# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY

GARRETT CHAPMAN

VS.

LELA LAE CHAPMAN

B 33263.

BILL' FOR DIVORCE.

Mr.Clerk:

Please file.

ATTORNEYSFOR PLAINTIFF

DAVIS & EVANS

GARRETT CHAPMAN

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

vs.

OF

LELA MAE CHAPMAN

BALTIMORE CITY

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:
Your Orator complaining respectfully represents:

- (I) That he was married to his wife, Lela Mae Chapman on the 31st day of July, 1921 and with whom he resided until the 17th day of July, 1923 when the defendant deserted the plaintiff.
- (2) That though the conduct of your Orator has always been kind, affectionate and above reproach toward the said Lela Mae Chapman, she has without any just cause or reason abandoned and deserted him and has declared her intentions to live with him no longer and said abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years and is deliberate and final and the separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable hope of reconciliation.
- (3) That your Orator has not lived or co-habited with the said defendant since said desertion.
- (4) That there are two children born as result of said marriage; Robert, age six years and Joseph, age seven years.
- (5) That your Orator is a citizen of the State of Maryland, having resided in Baltimore City for more than three years prior to the filing of this Bill of Complaint, but that the defendant is a non-resident of the State of Maryland.

TO THE END, THEREFORE, YOUR ORATOR PRAYS:

- (a) That he may be divorced a vinculo matrimonii from the said Lela Mae Chapman.
- (b) That he may have such other and further relief as his case may require.

May it please your Honor to grant unto your Orator the Order of Publication directed against the said Lela Mae Chapman, commanding and requiring her to be and appear in this Court on some day certain to be named therein to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree or order as may be passed therein.

AND as in duty bound, etc.

Davis & Evaus

# DAVIS & EVANS, SOLICITORS, 215 ST.PAUL PLACE, IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY GARRETT CHAPMAN VS.LELA MAE CHAPMAN ORDER OF PUBLICATION

The object of this bill is to procure a divorce a Vinculo Matrimonii by the plaintiff, Garrett Chapman from the defendant, Lela Mae Chapman.

The bill recites that the parties were married on the 3Ist day of July, 192I and lived together until the 17th day of July, 1923 when the defendant deserted the plaintiff. That the plaintiff has been a resident of Baltimore City for more than three years prior to the filing of this Bill of Complaint, but that the defendant is a non-resident of the State of Maryland. That your Orator has always been kind, affectionate and above reproach toward the said defendant, but the defendant has deserted him without any just cause or reason and has declared her intentions to live with him no longer and said abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years and is deliberate and final and the separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable hope of reconciliation. That your Orator has not lived or co-habited with the said defendant since said desertion; that there are two children born as result of said marriage, Robert, age six years and Joseph, age seven years.

Baltimore City this 26 day of Settudes I928, that the plaintiff by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some daily newspaper published in Baltimore City, once a week for four successive weeks before the 26 day of Color 1928, give motice to the absent defendant of the object and substance of this Bill warning her to be and appear in this Court in person or by Solicitor on or before the 12" day of November 1928 to show cause, if any she may have why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

Tuy enco Dune,

353	Docket No. 6 83
Cha	pinau
	vs.
Ci	rapman
Certifica	te of Publication
6	33263.

THE DAILY RECORD
Filed day of Ole 1928

#### THE DAILY RECORD

Davis & Evans, Solicitors, 215 St. Paul Place.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTI-MORE CITY (B-355-1928) - Garrett Chapman vs. Lela Mae Chapman. ORDER OF PUBLICATION.

The object of this bill is to procure a divorce a vinculo matrimonii by the plaintiff, Garrett Chapman, from the defendant, Lela Mae Chapman,

Leia Mae Chapman.

The bill recites that the parties were married on the 31st day of July, 1921, and lived together until the 17th day of July, 1923, when the defendant deserted the plaintiff. That the plaintiff has been a resident of Baltimore City for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint, but that the defendant is a non-resident of the State of Maryland. That your orator has always been kind, affectionate and above reproach toward the said defendant, but the defendant has deserted him without any just cause or reason and has declared any just cause or reason and has declared her intentions to live with him no longer and said abandonment has continued uninteruptedly for more than three years and is deliberate and final and the separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable hope of reconciliation. That your orator has not lived or co-habited with the said defendant since said desertion; that there are two children born as result of said marriage, Robert, age six years, and Joseph, age seven years.

seph, age seven years.

It is thereupon, ordered by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City this 26th day of September, 1928, that the plaintiff by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some daily newspaper published in Baltimore City once a week for four successive weeks before the 26th day of October, 1928, give notice to the absent defendant of the behief and syntamore of this bill graphing. object and substance of this bill warning her to be and appear in this Court in person or by solicitor on or before the 12th day of November, 1928, to show cause, if any she may have, why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

EUGENE O'DUNNE.

