IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALTIMORE CITY.

B 67

FLORENCE BOND

Vs.

CHARLES BOND

1332722

BILL FOR DIVORCE.

Mr.Clerk:

Please file.

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

DAVIS & EVANS

Fy 17 Feb 1928

FLORENCE BOND

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

VS.

:

:

OF

CHARLES BOND

:

BALTIMORE CITY

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Your Oratrix complaining respectfully represents:

- (I) That she was married to her husband, Charles Bond on the I5th day of April, I922 and with whom she resided until the 3rd day of October, I923 when the defendant deserted the plaintiff.
- (2) That though the conduct of your Oratrix toward the said Charles Bond has always been kind, affectionate and above reproach, he has, without any just cause or reason, abandoned and deserted her and has declared his intentions to live with her no longer and said abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years and is deliberate and final and the separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable hope of reconciliation.
- (3) That your Oratrix has not lived or co-habited with the said defendant since said desertion.
- (4) That there are no children born as result of said marriage.
- (5) That both your Oratrix and the defendant are citizens of the State of Maryland, having resided in Baltimore City for more than three years prior to the filing of this Bill of Complaint.

Your Oratrix is destitute and without means of financ-(6) ing the expenses of this suit and support for herself. She is advised by Counsel that she is entitled to have an order passed by this Honorable Court swarding her an amount as alimony pendente lite and a reasonable sum to compensate her Solicitors. The defendant is employed and earns about thirty dollars per week.

TO THE END, THEREFORE, YOUR ORATRIX PRAYS:

- That she may be divorced A Vinculo Matrimonii from the (a) said Charles Bond.
- (b) That she may resume her maiden name " NIXON".
- (c) Alimony pendente lite and counsel fee.
- Such other and further relief as her case may require. (d)

May it please your Honor to grant unto your Oratrix the Writ of Subpoena directed against the said Charles Bond, commanding and requiring him to be and appear in this Court on some day certain to be named therein to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree or order as may be passed therein AND as in duty bound, etc.

Ct. Ct. B-67 192 8 Docket No. Florence Bond vs. Charles Bond SUBPOENA TO ANSWER BILL OF COMPLAINT No. (2) Davis & Evans SOLICITOR

My Se A Old Tilling Mill

### **EQUITY SUBPOENA**

## The State of Maryland

Cn Charles Bond

## of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all excuses set aside, you do within the time limited by law, beginning on the second Monday of March next cause an appearance to be entered for you and your answer to be filed to the complaint of

#### Florence Bond

against you exhibited in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril.

WITNESS, the Honorable JAMES P. GORTER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore

City, the

9th

day of

January

192 8.

Issued the

17th

day of

February

, in the year 1928,

MEMORANDUM: You are required to file your answer or other defense in the Clerk's Office, room 206, in the Court House, Baltimore City, within fifteen days after return day.

(General Equity Rules 11)

B Docket 67 1928

## CIRCUIT

FLORENCE BOND

CHARLES BOND

## Order for Alimony

Pendente Lite

ORDER

B No. 32722
(3) (4)

DAVIS & EVANS

IN THE

### CIRCUIT COURT

OF

#### BALTIMORE CITY

CHARLES BOND

FLORENCE BOND

vs.

January

TERM, 1928

ORDERED BY THE CO	OURT this	17	day of F	ebruary	192 8
that the defendant Charles Bo	ad				
pay to the plaintiff. Florence B	ond			*******************	
the sum of Ten					
Dollars per week, during the continuar	nce of this suit, acco	ounting from th	e	17"	**************
day of February	1928 to the sa	aid plaintiff	rlorenc	e Bond	
as alimony, pendente lite unless cause	to the contrary be s	shown on or be	fore the	3"	
day of March	192 8, provided	a copy of this	Order be ser	ved on the said	defendant
Charles Bond	on or before	e the	24	. "	
day of February	192 8	Ku w	) ene B/I	Junas	
TRUE COPY—TEST:		word .			7
	CIEDK				

The aforegoing Order having been returned "Non Est" as appears by the Sheriff's return thereon; it is Ordered by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City this day of March 1928, that the time for showing cause under said Order be extended to the day of March 1928, and the time for service of a copy of the same be extended to the day of March 1928.

