

In the Matter of
Maurice J. Hanrahan

Oct 7, 1890

~~1890-1891~~

Abbie Wallace, Widow, : Before the
: :
vs : Supreme Bench of Baltimore City.
: :
Maurice J. Hanrahan : :

To the Honorable Henry D. Harlan, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City.

The memorial and petition of Abbie Wallace respectfully represents unto your Honor and Associate Judges.

- 1.- That she is a widow, residing at Woodberry, Baltimore City.
- 2.- That prior and up to the 7th day of June 1890 your petitioner had the sum of one thousand dollars invested in Baltimore City stock which sum represented the whole savings of ~~her~~^{her} life-time.
- 3.- That the children of your petitioner (two sons and one daughter) were interested as heirs-at-law of their intestate uncle, with other heirs, in three improved lots in Woodberry-proceedings for the sale of which are now pending in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City.
- 4.- That shortly prior to the said 7th day of June 1890 your petitioner was taken to the office of Maurice J. Hanrahan, attorney at law, and a practising member of the Bar of Baltimore City-that your petitioner was so introduced to said Hanrahan by ~~her~~ brother-in-law William Wallace- also a party in interest in said property and whose attorney the said Hanrahan was.
- 5.- That the said Hanrahan then advised your petitioner

to sell the said City stock and invest the proceeds of such sale in one of the said lots in Woodberry- representing to her that the said property would be sold immediately-and that he further advised her to allow him the said Hanrahan to make said sale telling her that she would by so doing save the brokerage fees which would be charged if the sale was effected by a banker or broker.

6.- That having full confidence in the honesty and integrity of the said Hanrahan, your petitioner at his request went with him to the City Hall and there ~~he~~ duly affixed her mark to the certificate of said City stock thereby endorsing the same for transfer.

7.- That the said Hanrahan then placed the said stock in the hands of Townsend Scott & Son Brokers for sale and that the said stock was duly sold by the said Townsend Scott & Son and the net proceeds of such sale, after deducting the commissions usually charged were paid over by the said Broker to the said Hanrahan.

8.- That the said net proceeds of such sale amounted to \$1.107.50 as your Petitioner is informed.

9.- That the suspicions of your petitioner were aroused and her fears excited by the failure of the said Hanrahan to make the purchase or investment in the Woodberry lot, for which purpose the said sale had been made-and by his further failure to account for the sum so received by him for her.

10.- That she then stated her troubles and fears to

Robert W. Smith Esq of the Banking House of Jno. S. Gittings & Co. by whom the investment of her savings had been made in the aforesaid City stock-and that Mr. Smith upon hearing her statement went with her, immediately, to the office of the said Hanrahan and demanded from him the return of the money received by him for your petitioner.

11.- That after, *a long time and repeated demands, with* much difficulty your petitioner by and through the efforts of the said Mr. Smith in her behalf secured from the said Hanrahan the sum of \$650.

12.- That your petitioner has never been able to secure from the said Hanrahan the balance of the sum due her, nor has he ever made any satisfactory statement to her of the disposition made of the same- and your petitioner only knows that no investment for her benefit has ever been made of the

said funds, in the hands of the said Hanrahan for that purpose. *Said Hanrahan has evaded all your petitioners efforts to obtain an account, has put her off repeatedly with false statements and has in fact appropriated said fund to his own use.*

13.- Your petitioner is informed that a judgment for the said balance due her by the said Hanrahan would be worthless and she has therefor decided not to make the expenditure which a suit would necessitate.

14.- That upon the facts above stated your petitioner is *advised* ~~that~~ that the said Maurice J. Hanrahan should be disbarred from further practice in the Courts of this City- not merely as a punishment for the duplicity and dishonesty the consequences of which your Petitioner is forced to bear, in her old age and when her capacity for work is no longer adequate for her necessities, but as a means of protection

against any further attempts which the said Hanrahan may
make to victimize others as helpless and as unprotected as
your Petitioner.

^{her}
Abbie Wallace
^{mark}

Marking + Bondon
Atty Gen Petitioner

State of Maryland, Baltimore City. To Wit:

I hereby certify that on this ^{4th}
day of ^{October} 1890, before the subscriber, a Jus-
tice of the Peace of the State of Maryland, in and for the
City aforesaid personally appeared Abbie Wallace and made
oath that the matters and facts stated in the foregoing
petition are true to the best of her knowledge and belief.

^{Frank}
J.P.
1890

Ordered by the Supreme Bench this 7th day
of October 1890 upon the foregoing petition
that the same be granted as requested
except upon the 25th day of October 1890
upon the same as requested as directed

from retention of attorneys of others
and the accompanying prayer
of the petition, provided a copy
of the petition and this order
be served upon the said Hanahan

on or before the 11th day of October 1890

And the Clerk of this Court is
petition are true to the best of her knowledge and belief
directed to make said service.

Henry N. Harlan

San Francisco
Census Office

I hereby certify that the
State of Maryland, Baltimore

Handwritten signature and notes, possibly including the name "Richard" and "me".

