In the Circuit Court, No. 2, Baltimore Sity. VIRGIE BERRYMAN ۳VS. WILSON BERRYMAN t 2436 Buchanan At P - 1 Bill for divorce, . A VINCULO MATRIMONII. 25 Mr. Clerk: ---Please file &c., Plaintiff. KOY S. BOND ATTORNEY AT LAW 215 ST. PAUL PLACE BALTIMORE, MD FILED plember 19 Daily Record Co. Print, Baltimore, Md.

- - -

VIRGIE BERRYMAN

VS.

In the Circuit Court,

WILSON BERRYMAN

Baltimore City.

No. 2.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Your Oratrix, Virgie Berryman complaining, respectfully says:

(1) That she was married to her husband, Wilson Berryman, in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, on or about the 20th day of September, 1916, with whom she resided until on or about the 2nd day of April, 1917.

(2) That both parties are residents of Baltimore City, State of Maryland, and have been for more than two years prior to the filing of this Bill of Complaint.

(3) That though the conduct of your oratrix towerds her said husband, has been kind, affectionate and above reproach, he without any just cause or reason, has abandoned and deserted her and has declared his intentions to live with her no more; that such abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years, is deliberate and final, and the separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable hope or expectation of a reconciliation.

(4) That there are no children as issue of said marriage.

WHEREFORE YOUR ORATRIX PRAYS:

- -a- A divorce, A Vinculo Matrimonii, from the defendant, Wilson Berryman,
- -b- That she may resume her maiden name, Virgie Foote,

-c- Such other and further relief as the case may require.

May it please your Honor, to grant unto your Oratrix, Virgie Berryman, the writ of subpoena, directed unto the said defendant, Wilson Berryman, residing-2436 Buchanan Street, commanding him to be and appear in this court, in person or by solicitor, on some day certain to be therein named, and perform such decree as may be passed in the premises.

As in duty bound, etc.,

Pgcg3/07-123 Ct. Ct. No. 2 43 C 192 Z Docket No= M enyman . N \mathcal{C} $\langle \rangle$ vs. Benyman やた 24.36 Burran and ane. n Co a 100 183 Subpoena to Answer Bill of Complaint No. 23 By = Wepier ALISSUED TO OCI 14. January 8/ Ce Anter 19 З Н 23 Filed 10 perstanding, 192 REISSUED Bend. Solicitor. R 1

EQUITY SUBPOENA The State of Maryland

.

To .

Nelson Berryman 2436 Buchanan ~

of Baltimore City Greeting:

b, in the year 192

Clerk.

against you exhibited in the CIRCUIT COURT No. 2 OF BALTIMORE CITY.

day of

HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril:

Eplem

MEMORANDUM:

Issued the

You are required to file your Answer or other defence in the Clerk's Office, Room No. 235, in the Court House, Baltimore City, within fifteen days after the return day. (General Equity Rule 11.)

Ţ ĩ IN THE CIRCUIT COURT NO.2 OF 3430 BALTIMORE CITY! 723 VIRGIE BERRYMAN VS. WILSON BERRYMAN RESPONDENT'S ANSWER Mr.Cletk:-Please file. vis DRNEY FOR RESPONDENT. ጥጥ J. STEWARD DAVIS ATTORNEY AT LAW 215 SAINT PAUL PLACE BALTIMORE, MD. January 1 BAUMGANTEN & CO., INC.

VIRGIE BERRYMAN	:	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT NO	• 2 ::
۷S	:	OF	۱
WILSON BERRYMAN	:	BALTIMORE CITY.	ţ

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

The answer of your respondent to the bill of complaint in the above case exhibited is as follows:

I. Your respondent admits the allegations contained in the first paragraph of the bill of complaint.

2. Your respondent admits the allegations contained in the second paragraph of the bill of complaint.

3. Your respondent denies with great emphasis the allegations contained in the third paragraph of the bill of complaint.

4. Your respondent admits the allegetions contained in the fourth paragraph of the bill of complaint.

WHEREFORE your respondent prays that the bill be dismissed with cost to the complainant.

Circuit Court No. 2 **4 30** 192 **3**. Docket 32B. VIRGIE BERRYMAN vs. WILSON BERRYMAN. ------Chapit Petition for leave to take Testimony and Order of Court thereon. No. 713197 B Filed 9 Jebrus aug

· .

VIRGIE BERRYMAN

vs.

WILSON BERRYMAN IN THE

Circuit Court No. 2,

p OF

BALTIMORE CITY.

To the Honorable the Judge of the **Circuit Court No. 2 of Baltimore City:**

Virgie Berryman, Plaintiff, THE PETITION OF

in this case, respectfully shows that she desire **s** to take testimony in this case, and respectfully pray 8 that leave be granted her to do so before one of the Standing Examiners of this Court.