Eugene Dune

In the Cerewit Couch Ratten en Cely Charles Bond alcumy Persente Lite Mer. Ceex: 10 32% Please file ele Thaven I Thave ally for Resignateut. Med 1928 result Court

vs

Charles Bond,

Baltimore City.

To the Honorable, the Judge of said Court :-

The Answer of Charles Bond, the Defendant in the above entitled case to the Order Nisi passed herein on the 17th. day of February, 1928, for the payment of Alimony Pendente Lite, respectfully shows:

The Your Respondent is earning on an average but \$14. per week, from and out of which he is compelled to pay his borard and other expenses, and cannot, therefore, comply with the Order of Court passed herein for the payment of \$10. per week.

And as induty bound, etc.

Solicitor for Respondent.

Respondent.

Charles Bond

Horance Bond Circuit Charles Bond Cr. Pavis & Evans B 67 Walter ! Wells Fet. 17/28 Bell yeled - ollege: 1) marriage; 2×3) aboutonment 3 yrs; 4) no child; 5) Pires Baltorys. Prays: a) durance a vinculo; &) morden name, Nixon; e) of. P. geousel ge; d) futter relief P. summared - R.D. Mar. 12 Mar. 5 I served with order mise for 10 fer who a pl. Answer to order min filed - says he unable to comply therewith Mor. 15 Answer to Vill jiled - admits #01, 4 \$ 5 - weither admets nor Mar. 27 devices # abandonment. Order to take test. Afr. 20 Feet gled - proves allegoleons of till June 18 Sulmission for decree - Eg R. moires Order of Ref. Case ready for decree P. to recure maider name, Horence # Nixon Malefred 6/8/28 Get 23/29 - Bice from to

67-1928 In the Cerewit Court Bactimore City Flarence Bond Chale Bond auswort Bier & Complaint Ur. cler. B 327,22 Plean file etc Chaus & Waces Exercitor for Defendant. Fd27 Meh 1928

Florence Bond,

In the Circuit Court

vs

Charles Bond .

of

Baltimore City.

To the Honorable, the Judge of said Court

The Answer of Charles Bond, the Defendant in the above entitled case, to the Bill of Complaint filed herein against him, respectfully shows:

- (1) Your Respondent admits that he was married to the Complaintant, in April, 1922, but as to the other allegations contained in the first paragraph he neither admits nor denies the same.
- (2) In answer to the allegations contained in the second paragraph your Respondent neither admits nor denies the allegations therein.
- (3) Your Respondent admits the allegations contained in the third, fourth and fifth paragraphs.
- (4) Your Respondent having no knowledge of the matters and facts of the sixth paragraph cannot either admit nor deny the same, except that he denies that he is earning the sum of \$30.

  per week.

Your Respondent having fully answered said Bill of Complaint, prays to be dismissed with his proper costs.

And as induty bound, etc.

Charles Bond

Solicitor for Respondent.

Respondent.

. .

67-1928

### Circuit Court

Docket....

lorence Bond

Charles Bond

Petition for leave to take Testimony and Order of Court thereon

Filed 20 april 1928

Home & Born &	
r Co rend 10000	IN THE
vs.	Circuit Court
Charle Bond	OF
	BALTIMORE CITY
To the Honorable the Judge of Circuit Court of Baltim	nore City:
in this case, respectfully shows that respectfully pray s that leave be granted	
Examiners of this Court.	to do so before one of the Standing
	Solicitor for planty.
ORDERED, this	day of april 1928, that
leave be granted to the parties to the cause,	to take testimony, as prayed, before any one of the
Standing Examiners of this Court.	heyene o Dunna

# Doc. 68 /3 1928

## In the Circuit Court,

OF BALTIMORE CITY

### **DEPOSITIONS**

Horence Sond Charles Bond

No. 32722 35

#### PLAINTIFF'S COSTS

Copies Sheriff

Stenographer .....

Stenographer

....

#### **DEFENDANT'S COSTS**

Sheriff .....

