IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY.

State of Maryland, for the use and benefit of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, a mumicipal corporation, employer and self insurer, and for the use and benefit of Catherine Hyland, wife(now widow), of Daniel Hyland deceased.

VS.

January Term, 1926.

Saunders System Baltimore Com-pany, a body corporate, and Theodore R. Griffin,

Damages Claimed

\$25,000.00

Clerk of the Balti Court:

Please docket this case and issue Writ of Summons for the Defendant, returnable to the

Charla C Wall Solicitor - Attorney for

I hereby authorize and approve the institution of the above suit.

runo

No239

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY

State of Maryland, for the use and benefit of the Mayor & City Council of Baltimore, a municipal corporation, employer and self insurer, and for the use and benefit of Catherine Hyland, wife (now widow) of Daniel Hyland deceased,

Saunders System Baltimore Company, a body corporate, and Theodore R. Griffin, "E. North Was

TITLING

Mr. Clerk:

Please file and issue returnable to

Second Monday of ... May ... 1926.

MOX No. 2483

Filed 30 day of Oype 1926.

STATE OF MARYLAND, for the use and benefit of the MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE, a municipal corporation, employer, and for the use and benefit of CATHERINE HYLAND, wife (now widow) of Daniel Hyland, deceased,

IN THE

SUPERIOR COURT

OF

BALTIMORE CITY.

Plaintiffs

(4) 5/25/26.

1. James & Yorthe Resident agent. SAUNDERS SYSTEM BALTIMORE COMPANY, a body corporate, and THEODORE R. GRIFFIN,

Defendants.

DECLARATION IN TITLING CASE

State of Maryland, for the use and benefit of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, a municipal corporation, employer, and for the use and benefit of Catherine Hyland, wife (now widow) of Daniel Hyland, deceased, plaintiffs, by Charles C. Wallace, City Solicitor, their attorney, sues Saunders System Baltimore Company, a body corporate, and Theodore R. Griffin, defendants:

FOR THAT, on the 30th day of April, 1925, the defendant Saunders System Baltimore Company, was a body corporate, duly incorporated, organized and existing, and ever since has been, and is now, and on said 30th day of April, 1925, owned and was engaged in the business of hiring automobiles to persons to run themselves or to drive themselves; and on said 30th day of April, 1925, the said defendant, Saunders System

Baltimore Company let or hired an automobile to Martin Yackowitz, of Baltimore City, who had in company with him Theodore R. Griffin, of Baltimore City, one of the defendants in this case; and it was the duty of the defendant, Saunders System Baltimore Company, to use reasonable and ordinary care to keep and maintain its automobiles let or hired to persons to drive themselves in a reasonably safe condition to be safely operated by persons operating them, as well for the safety of persons in the automobile as for those who might be lawfully using the streets and highways and roads over which automobiles are operated; but in default of its duty aforesaid, the defendant, Saunders System Baltimore Company, on the 30th day of April, 1925, let or hired an automobile to said Martin Yackowitz, which was not in a reasonably safe condition to be safely operated on the streets, roads and highways, in that through the want of due care on the part of the Saunders System Baltimore Company, its agents and servants, the brakes that are operated by the driver of an automobile, known as the foot brakes, were out of order, or were not in proper condition to enable the driver of said car to operate the same with safety, and in consequence thereof while the said car was being driven by the defendant, Theodore R. Griffin, down a road or highway in Druid Hill Park, Baltimore City, on the night of April 30th, 1925, with the permission and consent of said Martin Yackowitz, by reason of the said foot brakes of the said automobile not being in proper and safe condition, the said Theodore R. Griffin was unable to control said automobile, so that the said automobile ran rapidly down the said road or highway and turned over and struck Daniel Hyland, a night watchman or park policeman in the employ of the Mayor and City Council

of Baltimore, while in the performance of his duties in Druid
Hill Park, and while he was using due care and caution in walking
along and upon said road or highway, and while lawfully thereon;
and in consequence the skull of said Daniel Hyland was fractured
and he sustained other severe bodily injuries, in consequence
of which he died on the 1st day of May, 1925, the said Daniel
Hyland being the husband of the equitable plaintiff, Catherine
Hyland; that the injuries resulting in the death of the said
Daniel Hyland, the husband of the equitable plaintiff, Catherine
Hyland, as aforesaid, were caused by the want of due and ordinary
care on the part of the said defendants, and without any want
of due and ordinary care or negligence on the part of the said
Daniel Hyland directly contributing thereto.