Atti day of Allorana 192 , that leave be ORDERED, this granted to the parties to the cause, to take testimony, as prayed, before any one of the Standing Examiners Watter & Dankins.

of this Court.

3-1-3

Virgie De

In the Circuit Court Oho, 2,

OF BALTIMORE CITY.

ne cause hering at usine

and notice having been given me by the Solicitor for the Maintig of a desire to take testimony in the same, I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, one of the Standing Examiners of the Circuit Courts of Baltimore City, under and by virtue of an order of the above named Circuit Court, passed in said cause on the anth day of Trelinary 1924, met on euch day of Anne in the year nineteen the sev hundred and wenty - for at my office, in the City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland, and assigned the muth day of func in the same year at one - Thurly o'clock in the after - noon and the office of Nond Cog in the City and State aforesaid, as the time and place for such examination of witnesses in said cause; at which last mentioned time and place I attended, due notice of such meeting having been given, and proceeded in the presence of the Solicitor......of the to take the following depositions, that is to say:-

No other witnesses being named or produced before me, I then, at the request closed the depositions taken in said cause and now return them closed under my ... day office in the year of Our Lord nineteen hundred and twenty- for City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland Cale Capping (SEAL). Examiner. at the

There are mo	Exhibits with these depositions, to wit:
Plaintiff's Exhibit	
Defendant's Exhibit/	
	Colla September Examiner.

I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, the Examiner before whom the foregoing depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning by the Defendant.....

Examiner.

Doc. 1923 In the Circuit Court, Two.2. OF BALTIMORE CITY **DEPOSITIONS** Virgie Jerryman Allow Berryma No. 2 31923 PLAINTIFF'S COSTS (2) Examiners...... \$... Copies Sheriff..... Stenographer DEFENDANT'S COSTS Copies ····· Sheriff..... Stenographer July 1924

5

ĥ



VIRGIE BERRYMAN

vs.

VILSON BERRYMAN.

Testimony taken at the offices of Roy 5. Bond, 220 St. Paul Place, on Monday, June 9th, 1924, at 1:30 o'clock in the afternoon.

Thereupon---

VIRGIE BERRYMAN,

the plaintiff, of lawful age, produced in her own behalf, having been first duly sworn according to law, was examined and testified as follows:

10 (By the Examiner) Please state your name, age, residence and occupation?

A. Virgie Berryman, age 30, 2456 Buchannon Street, laundress.

2Q Do you know the parties to this suit, or either of them?

A. I know them both, I am the plaintiff and my husband is the defendant.

3() (By Mr. Bond): "Then, where and by whom were you married?

A. September 20, 1916 in Baltimore, at 2456 Buchannon

Street, by the Reverend R. A. Green.

4Q Are you living the same place now as you were when you were married?

A. Yes.

50, Did your husband leave you or did you leave him?

A. He left me on April 2, 1917.

6Q Is the Rev. Green, whom you say married you, a regular ordnined Minister of the Gospel?

A. Yes.

70 State the circumstances under which your husband left you on the 2nd of April 1917?

A. On the 1st day of April he went out and stayed all night, and came howe in the morning, and when I asked him where he had been he told me it was none of my business and slapped me in the face, and packed his suit case and went. He said he was tired of married life.

80. Did you give him any cause or reason for leaving you?

A. No, I did not.

90 Have you and your husband been residents of Baltimore City, State of Maryland for more than two years prior to the time this case was started?

A. Yes.

100 Have you been separated uninterruptedly for a period of more than three years?

A. Yes.

110 Were you always a kind and true wife?

A. Yes.

12Q Was his going away his own deliberate and final act?

A. It was.13Q Are there any hopes of a reconciliation?A. No.

140. Did you give him any cause at all for deserting you?

A. I did not.

150 Have you any children?

A. No.

160. You ask to resume your maiden name, what is your maiden name?

A. Virgie Foote

17') Have you lived with or cohabited with your husband since the date of the separation?

A. No.

GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

A. ---

.

no virgi Berryman

18Q And your separation has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years?

A. Yes sir.

Thereupon---

THOMAS B. FOOTE,

a witness of lawful age, produced in behalf of the plaintiff, having been first duly sworn according to law, was examined and testified as follows:

1Q (By the Examiner): Please state your name, residence, age, and occupation:

A. Thomas B. Foote, 2402 Buchannon St, Baltimore, Express man, age 44.

20 Do you know the parties to this suit or either of them?

A. Yes, I do.

3Q (By Mr. Bond): Do you remember when these folks lived and cohabited together as man and wife?

A. Yes.

40 Were you at their wedding?

A. Yes.

5Q Then you know that they were married?

A. Yes, I do.

6Q Are they living together now?

A. No.

7Q Which left the other?