True Copy-Test: CHAS. R. WHITEFORD, s27.04.11.18

Baltimore, Oct. 18th: 1928 We hereby certify that the annexed advertiseication Circuit Court of Baltimore City, Case of was published in THE DAILY RECORD, a daily newspaper published in the City of Baltimore, once in each of ... successive weeks before the DAILY

355	Ci. Ci.
192 8	Docket B68
Selec	Mar Chapman mar Ch vs.
Sela	Mas Chapman
Decre	e Pro Confesso
BN	Sayspo 0.33263.
Filed 19	Dec 192.8

Havrett Chapman

210

Sela mae chajoman

IN THE

## CIRCUIT COURT

OF

BALTIMORE CITY

My ene of Duane

november

Term, 192 8

The Defendant having been duly summoned (notified by Order of Publication) to appear to the Bill of Complaint, and having failed to appear thereto, according to the exigency of the writ, (said Order).

It is thereupon this

day of Declevel in the year nineteen
hundred and twenty by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, Adjudged, Ordered and
Decreed, that the complainant is entitled to relief in the premises, and that the bill of Complaint be and
is hereby taken pro confesso against the defendant. But because it doth not certainly appear to what relief
the Plaintiff is entitled, it is further Adjudged, and Ordered, that one of the Examiners of this Court, take
testimony to support the allegations of the bill.

Doc. 68 / 355

# In the Circuit Court,

OF BALTIMORE CITY

### **DEPOSITIONS**

Sanett Chapman Sela Mae Chapman

No. 333,63

#### PLAINTIFF'S COSTS

DEFENDANT'S COSTS

Fd 16 april 1929

GARRETT CHAPMAN vs.	In the Circuit Court
SELA MAE CHAPMAN	OF BALTIMORE CITY.
	been issued in the above cause
1	
and notice having been given me by th	e Solicitor for theplaintiff
of a desire to take testimony in the sa	ame, I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, one
of the Standing Examiners of the Cir	cuit Courts of Baltimore City, under and by
virtue of an order of the above name	d Circuit Court, passed in said cause on the
Ninteenth d	ay of December19.28., met on
the Nineteenth da	ay of Decemberin the year nineteen
hundred and twenty-eight at my	office, in the city of Baltimore, in the State
of Maryland, and assigned theNi	neteenth day of December
in the same year at 12:30	o'clock in the after-noon and the
office of The Examiner	in the City and State
aforesaid, as the time and place for s	such examination of witnesses in said cause
at which last mentioned time and p	lace. I attended, due notice of such meeting
having been given, and proceeded in the	he presence of the Solicitor of the

\_\_\_\_\_\_to take the following depositions, that

is to say:—

GARRETT CHAPMAN

In the Circuit Court of

VS.

LELA MAE CHAPMAN :

Baltimore City.

Testimony taken before me, A. deRussy Sappington, Examiner, in my offices in the Title Building, Baltimore, on December 19th, 1928, at 12:30 P. M.

Mr. George W. Evans appeared for plaintiff.

#### Thereupon---

#### GARRETT CHAPMAN.

the plaintiff, of lawful age, produced on his own behalf, being duly sworn, testified as follows:

By the Examiner:

- Q State your name, residence and occupation?
- A Garrett Chapman, 3210 Barclay Street, Baltimore, mechanic.
  - Q Do you know the parties to this suit?
- A Yes, sir, I am the plaintiff and my wife is the defendant.

By Mr. Evans:

- Q When, where and by whom were you married?
- A On July 31st, 1921, at Alexandria, Virginia, by a Minister of the Gospel.
- Q Did you live together as husband and wife after that?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q How long did you live together?
  - A Until July 17th, 1923.
  - Q Which one of you left the other at that time?
  - A She left me.
  - Q Why?
- A Because her mother said she had to be relieved of all responsibility and to take my troubles with me.
  - Q What was your troubles?
  - A My two children and myself.
  - Q Did you give her any reason to leave you?
  - A No, sir.
  - Q How did you always treat her?
  - A As good as any husband could.
- Q Did you take care of her and provide for her and give her a home?
  - A Yes, sir.

- Q Did you have any dispute with her before she left?
- A no. sir.
- Q Have you seen her since the separation?
- A Once, about a week after.
- Q What was the occasion of seeing her?
- A About some of the furniture out of the house.

  I gave her some of the things she wanted.
- Q When she left you on July 17th, 1923, were you at home?
- A No, sir, I was at work I came home and found her gone and I saw her a week after that.
- Q Have you ever lived or cohabited with her since she left you on July 17th, 1923?
  - A No. sir.
- Q Has her abandonment of you continued uninteruptedly from July 17th, 1923, down to the present time?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q Is it deliberate and final and beyond any hope of reconciliation?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q Have you been a resident of Baltimore, Maryland, for more than two years prior to the filing of this Bill?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q Is your wife a resident of Maryland?
- A No, sir, she was in Chicago when I last heard of her.

- Q Were any children born as a result of the marriage?
- A Two.
- Q What are their names and ages?
- A Joseph, 7, and Robert, 6.
- Q Who has the care and custody of the children?
- A I have. They are with my mother.
- Q Who provides for them?
- A I always have.
- Q Are you able to continue to do so?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q Do they have a comfortable home and proper surroundings?
  - A Yes, sir.

#### GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

A. Mr Garres Chapman

#### Thereupon---

#### EMMA CHAPMAN BREWER,

a witness, of lawful age, produced on behalf of the plaintiff, being duly sworn, testified as follows:

By the Examiner:

- Q State your name, residence and occupation?
- A Emma Chapman Brewer, 832 Eighth Street, Washington, D. C., housewife.
  - Q Do you know the parties to this suit?
  - A Yes, sir, he is my son.

    By Mr. Evans:
  - Q How long have you known her?
  - A Since 1917.
  - Q Do you know when she and your son were married?
  - A Yes, in Alexandria, in 1921.
  - Q Which one of them left the other?
  - A She left him.
  - Q When did she leave him?
  - A In July, 1923.
  - Q Do you know anything of the cause of the separation?
- A She said she was tired of all responsibility and the children and that she was going back to her mother and she packed up and left. She told me that repeatedly before she left.

- Q Has he been a resident of Baltimore, Maryland, for more than two years prior to the filing of this Bill?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q Is his wife a resident of Maryland?
  - A No. sir, she now lives in Chicago.
- Q Did he give his wife any cause or reason to leave him?
  - A None at all.
  - Q Was he a kind, faithful and affectionate husband?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q Did he always provide for her?
  - A Yes, he did.
- Have they ever lived or cohabited together since she left him in July, 1923?
  - A No. sir.
- Q Has her abandonment of her husband continued uninterruptedly from July, 1923, down to the present time?
  - A Yes.sir.
- Q Do you believe the se aration to be deliberate and final and beyond any hope of reconciliation?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q Where are the children?
- A I have been taking care of them. Their father supports them.

#### GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

100

Emma Chafman Breiser

No other witnesses being named or produced before me, I then, at the request		
of the Solicitorof theplaintiff		
closed the depositions taken in said cause and now return them closed under my		
hand and seal, on this Systemula day of Apul in the year of Our Lord nineteen hundred and twenty-nine at the		
There areExhibits with these depositions, to wit:		
Plaintiff's Exhibit		
Defendant's Exhibit		
Cult Sappington Examiner.		
I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, the Examiner before whom the fore-		
going depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning		
a day, and taking the said depositions upontwodays, onboth		
of which I was employed by the Plaintiff, and on none		
by the Defendant		

#### Circuit Court

355 19 28

Docket No. B 68

GARRETT CHAPMAN

VS.

LELA MAE CHAPMAN

## Order of Reference and Report

Syons

B No. 33263,

Order Filed 6 day of April 1929
Report Filed 7 day of May 19 19

GARRETT CHAPMAN	IN THE
	Circuit Court
vs.	OF
LELA MAE CHAPMAN	
	BALTIMORE CITY
This case being submitted, without argument,	, it is ordered by the Court, this /LA
day of	19 <sup>29</sup> , that the same be and it is hereby referred to Esq., Auditor and Master, to report the
Um & ayous,	, Esq., Auditor and Master, to report the
pleadings and the facts, and his opinion thereon.	Auda Boug
Report of Aud	litor and Master
Bill for divorce a vinculo ma	atrimonii filed by the husband against
his wife on the ground of abandonm	ment. Code Art. 16; Sec. 37-42.
Defendant proceeded against a	as a non-resident and her non-residence
proved.	
Plaintiff's residence in Balt	timore City for more than two years
prior to the filing of the bill pr	coved.
The marriage proved.	
Abandonment uninterruptedly firreconcilability of the parties p	or three years, its finality and the proved.
Decree pro confesso was passe	ed against the defendant and more than
thirty days have since elapsed.	
Case made for awarding the gu	nardianship and custody of the minor
children of the parties to the pla	aintiff.
Case ready for decree.	
	William P. Lyons
April 27, 1929.	
	3

CIRCUIT COURT

**B** -355

1928

 $N_0$ 

Docket

GARRETT CHAPMAN

VS.

LELA MAE CHAPMAN

Recorded

Folio //0 1929

Decree of Divorce

B No. 33 263

99 7° May 1929

The within is a proper decree to be passed in this case.

William Q Lyons Audior and Master.

DECREE OF DIVORCE	IN THE
	IN THE
. GARRETT CHAPMAN	Circuit Court
	OF
VS.	
	BALTIMORE CITY
LELA MAE CHAPMAN	March Term, 1929
This cause standing ready for hearing and being d	luly submitted, the proceedings were by the Court
read and considered.	
It is thereupon, thisday of	of May , A. D. 192.9.
by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, Adjudged, Or	dered and Decreed, that the said
GARRETT CHAPMAN,	
the above named Complainant be and he is hereby I	DIVORCED A VINCULO MATRIMONII from the
Defendant, LELA MAE CHAPMAN.	
AND it is further ordered that	the guardianship and custody of JOSEPH
CHAPMAN and ROBERT CHAPMAN, minor c	hildren of the parties, be and they
are hereby awarded to the Complaina	nt, GARRETT CHAPMAN, Subject to the
further order of this Court.	

And it is further Ordered, That the said Complainant, GARRETT CHAPMAN, - - - pay the cost of this proceeding.

Dul Hong