
(12)

Filed 1st July 1925

copy of the within Order of Court
served on Thomas Blount on the 1st day of July
1925 in presence of Adam S. Buck
John E. Potter
Sheriff
Fees \$0.50

Hattie Blount

vs.

Thomas Blount

IN THE
CIRCUIT COURT
OF
BALTIMORE CITY

Ordered by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City this 1st day of July 1925 that the defendant Thomas Blount appear before this Court in person, on the 6th day of July, 1925 at 10 o'clock A. M., and then and there show cause, if any he may have, why he should not be punished for contempt of this Honorable Court in not obeying the Order of this Court passed on the 20th day of April, 1925, directing the payment of Alimony, pendente lite, by him to the Plaintiff, Hattie Blount provided a copy of this Order be served on the said Thomas Blount on or before the 31 day of July, 1925.

Henry Dossy

Ct. Ct. No. 2 ¹⁴⁷ B. 1915

Hattie Blount

vs.

Thomas Blount

Final Order, Counsel Fee and Alimony

Pendente Lite,

No. 28467 B.
137

Filed 6th July 1925

Hattie Blount

vs.

Thomas Blount

IN THE
CIRCUIT COURT ~~NO 21~~
OF
BALTIMORE CITY.

The petition for Alimony pendente lite and Counsel fee and the answer thereto in the above entitled cause coming on for final hearing and the respective parties having been heard;

It is Ordered by the Circuit Court ~~NO~~ of Baltimore City this 6th day

of July 19 25, that the defendant Thomas Blount

pay to the Plaintiff Hattie Blount

the sum of Five Dollars, as ~~Counsel Fee~~

~~for the Solicitor of the~~ and that he

~~further pay the sum of~~ Dollars per week,

during the continuance of this suit, to the said Hattie Blount

as Alimony pendente lite accounting from the 6th day of May 19 25,

payments to be made through the
Probation ^{Department} Office

Henry Duggan

Ct. Ct.

147
1975 B. Docket

Blount

us.
Blount

SUMMONS FOR WITNESSES

B No. 28468
(14)

Filed 11 day of Jan 1976

SUMMONS FOR WITNESS

DOCKET 147/1925 FOLIO 5

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY

-----Term, 19

The Sheriff will please summon the following witnesses,

returnable Tuesday Jan. 12 - 1926 at 10 A. M.

Multiple horizontal lines for listing witnesses.

to testify for Plaintiff G Blount
in the case of

G Blount vs. Chas. R. Whiteford
Clerk of Circuit Court of Baltimore City

147-1925

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALTIMORE CITY.

B 147
1925

HATTIE BLOUNT, otherwise
known as

HATTIE BLUNT

VS.

THOMAS BLOUNT, otherwise
known as

THOMAS BLUNT.

B 28467

(14)

Mr. Clerk:-

Please file.

Davis & Evans

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

J. STEWARD DAVIS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
215 SAINT PAUL PLACE
BALTIMORE, MD.

Filed 12 June 1926

22/2/5/13

HATTIE BLOUNT, otherwise
known as

:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

HATTIE BLUNT

VS.

:

OF

THOMAS BLOUNT, otherwise
known as

THOMAS BLUNT

:

BALTIMORE CITY

This cause standing ready for hearing and neither
of the parties being present,

It is thereupon this 12th day of January, 1926 by the
Circuit Court of Baltimore City, ordered, adjudged and decreed
that the bill of the complainant be and the same is hereby
dismissed.

And it is further ordered that the defendant in the
said bill of complaint pay the costs of this suit.

E. J. Frank