

Harrod v. State
39 Md. App. 230 (1978).

FOR EDUCATIONAL USE ONLY

Citing References

(Showing 18 documents)

Positive Cases (U.S.A.)

★★★★ Examined

- H** 1 Gonzalez v. State, 2005 WL 1651452, *6+ (Md. Jul 15, 2005) (NO. 103 SEPT.TERM 2004) **HN: 2,3,4 (A.2d)**

★★ Cited

- P** 2 Brown v. State, 494 A.2d 999, 1005, 64 Md.App. 324, 336 (Md.App. Jul 12, 1985) (NO. 1632 SEPT. TERM 1984) **HN: 2 (A.2d)**
- H** 3 Hadid v. Alexander, 462 A.2d 1216, 1222, 55 Md.App. 344, 354 (Md.App. Jul 11, 1983) (NO. 1143) **HN: 3,4 (A.2d)**
- P** 4 Mouzone v. State, 436 A.2d 916, 922, 50 Md.App. 81, 90 (Md.App. Nov 04, 1981) (NO. 26) **HN: 1 (A.2d)**
- P** 5 Pratt v. State, 387 A.2d 779, 787+, 39 Md.App. 442, 455+ (Md.App. Jun 07, 1978) (NO. 857) **HN: 3,4 (A.2d)**

Administrative Decisions (U.S.A.)

- 6 68 Md. Op. Atty. Gen. 330, Alfred J. O'Ferrall, III, Esq. (1983) ★★ **HN: 3,4 (A.2d)**

Secondary Sources (U.S.A.)

- C** 7 Mode of proof of testimony given at former examination, hearing, or trial, 11 A.L.R.2d 30, §11f+ (1950) **HN: 2 (A.2d)**
- 8 Lane Goldstein Trial Technique s 11:103, --IN PRACTICE (2003) **HN: 7,9 (A.2d)**
- 9 Trial Handbook for Maryland Lawyers s 15:7, PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS (2004) **HN: 1 (A.2d)**
- 10 Wharton's Criminal Evidence s 9:27, s 9:27. Inconsistent statements -- Procedure for impeaching with prior inconsistent statement (2005)
- C** 11 Am. Jur. 2d Evidence s 932, s 932. Sound recording or videotape of former witness (2005) **HN: 2 (A.2d)**
- C** 12 CJS Stenographers s 10, s 10. Functions and duties, generally (2005)
- 13 Maryland Law Encyclopedia Criminal Law s 374, s 374. Rulings as to evidence or witnesses in general -- Cross-examination (2005) **HN: 5 (A.2d)**
- 14 Maryland Law Encyclopedia Evidence s 103, s 103. Requirements for certification (2005) **HN: 3,4 (A.2d)**
- 15 Maryland Law Encyclopedia Witnesses s 93, s 93. Laying foundation for proof of inconsistent statements (2005) **HN: 1,6 (A.2d)**
- 16 Maryland Law Encyclopedia Witnesses s 95, s 95. Sustaining witness (2005)

Court Documents

Appellate Court Documents (U.S.A.)

Appellate Briefs

- 17 Stuart C. HILL, et a., Appellants, v. CONSUMER PROTECTION DIVISION, Appellee., 2000 WL 34523660, *34523660+ (Appellate Brief) (Md.App. Dec 21, 2000) **Brief of Appellee** (NO. 01192) ★★ ★
- 18 ORTH CLEANERS, INC. and James J. Disante, Appellants, v. ROEHRS & COMPANY, INC., Appellee., 2004 WL 1530072, *1530072 (Appellate Brief) (Pa.Super. Mar 23, 2004) **Brief of Appellee** (NO. 03575EDA03, 03576EDA03) ★

© Copyright 2005 West, Carswell, Sweet & Maxwell Asia and Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited, ABN 64 058 914 668, or their Licensors. All rights reserved.

Ecker v. McAllister
54 Md. 362 (1880).

FOR EDUCATIONAL USE ONLY

Citing References

(Showing 15 documents)

Positive Cases (U.S.A.)