The plaintiffs further say that the said Daniel Hyland was, at the time of said accident and inflicting of said injuries, from which he subsequently died, in the employ of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, a municipal corporation, one of the equitable plaintiffs herein, and that a claim for compensation was accordingly made by Catherine Hyland, the lawful widow and sole dependent of the said Daniel Hyland, the other equitable plaintiff herein, before the State Industrial Accident Commission of Maryland against the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, a municipal corporation, employer of the said Daniel Hyland, and self-insurer under the provisions of the Public General Laws of the State of Maryland, commonly known as the Workmen's Compensation Act, and that after due consideration of said claim the State Industrial Accident Commission of Maryland did, on the 30th day of April, 1926, order the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, a municipal corporation, employer

of the said Daniel Hyland, to pay as compensation to the said Catherine Hyland, one of the equitable plaintiffs herein and the widow of the said Daniel Hyland, deceased, a sum not to exceed \$5,000.00 in the aggregate, at the rate of \$15.40 per week, payable weekly, for the period of three hundred twenty-four and five-eighths weeks, beginning as of the 1st day of May, 1925, and the further sum of not more than \$125.00 for funeral expenses incurred by reason of the death of the said Daniel Hyland.

That the said accident and injuries to the said Daniel Hyland, resulting in his death as aforesaid, were caused by no fault or neglect of any kind or character on the part of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, a municipal corporation, his employer, nor by virtue of any default or neglect of any of its officers, agents or servants, and that the liability to pay the award aforesaid of the State Industrial Accident Commission of Maryland by the said Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, a municipal corporation, is due solely to the relationship of employer and employee which existed between said municipal corporation and the said Daniel Hyland at the time of said injuries received by him which resulted in his death as aforesaid, and the statutory responsibility imposed by said Workmen's Compensation Act upon said municipal corporation by virtue of said relationship; that the said accident and injuries to the said Daniel Hyland, resulting in his death as aforesaid, being due solely to the negligence and want of care on the part of the defendants, their agents and servants in the premises, a legal liability is imposed by law upon the defendants to pay damages in respect thereto; that under the terms and provisions of the Code of Public

General Laws of Maryland, generally known as the Workmen's Compensation Act, the said Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, a municipal corporation and one of the equitable plaintiffs herein, is authorized and entitled to enforce the liability of the defendants herein to the said Catherine Hyland, the lawful widow and sole dependent of the said Daniel Hyland, deceased, she being the other equitable plaintiff, for the use and benefit of the said municipal corporation as the employer of the said Daniel Hyland at the time of said accident and injuries which resulted in his death, to the extent of said compensation awarded against it by the State Industrial Accident Commission of Maryland, as aforesaid, and for the use and benefit of the said Daniel Hyland, deceased, for the excess of damages over and above the amount of compensation so awarded.

WHEREFORE this suit is brought and the plaintiffs claim \$25,000.00 damages.

City Solicitor - Attorney for Plaintiffs.

To the Defendants:

TAKE NOTICE: That on the day of your appearance to this action a rule will be entered requiring you to plead thereto within the time limited by law for pleading.

City Solicitor - Attorney for Plaintiffs.

The plaintiffs elect to have the above

entitled case tried before a jury.

Charle Wellac
City Solicitor - Attorney for Plaintiffs

CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE, a municipal corporation, employer COMPANY, a body corporate, and THEODORE R. GRIFFIN, and for the use and benefit of and benefit of the MAYOR AND CATHERINE HYLAND, wife (now widow) of Daniel Hyland, de-Defendants. City Solicitor - Attorney for Plaintiffs. BALTIMORE CITY Mr. Clerk: -Tes \$100

SHERIFF'S RETURN

1 1 1 2 11 1
A CORPORATION, BY SERVICE ON James D. North Reside
Of A Marth Resile
A CORPORATION, BY SERVICE ON The Age
AND A COPY OF MAR AND NOTICE TO PLEAD WITH A COPY OF THE PROCESS
LEFT WITH SAID Resident Agent, ALSO NOTICE OF SAID SUMMONS
LEFT AT THE PRINCIPAL OFFICE OF SAID CORPORATION.
Now Est asto Theodore A. Briffin
(montau)

Tees\$1.20 John & Potee SHERIFF

STATE OF MARYLAND,

BALTIMORE CITY, to wit:

To the Sheriff of Baltimore City, Greeting:

	You are hereby commanded to summonSaunders System
	Baltimore Company a body copporate and
	Theodore R. Griffin
of Baltimore City, to appear	ar before the Superior Court of Baltimore City, to be held at the Court House
in the same city, on the second	ond Monday of May next, to answer
an action at the suit of Scity Council of Baland for the use and laiel Hyland deceased	tate of Maryland for the use and benefit of the Mayor a timore, a municipal corporation, employer and self insubenefit of datherine Hyland, wafe (now widow) of d
	A snomme to shiw the little kalling
and have you then and the Witness, the Honorabl	ere this writ. le JAMES P. GORTER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City,
the 11th	day of January 192 6
Issued 30th	day of April 192 6
	Stephen C Little, Clerk.

against vou.