Your Petitioner.

make to victimize others as helpless and as unprotected as
against any further attempts which the said Hanahan may

with

Supreme Bench

of Baltimore City

Service admitted

W. J. Haurachan

Oct 9th 1890

Petition to Disbar

Abbie Wallace ^{Union}

Wm J. Haurachan

Mr Clerk
Please file

MARBURY & BOWDOIN,
ATTORNEYS AT LAW,
12 ST. PAUL STREET,
BALTIMORE, MD.

Filed Oct 7th 1890

In the matter of }
Maurice J. Stannahan } In the Supreme Bench
Ex rel. } of Baltimore City
Abbie Wallace, widow }

The petition of the relator, the answer of the respondent and the evidence produced before the Court in the above entitled matter having been fully heard and considered it is by the Court this 31st day of January 1891 adjudged and ordered that the name of the said Maurice J. Stannahan be and it is hereby stricken from the roll of attorneys of this Court

Harry D. Harlan.
William A. Stewart
C. F. Wier
Dan Grantingh

1891 Before the
Supreme Bench of Baltimore
city,

In the matter of
Maurice J. Hannahan

Ex rel

Abbie Wallace, widow,

Order of Court

Filed Jan 31st 1891

Abbie Wallace
vs
Maurice J. Hamahan

Before the Supreme Bench
of Baltimore City.

Mr. James Bond, Clerk

Please issue summons for the following
witnesses to testify for the Petitioner in above case,

Mrs. Abbie Wallace, N^o 14 Hill St. Woodbury, City

Robert W. Smith, (John S. Gettings & Co) North & Fayette Sts

Townsend Scott, N^o 15 North St.; Rosarter S. Scott, N^o 15 North St.

Charles Gordon, City Register's Office (City Hall)

Returnable Saturday January 17th at 10 o'clock.

Marbury & Bowdoin

Attorneys for Petitioner

Before Supreme Bench
of Baltimore City

Abbie Wallace

vs

Maurice J. Hamaker

Filed Jan 16th 1891

Thomas G. Hayes,
Attorney & Counsellor at Law
221 St. Paul Street,
Baltimore, Md.

January 24th 1891

Maurice J. Harrohan Esq
18 East First St
Baltimore, Md

Sir; I her this day stricken out
out my appearance for you in the matter
now pending against you before the
Supreme Bench of Baltimore City.

You are advised of this at this
time so that you may employ other
counsel before Saturday next January
31st 1891, the time assigned for the
hearing of said case

Very Truly

Thomas G. Hayes

Baltimore, State of Maryland - I certify that on
this the 24th day of January 1891 before me
a Justice of the Peace in and for the City & State
of Maryland personally appeared ^{M. Swanson by} ~~James~~
and made oath that the above is a copy of letter ^{delivered}
at 18 East 3rd Street Md, the residence of Maurice J. Harrohan
to him in person on Saturday 24th Jan 1891 at 1.15 o'clock P.M.
J. Maguador Subman O.P.

In the matter
of
Maurice J. Harrohan
in the petition
Abbie Wallace

In the
Supreme Bench
Baltimore City

Mr. Wm^o Clutts
Clerk Supreme Bench,
Baltimore City

Strike out my appearance
for Maurice J. Harrohan in the
above entitled case

Thomas G. Hayes

Jan^y 23^d 1891

In the matter
Maurice J. Harrohan
on the petition

Abbie Wallace

Mr Clerk
Pleasfile
Thomas G. Day

Filed Jan 24th 1891

Supreme Bench
In the Superior Court of Baltimore City,

January TERM, 1891

W

Summon Mrs Abbie Wallace No 14 Hill St Woodberry City

R Robert W. Smith & John S. Gittings (vs) North & Fayette Sts

R Townsend Scott, No 15 North St, R Rositer S. Scott, No 15 North St

R Charles Gordon City Register's Office (City Hall)

to testify for Abbie Wallace vs Maurice J. Hamahan

returnable on the 17th day of January 1891, at 10 o'clock, A. M.

TO THE SHERIFF OF BALTIMORE CITY.

Jas Bond

Clerk.

Marbury & Bowdoin Counsel.

Issued 16th day of January 1891

Supreme Bench
In the Superior Court of Baltimore City,

S
D
J.

Summon *Dr. C. D. Smith* at *January* TERM, 1891
620 S. Charles St.

Bradley S. Johnson *W. E. Atty at Law*

Alvin Robertson *Clerk Circuit Court, taking original papers in*
Case of Wallace vs Wallace a proceeding for sale of property at Woodberry filed
about May 1890

to testify for *Maurice J. Keenan* *vs* *Abbie Wallace*

returnable on the *17th* day of *January* 1891, at 10 o'clock, A. M.

TO THE SHERIFF OF BALTIMORE CITY.

Jas Bond

Clerk.

Thomas G. Wray Counsel.