★★★ Discussed

- H** 1 Gonzalez v. State, 2005 WL 1651452, *4+ (Md. Jul 15, 2005) (NO. 103 SEPT.TERM 2004) ”

★★★ Cited

- C** 2 Mayor and City Council of Baltimore v. State for Use of Biggs, 103 A. 426, 428, 132 Md. 113, 113 (Md. Jan 16, 1918) (NO. 83)
- C** 3 Dipaula v. Green, 82 A. 205, 207, 116 Md. 491, 491 (Md. Nov 17, 1911)
- C** 4 Herrick v. Swomley, 56 Md. 439, 463, 1881 WL 4773, *12 (Md. Jun 29, 1881) ”
- H** 5 Harrod v. State, 384 A.2d 753, 759+, 39 Md.App. 230, 238+ (Md.App. Apr 13, 1978) (NO. 905)
- P** 6 Calkins v. Chandler, 36 Mich. 320, 320, 1877 WL 7212, *7212, 24 Am.Rep. 593, 593 (Mich. Apr 18, 1877)
- C** 7 Clay v. Tyson, 26 N.W. 240, 242, 19 Neb. 530, 530 (Neb. Jan 06, 1886)
- C** 8 Nugent v. Wolfe, 4 A. 15, 20, 111 Pa. 471, 482 (Pa. Feb 01, 1886)

★ Mentioned

- C** 9 Freedman v. Yoe, 119 A. 260, 262, 141 Md. 482, 482 (Md. Jun 23, 1922) (NO. 55)

Secondary Sources (U.S.A.)

- C** 10 Mode of proof of testimony given at former examination, hearing, or trial, 11 A.L.R.2d 30, §48a+ (1950)
- C** 11 Extension of time for payment of obligation or agreement to forgo enforcement thereof as consideration for a new promise by a third person as affected by nonenforceability of the obligation at time extension or agreement was made, 114 A.L.R. 1203, §1203+ (1938)
- 12 Trial Handbook for Maryland Lawyers s 15:7, PRIOR INCONSISTENT STATEMENTS (2004)
- 13 Maryland Law Encyclopedia Contracts s 38, s 38. Forbearance, generally (2005)
- 14 Maryland Law Encyclopedia Fraudulent Conveyances s 44, s 44. Intent and knowledge (2005)

Court Documents

Appellate Court Documents (U.S.A.)

Appellate Briefs

- 15 HARTFORD FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, Plaintiff-Appellant, v. ADCOR INDUSTRIES, INCORPORATED, Defendant-Appellee., 2005 WL 1410132, *1410132+ (Appellate Brief) (4th Cir. Apr 19, 2005) **Brief of Appellee Adcor Industries, Incorporated** (NO. 05-1146) ★★

© Copyright 2005 West, Carswell, Sweet & Maxwell Asia and Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited, ABN 64 058 914 668, or their Licensors. All rights reserved.

FOR EDUCATIONAL USE ONLY

Citing References

(Showing 26 documents)

Positive Cases (U.S.A.)

★★★ Discussed

- H** [1](#) Gonzalez v. State, 2005 WL 1651452, *4+ (Md. Jul 15, 2005) (NO. 103 SEPT.TERM 2004)

