84

IN THE 1923

1298 ×

356

SUPERIOR COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY.

William E. Shaw,

VS

The United Railways and .
Electric Company and
Edward Jett.

1113 Mowth Purchase,

DECLARATION.

Mr. Clerk:

Please file, etc.

The Strans

The Strans

The Strans

Attys. for Plaintiff

BOX No. 2 2 5 2

IJ 16" Nov 1923

73 83

**8** 

A

William E. Shaw.

VS

The United Railways and Electric Company and Edward Jett.

In the

Superior Court of Baltimore City.

William E. Shaw, by Isaac Lobe Straus and William Pinkney Whyte, Jr., his attorneys, sues the United Railways and Electric Company of Baltimore City and Edward Jett:

FOR THAT the defendant, the United Railways and Electric Company of Baltimore City, is a body corporate engaged in the transportation of persons for hire in the City of Baltimore and elsewhere, and in pursuance of its said business operates its electric cars over and upon the streets and highways of said City of Baltimore, in charge of and operated by its servants and agents, and that the defendant, Edward Jett, is the owner and operator of an automobile; that on or about the 1st day of September 1923, the plaintiff was a passenger on one of the cars of the defendant, the United Railways and Electric Company, in charge of and operated by its servants and agents as aforesaid; that at the end or terminus of the car line running east on Baltimore Street and turning south on Patterson Park Avenue and immediately on the west of Patterson Park there are safety posts and chains provided for the safe ingress and egress of passengers using and desiring to use said electric cars; that the plaintiff desired to alight from said car at its terminus or stopping place on Patterson Park Avenue in the City of Baltimore aforesaid and said car was brought to a full stop and the doors of the same opened for the purpose of discharging passengers on said Petterson Park Avenue, but through the negligence and fault of the defendant Railway Company's said servant or agent in charge of said car the same was not brought to a stop at or in front of the said safety posts and chains, the point established



and usually and customarily used for the stopping of said cars and being a safe place for passengers including the plaintiff to alight, but was stopped before reaching the said safety posts and chains, which compelled the plaintiff to alight in a dangerous and unsafe place, and in so alighting, though using due care and through no fault of his, was struck, knocked down and severely injured by the automobile belonging to and operated by the defendant! Edward Jett, being then and there negligently, wrongfully and unlawfully driven and operated by the said Edward Jett and without regard to the safety and rights of the plaintiff. That said accident was the result of the joint negligence, misconduct and malfeasance of both defendants in the premises. That as the result of being so run into and knocked down the plaintiff sustained severe and permanent bodily injuries especially to his right arm and has been and will be put to great expense for medicines and medical services, has been, is and will be confined to his home for sometime to come and thereby prevented from pursuing his usual occupation as a machinist, and has been otherwise injured and damaged.

And the plaintiff claims \$5,000.00.

A

To the Defendants:

The United Railways and Electric Company:

Edward Jett:

TAKE NOTICE:

That on the day of your appearance to this suit in the Superior Court of Baltimore City a rule will be laid requiring you to plead to said declaration within thirty days thereafter or judgment by default will be entered against you.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

William E. Shaw,

νs

The United Railways and Electric Company and Edward Jett.

In the

Superior Court of Baltimore City.

The Plaintiff elects and prays to have the above entitled case tried before a jury.

Change Tote Straws

Attorneys for Plaintiff.

In the

Superior Court of Baltimore City.

William E. Shaw

vs.

THE UNITED RAILWAYS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY OF BALTIMORE, and Edward Jett.

PLEA.

Mr. 'Clerk:

Please file.

J- Penhroke Thom

Attorney for Defendant.

The United Railways and
Electric Company of
Baltimore.

ened her engly

William E. Shaw

In the

vs.

Superior Court of
Baltimore City.

THE UNITED RAILWAYS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY OF BALTIMORE.

a corporation, and Edward Jett, 1113 N. Port St.

PLEA.

The Defendant, The United Railways and Electric Company of Baltimore, by J. Pembroke Thom, its attorney, for Plea, says:

That it did not commit the wrongs alleged.

Attorney for Defendant.

The United Railways and Electric Company of Baltimore.

No. 84 1065/1923 Su. Ct.

William E. Shaw

VS.

THE UNITED RAILWAYS
AND ELECTRIC COMPANY OF

& Edward Jett 1113 h Port of

# WRIT OF SUMMONS

and copy

2 CopicsNar. and notice to plead within to be served on Defendant.

I.L.Straus, W.P.Whyte

Attorney.

Filed day of 192

2-6

WRIT OF SUMMONS.

## STATE OF MARYLAND

BALTIMORE CITY, to wit:

TO THE SHERIFF OF BALTIMORE CITY, GREETING:

A BODY CORPORATE.

You are hereby commanded to summon

The United Railways and Electric Company of Baltimore,

of Baltimore C		e the Superior C	ourt of Baltime	ore City, to be held	d at the Court House
in the same Ci	ty, on the second Mo	onday of D	ecember	next,	to answer an action
at the suit of	William	E. Shaw	14/3/23		
		,			
and have you	then and there this	writ.	•• ••••••••		
	YAMEN	D CODTED	hief Judge of	the Supreme Bend	ch of Baltimore City,
the	10th	day of	Sept		, 1925
Issued	16th	day d	f Nov	ρ	192.3
			Dle	shew Cx	ille
			V		Clerk.

#### SHERIFF'S RETURN

SUMMONED THE UNITED RAILWAYS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY OF BALTIMORE, A COPPORATION, BY SERVICE ON JOHN T. STAUB. TREASURER, AND A COPY OF NAR AND NOTICE TO PLEAD WITH A COPY OF THE PROCESS LEFT WITH SAID TREASURER. Also Rummones Edward Jett and a Copy of Than and Notice to Plead life with the deferedant.

Hen \$1.80

( yuffe ) 17/23 (McKinley) 12/5/23

Thomas J. Me hulting

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF

WILLIAM E. SHAW

vs.

THE UNITED RAILWAYS & ELECTRIC COMPANY and EDWARD JETT.

DEFENDANT'S ANSWER

Mr.Clerk:-

Please file.

J STEWARD DAVIS

ATTORNEY AT LAW

BAUMGARTEN & CO, INC.

WILLIAM E. SHAW

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

VS.

ŧ

OF

THE UNITED RAILWAYS and ELECTRIC COMPANY and EDWARD JETT.

BALTIMORE CITY.

Edward Jett, defendant, by his attorneys, J. Steward Davis and George W. Evans, says:

That he did not commit the wrong alleged.

AUTORNEYS FOR DERENDANT

# 1298 Jan. 3.

IN THE

SUPERIOR COURT

WILLIAM E. SHAW

vs.

## The United Railways & Electric Co.

OF BALTIMORE.

AND Edward Jett

AGREED AND SETTLED

MR CLERK --Please File

FILED FEB 18 1925

WITT	MAT.T.	H	SHAW
TV L.I.	1 1 1 L A IVL	11	OTITIVA

IN THE

SUPERIOR COURT

vs.

### The United Railways & Electric Co.

OF BALTIMORE
AND
EDWARD JETT

Mr. Clerk:

Please enter the above entitled case agreed and settled, Defendant, The United Railways to pay costs.

Witnesses:

Margaret Meiser William E. Shaw
Plaintiff.

Sage Love Straus
William B. Shaw
Plaintiff.

Attorney for Plaintiff.

Edward a fett

Attorney for Defendant.

Edward Jett

Attorney for defendant, The United Railways and Electric Company of Baltimore.