Issued *16th* day of *January* 1891

In the matter
of
Maurice J. Harrobin

Before
Supreme Court
Butte City

Mr Wm C. Clouse

Summons the following
witness to testify for Harrobin
returnable to-wit-wit on Saturday
May 17th 1891

D. C. D. Smith

620 S. Charles St

Genl Bradley S. Johnson
Atty at Law

Attn: Robert Chase Corbett
Court to bring original papers
in case Wallace vs.
Wallace a proceeding for
sale of property at Hamburg
filed about May 1890

Thomas Hays
Atty for Harrobin

In the Supreme Bench

In the matter
of
Maurice J. Harrahan
Common Witnessess to testify.

Filed 16th Jan '91

Abbie Wallace widow } In the
vs } Supreme Bench
Maurice J. Haurahan } of Baltimore City
To the Honorable Judges of
The Supreme Bench of Baltimore City
The Answer of Maurice J. Haurahan to the
petition against him filed in the above Entitled
Cause and for Cause why an order should ^{not} be
passed disbarring him from practicing as an
attorney of this Court respectfully state

First: The respondent admits the Averments
in the first, third, fourth, sixth, seventh
and Eighth paragraphs of said petition

Second: The respondent denies the Averments
in the 2nd, 5th, 9th, 11th, 12th, 14th
paragraphs of said petition

Third: The respondent neither admits nor
denies the Averments in said 10th and 13th
paragraphs of said petition

The respondent further Answering says that
the said petitioner came to his office with
William Wallace who was his client and
requested him to sell the bond in question
for her so that she might be able to ^{purchase} ~~buy~~
~~the same~~ ^{to or more} ~~in one~~ of the Woodberry ^{showing} ~~property~~
That it was agreed that the money should be
held by the respondent until the sale of
said property. That the respondent finding
that some time would elapse before

the sale of said Woodberry property would take place and acting in her interest and funding what he considered a safe and paying investment, lent \$400. of said money at 6 per cent interest on what he thought good and sufficient security. That when the demand was made upon him for the said amount he informed the petitioner that he had loaned this amount of \$400 at 6 per cent interest with the understanding that it was payable in full time to be used in the purchase of said Woodberry property and at same time paid her as averred in said petition the sum of \$650. That the property in question at Woodberry has not yet been sold and has not to the best of the respondents' knowledge been under the decree of the Circuit Court of Baltimore City advertised for sale. That the dealings of your respondent with the petitioner has been without fraud or deceit or any unlawful conduct on his part. That he has never concealed any thing from the petitioner or used for his own uses or purposes the money realized from the sale of said bond. That the object of the petitioner in requesting this respondent to take said money realized from said bond and purchase said Woodberry property was to conceal the fact that she was the purchaser from her sister in law whom she feared would compel her to pay more for the

same and agreed to pay your Respondent
for the services aforesaid he was to tender her
your Respondent Avers that he never was
her attorney at law or had any relations what
soever with her as a client. That his employ
ment was to receive the money and purchase
the property for her for the masons
stated at the time as hereinbefore given
and as in duty.

Maurice J. Haurahan

Thomas G. Haefis

attys for Respondent

State of Maryland

Baltimore City

Shenby certify that on this 25th
day of October 1890 personally appeared
before me ^{of the subscribers} a Justice of the Peace of the State of

in and for Baltimore City

Maurice J. Haurahan and swore that
the foregoing was true to the best of his knowledge

J. Maguire Subm. J. P.

Abbie Wallace

v

M J Sauraham

Answer

MCK

Please file to
Thomas G. Hayes
atly for Respondent

Filed Oct 25th 1890

Abbie Wallace, widow, : Before the
: :
vs : Supreme Bench of Baltimore City.
Maurice J. Hanrahan. :

To the Honorable Henry D. Harlan, Chief Judge:-

Your Petitioner, Mrs. Abbie Wallace, denies each and every allegation of the answer of the said Hanrahan to her petition so far as the same may deny or avoid the allegations of said petition, and she respectfully prays the Court to set her said petition down for hearing at some date to be fixed by the Court with leave to your petitioner to produce and examine before the Court witnesses in support of the allegations of her petition.

And your petitioner will ever &c.

Walter H. Rowdon
Atty for Petitioner

Before the Supreme Bench
of Baltimore City

Abbie Wallace

vs

Maurice J. Naurahan

Replication

Mr. Clerk

Please file

Marbury & Bowdoin
Attys for Plaintiff

MARBURY & BOWDOIN,
ATTORNEYS AT LAW,
12 ST. PAUL STREET,
BALTIMORE, MD.

Filed Dec 12th 1890

In the matter
of Maurice J. Stannahan
ex rel.
Abie Wallace Widar

In the
supreme
Court

Ordered this 22nd day of December 1890
that the above matter be and the
same is hereby set for hearing on
the 17th day of January 1891 ~~with~~ with
leave to the said relator and the
said Stannahan to produce oral
testimony in support of their respective
allegations and denials, provided
a copy of this order be served on
the said parties or their respective
counsel on or before the 17th day
of January 1891.

Henry D. Hartman

William A. Stewart

J. E. Messers

Edw. Grandinight

Edw. D. Duff

Supreme Bench
Baltimore City
Order setting the 17th day
of January 1891, for a
hearing in the Case of Maurice
J. Kaurahan,

Filed Dec 22nd 1890