★★ Cited

- C** [2](#) Holler v. Miller, 9 A.2d 250, 251, 177 Md. 204, 208 (Md. Nov 29, 1939) (NO. 15)
- P** [3](#) Roth v. Baltimore Trust Co., 158 A. 32, 36, 161 Md. 340, 340 (Md. Nov 20, 1931) (NO. 15)
- C** [4](#) Citizens' Nat. Bank of Pocomoke City v. Custis, 141 A. 556, 558, 155 Md. 173, 173 (Md. Apr 13, 1928) (NO. 53)
- C** [5](#) Provident Trust Co. of Philadelphia v. Massey, 125 A. 821, 825, 146 Md. 34, 34 (Md. Jul 01, 1924) (NO. 42)
- C** [6](#) Murphy v. Stubblefield, 104 A. 259, 261, 133 Md. 23, 23 (Md. May 01, 1918) (NO. 4)
- C** [7](#) Citizens' Mut. Fire Ins. Co. of Cecil County v. Conowingo Bridge Co., 77 A. 378, 381, 113 Md. 430, 430 (Md. Jun 22, 1910)
- P** [8](#) Scheffenacker v. Hoopes, 77 A. 130, 133, 113 Md. 111, 111, 29 L.R.A.N.S. 205, 205 (Md. Apr 01, 1910)
- [9](#) Forrestell v. Wood, 23 A. 133, 133 (Md. Dec 17, 1891)
- C** [10](#) Thorne v. Fox, 8 A. 667, 669, 67 Md. 67, 67 (Md. Mar 16, 1887)
- P** [11](#) Fearnow v. Chesapeake & Potomac Telephone Co. of Maryland, 655 A.2d 1, 23, 104 Md.App. 1, 46 (Md.App. Jan 11, 1995) (NO. 495 SEPT.TERM, 1994) ¶¶
- H** [12](#) Harrod v. State, 384 A.2d 753, 759+, 39 Md.App. 230, 238+ (Md.App. Apr 13, 1978) (NO. 905)
- P** [13](#) Schaeffer v. United Bank & Trust Co. of Maryland, 360 A.2d 461, 466, 32 Md.App. 339, 348, 20 UCC Rep.Serv. 125, 125 (Md.App. Jul 26, 1976) (NO. 921)

★ Mentioned

- C** [14](#) Penrose v. Canton Nat. Bank of Canton, 127 A. 852, 857, 147 Md. 200, 200 (Md. Jan 21, 1925) (NO. 56)
- P** [15](#) Lockhart v. State, 125 A. 829, 838, 145 Md. 602, 602 (Md. Apr 30, 1924) (NO. 7, 8)
- C** [16](#) Mayor and City Council of Baltimore v. State for Use of Biggs, 103 A. 426, 428, 132 Md. 113, 113 (Md. Jan 16, 1918) (NO. 83)
- C** [17](#) Kerr, Evans & Co. v. Co-operative Improvement Co., 99 A. 708, 709, 129 Md. 469, 469 (Md. Dec 13, 1916) (NO. 38)
- C** [18](#) Seff v. Brotman, 70 A. 106, 108, 108 Md. 278, 278 (Md. Jun 24, 1908)
- C** [19](#) Bronson v. Brady, 28 App.D.C. 250, 252, 1906 WL 19597, *1 (App.D.C. Nov 07, 1906) (NO. 1681)
- P** [20](#) Throckmorton v. Holt, 12 App.D.C. 552, 567, 1898 WL 15630, *10 (App.D.C. May 03, 1898) (NO. 712)
- C** [21](#) Meadowcraft v. Walsh, 39 P. 914, 916, 15 Mont. 544, 544 (Mont. Apr 01, 1895)
- C** [22](#) Molloy v. U.S. Exp. Co., 22 Pa.Super. 173, 177, 1903 WL 3049, *3 (Pa.Super. 1903)

Secondary Sources (U.S.A.)

- C** [23](#) Mode of proof of testimony given at former examination, hearing, or trial, 11 A.L.R.2d 30, §29+ (1950)
- C** [24](#) Production of paper purporting to be indorsed in blank by payee or by a special indorsee, as prima facie evidence of plaintiff's title to the paper, 11 A.L.R. 952, §952+ (1921)
- [25](#) Maryland Law Encyclopedia Appeals s 225, s 225. Admission and exclusion of evidence (2005)
- [26](#) Maryland Law Encyclopedia Witnesses s 63, s 63. Scope and extent of cross-examination in general -- Matters proper or improper on cross-examination (2005)

© Copyright 2005 West, Carswell, Sweet & Maxwell Asia and Thomson Legal & Regulatory Limited, ABN 64 058 914 668, or their Licensors. All rights reserved.