\$\_\_\_\_

Ad 18" June 1928

	- 8
Florence Bond	In the Circuit Court
vs.	<b>2 2.</b>
Charles Bond	OF BALTIMORE CITY.
The above cause being at is	ssue,
	he Solicitor for the plaintiff
of a desire to take testimony in the	same, I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, one
of the Standing Examiners of the C	Circuit Courts of Baltimore City, under and by
virtue of an order of the above nam	ed Circuit Court, passed in said cause on the
twentieth	day of April 19.28, met on
	day ofAprilin the year nineteen
hundred and twenty-eight at m	ny office, in the city of Baltimore, in the State
of Maryland, and assigned thetw	menty-fourth day of April
in the same year at one	o'clock in the after- noon and the
office of Messrs. Davis & Eve	in the City and State
aforesaid, as the time and place for	such examination of witnesses in said cause;
at which last mentioned time and	place I attended, due notice of such meeting
having been given, and proceeded in	the presence of the Solicitor. 8 of the
respective parties	to take the following depositions, that
is to say:—	

:

FLORENCE BOND : In the Circuit Court of

VS. :

Baltimore City.

CHARLES BOND

Testimony taken before me, A. deRussy Sappington, Examiner, in the offices of Messrs. Davis & Evans, in Baltimore, on April 24th, 1928, at 1:00 P. M.

Mr. J. Stewart Davis appeared for plaintiff: Mr. Walter I. Wells appeared for defendant.

#### Thereupon ---

#### FLORENCE BOND.

the plaintiff, of lawful age, produced on her own behalf, being duly sworn, testified as follows:

By the Examiner:

- Q State your name, residence and occupation?
- A Florence Bond, 242 Bowers Court, Baltimore, domestix.
  - Q Do you know the parties to this suit?
- A Yes, I am the plaintiff and my husband is the defendant.

#### By Mr. Davis:

- Q When, where and by whom were you married?
- A On April 15th, 1922, in Baltimore, Maryland, hy a Minister of the Gospel.
  - Q You and your husband lived together until when?
  - A Until October 3rd, 1923.
  - Q Which one of you left the other?
  - A He left me.
  - Q Why?
  - A I don't know, he got bad and was running around.
  - Q Did he support you?
  - A No. sir.
  - Q Was he ever cruel to you?
  - 4 Yes, he beat me and cut me just for meanness.
  - Q What was your conduct towards him?
    - A I treated him well and was kind and nice to him.
    - Q Did you give him any cause or reason to leave you?
    - A No. sir.
- Q Have you ever lived or cohabited together since he left you on October 3rd, 1923?
  - A No, sir.

- Q Has his abandonment of you continued uninterruptedly since October 3rd, 1923?
  - A Yes.
- Q Was his abandonment of you his deliberate and final act?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Is there any hope of reconciliation between you?
  - A No. sir.
- Q Have you been a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland, for more than two years prior to the filing of your Bill?
  - A Yes, I have lived here all my life.
  - Q Is your husband also a resident here?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q Are you asking for any alimony?
  - A No, sir.
  - Q Were any children born of the marriage?
  - A No.
  - Q Do you wish to resume your maiden name?
  - A Yes.
  - What is your maiden name?
  - A Florence Nixon.

(Cross examination waived.)

#### GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your The Floence Bond answer.

#### Thereupon---

#### HENRIETTA MOLDEN.

a witness, of lawful age, produced on behalf of the plaintiff, being duly sworn, testified as follows:

By the Examiner:

- Q State your name, residence and occupation?
- A Henrietta Molden, 242 Bowers Court, Baltimore, housewife.
  - Q Do you know the parties to this suit?
  - A Yes, Florence Bond is my sister.

#### By Mr. Davis:

- Q Do you know your sister and her husband were married and lived together as husband and wife and were known in the community where they lived as husband and wife?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q When did they separate?
  - A On October 3rd, 1923.
  - Q Which one of them left the other?
  - A He left her.
  - Q Why did he leave her?
- A Because he had another woman and was running abound.

- Q Did his wife give him any cause or reason to leave her?
  - A No. sir.
- Q Was she always a kind, faithful and affectionate wife to him?
  - A She certainly was.
  - Q How did he treat her?
- A Mean, he always fought her and wanted her to work for him and he beat her and was always nasty to her.
- Q Was his abandonment of her his deliberate and final act?
  - A Yes.
- Q Have they ever lived or cohabited together since he left her on October 3rd, 1923?
  - A No. sir.
- Q Has his abandonment of her continued uninterruptedly from that date to the present time?
  - A Yes.
  - Is there any hope of reconciliation between them?
  - A No.
  - Q Were any children born to them?
  - A No.