A. He left her.

6

8Q When did he leave her, if you please?

A. On the 2nd of April, 1917.

90 Did she give him any cause or reason for going away?

A. No.

10Q She testifies she asked him where he had been, after he had been out all night, and he slapped her and packed up his suit case and went out?

A. Yes, that is right.

110 She is now living at 2402 Buchannon St.

A. Yes.

12Q Have both parties been residents of the City of Baltimore for more than two years prior to the time this case was started?

A. Yes.

13Q Has the separation continued uninterruptedly for a period of more than three years prior the the time this case was started?

A. Yes.

140 Was she always a good, kind, true wife to her husband?

A. Yes.

150 Was his action his own deliberate and final act?

.

A. Yes.

16Q Do you think there is any hope of a recon-

A. No.

17Q Any children?

A. No, they have no children?

GENERAL GUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

1. No Thanks B. Front

Thereupon---

RENA FOOTE,

a witness of lawful age, produced in behalf of the plaintiff, having been first duly sworn according to law, was examined and testified as follows:

10 (By the Examiner): What is your name, age, residence, and occupation?

A. Rena Foote, 2402 Buchannon St, laundress, age 30.

2Q Do you know the parties to this suit, or either of them?

A. Yes, both of them.

3Q (By Mr. Bond): Do you remember when they lived together as husband and wife?

A. Yes.

4Q. Were you at their wedding?

A. Yes. I was there.

5Q Are they living together now?

A. No.

6Q Did she leave him or did he leave her?

A. He left her.

70, When?

A. April 2, 1917.

9

80. What happened on that day?

A. He came home, and she asked him where he had been and why heistayed out all night. He smacked her in the face and packed his suitcase and left?

90. Has he ever been back?

A. No.

10Q Is she living there now?

A. At the same house, yes.

11Q Have they been separated for more than three years continuously?

A. Yes, more than three years.

120 Have they lived together in the meantime?

A. No.

13Q Are both parties residents of Baltimore, and have they been for more than two years?

A. Yes.

14Q Was his going away his own deliberate and final act?

A. Yes.

, 150. Has he shown that he does not intend to live with her any more?

Yes.

16Q- Any hopes of a reconciliation nów?

A. No.
17Q Have the parties to this suit any children?
A. No.
18Q Did he leave her without cause or reason?
A. He did.

GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your enswer.

*--- /W max,7

Athes, Albuller. J.

Cir. Ct. No. 2. 430. Docket No. 32B - 1-92 3. BERRYMAN VIRGIE, VS. WILSON BERRYMAN. -SUBMISSION FOR DECREE. Mr. Clerk, Pleas No. 2/3/92 Filed 25 June .192 🎗

-VIRGIE BERRYMAN -	•
	In th
VS. WILSON BERRYMAN.	C
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	·
To the Honorable	• • • • •
The	м

In the Circuit Court No. 2 Of Baltimore City.

Judge of the Said Court:

The above cause is respectfully submitted for

decree and the 43rd General Equity Rule is hereby waived.

Solicite

'May-----

for Plaintiff,

for Defendant.

Solicitor

•

Ct. Ct. No. 2 430 Docket No. 32B. 192 3. VIRGIE BERRYMAN VS. 22/ WILSON BERRYMAN. 311 **Order of Reference** and Report Hotex No. 213192 B 9-10 Order Filed 26 day of June 1924 Report Filed 3/ day of June 1924

