

In the Matter of

J. Parker Veazey

Oct. 13, 1886

COLLEGE

In the matter of J. Parker Veazy vs The Supreme Bench of Baltimore City

Certain proceedings recently had in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City in a case of Bull v Bull therein depending having been certified to this Court, and being now of record therein, ~~by~~ which said proceedings ~~it appears to have been~~ certain breaches of trust appear to have been committed by J. Parker Veazy Esq, a member of the bar of Baltimore City, in his capacity of trustee appointed by said Circuit Court, it is ordered this 13th day of October 1886 by the Court that said J. Parker Veazy Esq. show cause on or before the 27th day of October next why his name should not be stricken from the roll of attorneys of said Court, provided a copy of this order be served upon him, ~~as required to have at his present residence,~~ if he has left the City of Baltimore, on or before the 20th day of October next, and the Clerk of this Court is directed to make said service.

Geo. M. Brown
Edw. Duffey
William A. Fisher
C. B. Phelps

In the matter
of J. Parker Verzey
—

Order to
Show Cause

Filed 13th Oct 1886

To the
Honorable Geo Wm Brown
Chief Judge Supreme Bench
of Baltimore City

In the matter of order requiring
J. Parker Veazey to appear before
the Supreme Bench of Baltimore
City on Nov. 15th 1886, at 2 o'clock P. M.
I made diligent effort to serve the
order ^{on the 9th Nov} on said J. Parker Veazey, and
learned from Mrs. Veazey, his wife,
that the said Veazey was not in
Baltimore, probably being in Chicago,
and she could not say when he
would return. The order was
left with Mrs. Veazey ^{on said day} who stated she
would apprise him of the fact of
service — I am

Yours Respectfully
James Bond
Clerk of the Superior Court
of Baltimore City

In the Supreme Bench
of
Baltimore City

In the matter
of J Parker Veazey

Statement of James Bond
clerk

Filed Nov 15th 1886

Filed Nov 15th 1886

In the matter of }
J. Parker Veazey }

In Supreme Bench
of Baltimore City

Ordered that the matter of J. Parker
Veazey, be set for hearing, at 2 o'clock P.M. on
Monday, 15th November 1884, and that the
Clerk inform W. Veazey, that if he desires, he
will be heard in person, or by Counsel on that
occasion,

Geo. Wm. Brown
William A. Fisher
William A. Stewart
Edw. Duffy
Ch. Phelps

1886

In the matter of
Parker Veazey

In the Supreme Bench
of Baltimore City

Order of Court, setting a
day for hearing.

Filed Nov 8 " 1886

Ordered this 15th day of November A.D. 1886 that
by the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City that the
matter in relation to the dishonourment of J. —
Parker Vazzy be postponed until two o'clock
p.m. on Monday, November 22nd ~~at~~ next,
in order to allow Mr Vazzy further oppor-
tunity to appear in person or by counsel,
if he thinks proper to do so, and it is further
ordered that final action in reference
to the matter shall then be ~~made~~ taken.

Geo. Wm. Brown
Edward Duffell
William A. Stewart
William A. Fisher

1886

In the matter
of Parker Veazey

Order of Supreme Bench,
Extending time for argument

Filed Nov 15th 1886

43 Lexington Street
October 16 1886

Mr. James Bond,
Clerk, Supreme Bench,

Dear Sir

Please file the enclosed paper and
hand it at once to the Chief Justice or one of
the Associate Justices of the Supreme Bench of
Baltimore City.

Very Respectfully
Parker May Jr

In the Supreme Bench
of Baltimore City

In the matter
of J Parked Veazey

Filed Oct 16th 1886

In the matter of } In Supreme
J. Parker Beazley } Bench

Please to send me
the papers in this case.

G. W. Brown

21 Oct 96

Jas Bondy

Baltimore, April 3rd 1887

Hon. Jas. M. Brown,
Chief Justice of the Supreme Bench
of Baltimore City.

Dear Sir

I venture to apply to you on behalf of those who have met with losses in consequence of my recent failure. I do not ask any consideration for myself or even for my faithful & devoted wife or my innocent little children all dependant upon me; we will try to bear as best we can the fearful burdens created by the fact and hope for rest when all the struggles of life are over. But I ask that I may be aided in my efforts to repair the past and relieve the sufferings resulting from it; even the Convicts are allowed some opportunity for reform.

I find that I am unable to be admitted to the Bar without producing a Certificate from the Courts where I have practiced; this of course I can not obtain at present as my name has been stricken from the Rolls by the Supreme Bench.

This, if continued indefinitely, confiscates the most valuable asset I possess and renders me bankrupt forever & powerless to even commence the work of reparation.

If I have deserved punishment, surely there is no agony that I have not endured. If I ought to be humiliated & disgraced, there is no kind of mortification I have not experienced.

The ends of justice would seem therefore to have been satisfied & the time for reformation & leniency to have arrived.

Respectfully

J. Veazey

1603 Clinton Ave.
1603

Minneapolis.

Return to

No. 1603 Clinton Ave.

MINNEAPOLIS, Minn.,

If not delivered within 10 days.

J. Parker Peazey

Hon. Geo. W. Brown

Chief Justice Supreme Bench

Baltimore City

Maryland

Dated June 15/1888

APR 15 1888

ALTO
APR 7
6 PM
87

Baltimore City Court

April 15, 1887

How, George W. Brown

Dear Sir

I laid

Mr. Veazey's letter before the members of the Supreme Bench, who were present at a consultation yesterday & Messrs. Duffy, Phelps, Dennis and Stewart & and they were all of the opinion that they could grant no relief to Mr. Veazey, as he requested. I am very respectfully

Your friend & ob. servt

Wm. A. Stewart

Baltimore

April 18th / 89

Dear Sir

Your letter of
the 5th inst was laid
before the Supreme
^{first convenient}
13ouch on the ~~first~~
^{presented by}
opportunity ~~of~~ a
meeting, and I

am instructed to
inform you that the
relief which you
request cannot be
granted.