Q Have they both been residents of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland, for more than two years prior to the filing of this Bill?

A Yes, sir.

(Gross examination waived.)

#### GENERAL QUESTION

other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

A. -- Penvietta molden

No other witnesses being named or produced before me, I then, at the request
of the Solicitor. 8 of the respective parties
closed the depositions taken in said cause and now return them closed under my
hand and seal, on this eighteenth day of June
in the year of Our Lord nineteen hundred andtwenty-eight
City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland.
Cell Choppingthe (SEAL).
Examiner.
There are Exhibits with these depositions, to wit:
Plaintiff's Exhibit
Defendant's Exhibit
add Sappenfly Examiner.
I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, the Examiner before whom the fore-
going depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning
hoth
a day, and taking the said depositions upon days, on days, on
a day, and taking the said depositions upon two days, on both of which I was employed by the Plaintiff, and on none
of which I was employed by the Plaintiff, and on none

e, in

67 B Florence (	Circuit Court
Chisles	Boul
SUBMISSION	FOR DECREE,
Mr. Clerk, Please file,	Solicitor & for Plaintiff.
No.	22722
Filed 184	me 1948

5 1

Horence Bond			
Charles Br. S	In the Circuit Court of Baltimore City		
- Crur			
To the Honorable			
	Judge of Said Court:		
	The above cause is respectfully submitted for		
decree and the 43rd General Equity	Rule is hereby waived.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
5 × 2	Solicitor for Plaintiff		
	Raccor & Ruces		

Solicitor

for Defendant.

/ Circuit Court
67 B Docket No
Hormee Bond
vs.
Charles Boud
Order of Reference and Report
BNO. 32722
710)
Order Filed 18" day of the 1928

(1Poline Bank	
Torna Nova	IN THE
vs.	Circuit Court
Chalo Bon &	OF
- Charles Low	BALTIMORE CITY
	Term, 192
This case being submitted, without argument, it is	194
	S, that the same be and it is hereby referred to
	Esq., Auditor and Master, to report the
pleadings and the facts, and his opinion thereon.	Doqu, reduced and reduced, to report the
predutings und the taces, and his opinion the com-	aryene of Dunne
Report of Auditor	r and Master
Bill for divorce a vinculo matrim	onii, filed by the wife against
her husband on the ground of abandonme	nt. Code Art. 16; Sec. 37-42.
Defendant summoned and answered.	and go
Plaintiff's residence in Baltimor	e City for more than two years
prior to the filing of the bill proved	
The marriage proved.	
Abandonment uninterruptedly for t	hree years, its finality and the
irreconcilability of the parties prove	d.
Thirty days have elapsed since the	ne filing of the bill.
Case submitted for decree and 41s	t General Equity Rule waived.
Case ready for decree.	
	William P. Lyone
	Auditor and Master.
June 19, 1928	

## CIRCUIT COURT

**B** -67

1928

No.

Docket

FLORENCE BOND

VS.

CHARLES BOND

## Decree of Divorce

**B** No.

The within is a proper decree to be passed in this case.

Mellian Agona Anditor and Master.

pay the cost of this proceeding.

FLORENCE, BOND	Circuit Court	
VS.	OF	
νρ.	BALTIMORE CITY	
CHARLES BOND	Term, 192	
This cause standing ready for hearing and being duly suk	bmitted, the proceedings were by the Court	
read and considered.		
It is thereupon, thisday of	, A. D. 192	
by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, Adjudged, Ordered a	and Decreed, that the said	
FLORENCE BOND		
the above named Complainant be and S he is hereby DIVORO	CED A VINCULO MATRIMONII from the	
Defendant, CHARLES BOND.		
AND it is further ordered that the	name of the Complainant, FLOREN	CE
BOND, be and it is hereby changed to FLO	ORENCE NIXON.	
*		
***************************************		
	*	
And it is further Ordered, That the said Defend	dant, CHARLES BOND	