IN THE	VIRGIE BERRYMAN
(1) : ; s (1) s R. +	
Circuit Court No. 2	
	VS.
OF BALTIMORE CITY	WILSON BERRYMAN
BALIIMORE CITT	
May Term, 192 4.	
This case being submitted, without argume	ent, it is ordered by the Court, this 26
of Jung	192, that the same be and is hereby referred to
alexander A. Jo	the Esq., Auditor and Master, to report the
adings and the facts, and his opinion ther	reon.
	Charle F Dhu
Report of A	Auditor and Master
. –	
Bill filed by wife against hu	sband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the gro
Bill filed by wife against hus of abandonment for three years, Coo	sband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the gro
Bill filed by wife against hus of abandonment for three years, Co answered. Proof shows marriage, r	sband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the gro de Article 16, Section 36. Defendant summoned a esidence and abandonment of the Plaintiff for atl
Bill filed by wife against hus of abandonment for three years, Co answered. Proof shows marriage, r	sband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the ground of a section 36. Defendant summoned a esidence and abandonment of the Plaintiff for atl
Bill filed by wife against hus of abandonment for three years, Co answered. Proof shows marriage, r three years continuous, deliberate	sband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the gro de Article 16, Section 36. Defendant summoned a esidence and abandonment of the Plaintiff for atl , final and without hope of reconciliation. Cas
Bill filed by wife against hus of abandonment for three years, Co answered. Proof shows marriage, r three years continuous, deliberate	sband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the gro de Article 16, Section 36. Defendant summoned a esidence and abandonment of the Plaintiff for atl
Bill filed by wife against hus of abandonment for three years, Co answered. Proof shows marriage, r three years continuous, deliberate	sband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the gro de Article 16, Section 36. Defendant summoned a esidence and abandonment of the Plaintiff for atl , final and without hope of reconciliation. Cas Mary Marburhon
Bill filed by wife against hus of abandonment for three years, Co answered. Proof shows marriage, r three years continuous, deliberate	sband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the gro de Article 16, Section 36. Defendant summoned a esidence and abandonment of the Plaintiff for atl , final and without hope of reconciliation. Cas Mary Marburhon
Bill filed by wife against hus of abandonment for three years, Co answered. Proof shows marriage, r three years continuous, deliberate	sband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the gro de Article 16, Section 36. Defendant summoned a esidence and abandonment of the Plaintiff for atl , final and without hope of reconciliation. Cas Mary Marburhon
Bill filed by wife against hus of abandonment for three years, Co answered. Proof shows marriage, r three years continuous, deliberate	sband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the gro de Article 16, Section 36. Defendant summoned a esidence and abandonment of the Plaintiff for atl , final and without hope of reconciliation. Cas Mary Marburhon
Bill filed by wife against hus of abandonment for three years, Co answered. Proof shows marriage, r three years continuous, deliberate	sband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the gro de Article 16, Section 36. Defendant summoned a esidence and abandonment of the Plaintiff for atl , final and without hope of reconciliation. Cas Mary Marburhon
Bill filed by wife against hus of abandonment for three years, Co answered. Proof shows marriage, r three years continuous, deliberate	sband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the gro de Article 16, Section 36. Defendant summoned a esidence and abandonment of the Plaintiff for atl , final and without hope of reconciliation. Cas Mary Marburhon
Bill filed by wife against hus of abandonment for three years, Co answered. Proof shows marriage, r three years continuous, deliberate	sband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the gro de Article 16, Section 36. Defendant summoned a esidence and abandonment of the Plaintiff for atl , final and without hope of reconciliation. Cas Mary MR Warhon
Bill filed by wife against hus of abandonment for three years, Co answered. Proof shows marriage, r three years continuous, deliberate	sband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the gro de Article 16, Section 36. Defendant summoned a esidence and abandonment of the Plaintiff for atl , final and without hope of reconciliation. Cas Mary Maryburhon
Bill filed by wife against hus of abandonment for three years, Co answered. Proof shows marriage, r three years continuous, deliberate	sband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the gro de Article 16, Section 36. Defendant summoned a esidence and abandonment of the Plaintiff for atl , final and without hope of reconciliation. Cas Mary Maryburhon
Bill filed by wife against hus of abandonment for three years, Co answered. Proof shows marriage, r three years continuous, deliberate	sband for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on the ground de Article 16, Section 36. Defendant summoned a esidence and abandonment of the Plaintiff for atl. , final and without hope of reconciliation. Cas

••

The within is a proper decree to be

passed in this case.

Aby ARober

Circuit Court No. 2 B 430 1923 No. 31 Docket 1 -BERRYMAN, VS. BERRYMAN DECREE OF DIVORCE 8A No. 23192 B Boynd Edgaced Filed I Quegues , 1924

DECREE OF DIVORCE.	
	IN THE
VIRGIE BERRYMAN	
70	Circuit Court No. 2,
VS.	
	OF
	BALTIMORE CITY
WILSON BERRYMAN,	
	/ JUL Y Term, 1924.
This cause standing ready for hearing and being	duly submitted, the proceedings were by the Court read and
considered.	· August
It is thereupon, this	day of August Anno
Domini, one thousand nine hundred and twenty-	-four
OF BALTIMORE CITY, Adjudged, Ordered and Decreed,	that the said
	YMAN,
the above named complainant be, and she is her	eby DIVORCED A VINCULO MATRIMONII, from the
defendant., WILSON BERRYMAN.	ı
And the Plaintiff is hereby permitted	to resume her maiden name, Virgie Foote.
,	
1 N N	
···· ······	
•	
·	Lan.t.,
pay the cost of this proceeding.	Support
	I, JOHN PLEASANTS, Clerk of the Circuit
مېرونې د مې ^{يون} د يېرېدينېنې - د مېرونېدينې - مېروونې مېرونې د مېروونې د مېروونې مېرونې د د	<u>Court No. 2</u> of <u>Baltimore</u> City, do hereby
	certify that the above is a true copy of the
	decree taken from the record of proceedings
	in said cause.
	IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I hereunto set my
	hand and affix the seal of the said Court,
	this192
	Clerk Circuit Court No. 2 of Baltimore City.
	•