

118 Md. 275 118 Md. 275, 84 A. 491

(Cite as: 118 Md. 275)

C

118 Md. 275, 84 A. 491

Court of Appeals of Maryland. MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE

v. MEYER. June 12, 1912.

Appeal from Superior Court of Baltimore City; John J. Dobler, Judge.

Action by Arthur Meyer against the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore. Judgment for plaintiff, and defendant appeals. Reversed, without awarding a new trial.

West Headnotes

Municipal Corporations 268 € 977

268k977 Most Cited Cases

Taxes voluntarily paid under a mistake or doubt of law cannot be recovered back, even though there was no legal or moral obligation to pay, and the recipient has no right in good conscience to retain.

Municipal Corporations 268 € 977

268k977 Most Cited Cases

In the absence of express statutory authority for the recovery of taxes paid under a mistake of law, a municipality cannot by ordinance change the common law of the state so as to authorize a recovery.

Municipal Corporations 268 € 977

268k977 Most Cited Cases

Where a statute relating to the recovery of taxes paid under a mistake of law applies only to the counties, the presumption is that it purposely excluded the city of Baltimore.

Municipal Corporations 268 € 977

268k977 Most Cited Cases

Under Baltimore City Code 1906, art. 38, § 5,

codifying Ordinance No. 88, and Baltimore City Charter, § 170, as amended by Acts 1908, c. 167, held, that an owner paying taxes in excess of those properly chargeable to him could not recover such excess by suit, but that under the charter he had full opportunity to contest such assessment.

Municipal Corporations 268 € 979

268k979 Most Cited Cases

A property holder may go into equity to protect his property from an unlawful sale for taxes.

Argued before BOYD, C. J., and BRISCOE, PEARCE, BURKE, THOMAS, and STOCKBRIDGE, JJ.

Alexander Preston, of Baltimore, for appellant. Charles E. Ecker and Thos. Burling Hull, both of Baltimore, for appellee.

BOYD, C. J.

As the questions involved in this case are the same as those determined in <u>Baltimore v. Harvey</u>, <u>84 Atl. 487</u>, it will not be necessary to file a separate opinion, but for reasons given in that one the judgment in this case will be reversed.

Judgment reversed, without awarding a new trial, the appellee to pay the costs.

Md. 1912.

City of Baltimore v. Meyer 118 Md. 275, 84 A. 491

END OF DOCUMENT