Respectfully

Geo Wm Brown

J. Parker Veazey Esq

In the matter of } In the Supreme Court
J. Parker Veazey } Bonds of Baltimore City

The answer of J. Parker Veazey to the order laid on him in this cause to show why he should not be disbarred, having been read and carefully considered it is on this sixth day of December 1886 adjudged and ordered that the name of the said Veazey be and it is hereby stricken from the roll of attorneys of this Court

Geo. Hunt Brown C. J.

Edw^d Duffy

William A. Fisher

William A. Stewart

In the Supreme Bench
of
Baltimore City

D

In the matter of J Parker Neasey

Order of Court, of Disbarment

Filed Dec 6th 1886

In the matter of
I Parker Keagy

To the
Hon George Brown

Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench
of Baltimore City -

Sir,

I have the honor to submit a
certified copy of proceedings recently had
in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City
in the case of Bull v Bull, inviting
your attention to the admitted
breaches of trust on the part of I
Parker Keagy Esq, a member of the bar,
and respectfully suggesting that the
Supreme Bench be convened at an
early day to take such action in
the premises as may be deemed
proper.

Very Respectfully

J. W. Kelly

Judge Cuit. Ct. D. C.

A meeting of the Supreme Bench
will be held on Wednesday Oct 13th at
3 P.M. at the office of the Criminal Court
to consider the above matter

George Brown

In the matter of J Parker Keafey Esq
Communion of Judge Phelps,
and Call of Hon Geo Wm Brown
for a meeting of the Supreme Bench

Filed Oct 13 " 1886



To The Honorable, The Judges
of The Supreme Bench of Baltimore City.

The Answer of J. Parker Veazy, a member of the Bar of said City and an Attorney of said Court, to the order passed by said Court on the 13th day of October 1886 requiring him to "show cause why his name should not be stricken from the roll of Attorneys of said Court", respectfully shows -

First. That the circumstances set forth in the said order, as appearing from the Records of the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, do not constitute an act for which members of the Bar have heretofore been removed from the Roll of Attorneys, and therefore such action by the Court in the case of this Respondent would not be in accordance with the practice of The Supreme Bench of Baltimore City nor in conformity to the usages prevailing in the other Courts of this State.

Second. That the Trust Funds which were misapplied by him have been partially restored by those to whom they were improperly paid, and it appears from the statements of his Co-trustees, ^{as reported in the daily papers,} that a very large portion, if not all, of the balance can be recovered, so that no serious loss seems likely to result to those interested in said fund.

Third. That, at the time of his said misapplication of the Trust funds referred to

in said order, he was in the midst of financial embarrassments of so great an amount and of such far-reaching effect upon others as to produce in him an unnatural condition of mental excitement ^{which was destructive of self-control,} ~~and~~ and his acts under those circumstances ought not to be measured by the same standard as would be applied to a more deliberate course untended by similar exciting causes.

Fourth. That several years ago he met with very large and unexpected pecuniary losses and, in endeavoring to carry the burden thus created, he was forced by circumstances, against his will and without his own approval, to resort to extensive and unavoidable financing and, in the midst of this bewildering experience, has been guilty of the same injudicious folly that has characterized the business career of some of the leading citizens of Baltimore; but that, with the exception of such irregularities as resulted from this unnatural and unfortunate, ~~but~~ and yet unavoidable, effort to postpone the financial wreck of himself and others, his professional life has been without reproach.

Fifth. That he has held several prominent positions of Public Trust in this Community all of which he has filled without affording grounds for serious complaint; that his whole life has been one of sobriety and industry, and that the humiliation and



mortification which he has already endured, the suffering which has been entailed upon his family and friends, and the publicity which has been given to his misfortunes, constitute such a degree of painful punishment ~~and~~ that the ends of justice do not require that he should be ^{further} disgraced by dismissal from the Bar.

Sixth. That he was admitted to the Bar of this City a few days after becoming of full age and that therefore his whole life, since reaching manhood, has been devoted to the pursuit of the legal profession; that he is now possessed of no property and has no business experience which is available for the purpose of earning any income with which to maintain his family; that an order preventing him from further prosecuting his professional labors in this Community would practically prevent him from utilizing ^{elsewhere} his legal knowledge or professional skill and experience ~~elsewhere~~ and would therefore remove from him ~~all~~ his ^{only} resources for supporting those dependant upon him.

Seventh. That the power to strike the names of Attorneys from the Rolls of the Court is not intended to create a punishment for past errors but to operate as a preventive of future misconduct; that as this Respondent has now no financial credit and has lost, to a very great extent, the confidence

of this Community, it would be impossible for
him to be again situated in the midst of cir-
cumstances similar to those which have led
to the professional misconduct now complain-
ed of, and therefore the purposes of the law
which clothes the Court with such exten-
ded discretion and such grave penal powers
do not require that he should be prevented
from further practicing his profession.

This Respondent therefore humbly submits
to this Honorable Court that, in obedience to
said order, he has herein shown sufficient
cause why his name should not be stricken
from the Roll of Attorneys of this Court

All of which is respectfully submitted

Parker Keazey

In
The Supreme Bench
of
Baltimore City

In the matter
of J. Parker Veazey

Mr. James Bond,
Clerk of

Please file this
answer to the order
of the Supreme Bench
passed October 13/86
requiring me to show
cause why my name
should not be stricken
from the Roll of Attor-
neys of said Court.

J. Parker Veazey
Attorney

Filed Oct 16th 1886