You have been summoned to appear in Court on the Second Monday of May 192.6 Personal attendance in Court on the day named is not required; but, unless within such number of days thereafter as the law limits, legal defense is made to the above mentioned suit, a judgment by default may be entered

No.235 453/1926

Su. Ct.

State of Maryland for the use of Mayor and City Council of Ba ltimore &c

Saunders System Balto. Co.

Writ of Summons

& one copy

Copy of Nar and Notice to Plead Within x to be served on Defendant.

C. C. Wallace

Attorney

Filed day of

192

举

為

STATE OF MARYLAND,
For the use of the
MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL
OF BALTIMORE, a municipal
corporation, employer and
self insurer, and for the
use and benefit of
CATHERINE HYLAND, wife
(now widow) of DANIEL
HYLAND, deceased.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

OF

:

:

BALTIMORE CITY.

- V -

SAUNDERS SYSTEM BALTIMORE COMPANY, a body corporate, and Theodore R. Griffin.

Mr. Clerk:

Please enter my appearance as attorney for the Saunders System Baltimore Company, one of the defendants in the above entitled case, and lay rule nar upon the plaintiff.

Attorney for Saunders System Baltimore Company, one of the defendants.

WLC-F

5-18-1926.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

OF

BALTIMORE CITY.

STATE OF MARYLAND, for the use of the MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE, a municipal corporation, employer and self insurer, and for the use and benefit of CATHERINE HYLAND, wife (now widow) of DANIEL HYLAND, deceased,

- V -

SAUNDERS SYSTEM BALTIMORE COMPANY, a body corporate and THEODORE R. GRIFFIN.

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

AND RULE NAR.

Mr.Clerk:

Please file

Attorney for Seunders System Baltimore Co., one of the defendants.

BOWIE & CLARK

FIDELITY BUILDING

BALTIMORE

STATE OF MARYLAND, for the use and benefit of the MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE, a municipal corporation, employer, and for the use and benefit of CATHERINE HYLAND, wife (now widow) of Daniel Hyland, Deceased,

: IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

SAUNDERS SYSTEM BALTIMORE COMPANY, a body corporate, and THEODORE R. GRIFFIN

BALTIMORE CITY.

OF

Saunders System Baltimore Company, a body corporate, one of the defendants in the above entitled case, by Walter L. Clark, its attorney, to the declaration filed herein, says:

That it did not commit the wrongs alleged.

Attorney for Sainders

System Baltimore Company.

WLC-F

5-28-1926.

464

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY.

STATE OF MARYLAND, for use and benefit of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, a municipal corporation, employer, and for the use and benefit of Catherine Hyland, wife (now widow) of Daniel Hyland, Deceased,

SAUNDERS SYSTEM BALTIMORE CO a body corporate, and THEODORE R. GRIFFIN.

PLEA TO DECLARATION.

Mr.Clerk:

Please file.

Attorney for Saunders System Company, one of the defendants.

BOWIE & CLARK

FIDELITY BUILDING

BALTIMORE





STATE OF MARYLAND, for the use of the MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE, a municipal corporation, employer and self insurer, and for the use and benefit of SUPERIOR COURT CATHERINE HYLAND, wife, (now widow) of DANIEL HYLAND, deceased.

BALTIMORE CITY.

OF

IN THE

SAUNDERS SYSTEM BALTIMORE COMPANY, a body corporate, and THEODORE R. GRIFFIN.

VS.

Mr. Clerk:

Enter the above entitled case "Dismissed", plaintiff

to pay the costs.

City Solicitor,

Attorney for Plaintiff.

1926

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY.

STATE OF MARYLAND, for the use of the MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE, a municipal corporation, employer and self-insurer, and for the use and benefit of CATHERINE HYLAND, wife, (now widow) of DANIEL HYLAND, deceased.

VS.

SAUNDERS SYSTEM BALTIMORE COMPANY, a body corporate, and THEODORE H. CRIFFIN.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL.

Mr. Clerk:

Please file, etc.

City Solicitor,

Attorney for Plaintiff.

(while

Filed 27 Sept 1926