

In the Matter of

C. Bohn Slingshoff

May 20, 1885

To the Honorable the Judges of the Supreme  
Bench of the City of Baltimore.

The petition of Louisa Schad, of the  
city of Baltimore, respectfully represents, that  
she is the administratrix of a former deceas-  
ed husband. Rudolph Pelzer, having obtained  
letters of administration from the Orphans  
Court of Baltimore County, in the month  
of July, 1884. That being entirely un-  
acquainted with Court proceedings, she was  
advised to retain as her legal adviser  
G. John Shugluff an officer practising  
and a member of this Honorable Court  
in the Courts of Baltimore, that she only  
required his services to be advised as to the  
forms of proceedings in such cases, and for  
no other purpose. That there was paid  
over to him, without authority from your  
petitioner, a sum of money, amounting  
to nearly three hundred dollars, the pro-  
ceeds of sales of personal, movable property,  
by Matthews and Kirkland, the Auctioneers  
who sold the same. That there was  
a leasehold interest belonging to said  
Estate, of large value, upon which there  
was a mortgage, also disposed of, pur-  
chased by the mortgagee at a ruinous

sacrifice, and the said attorney was present at the sale. That she inadvertently made a deed to the said property without receiving the consideration and without being cautioned by her said attorney, and by said act, her commissions and the liens and other costs and expenses have been placed in peril. That she has been obliged, for decency's sake, to pay the funeral expenses out of her own meagre estate and means.

That she has represented in person, by letters and through another attorney whom she has been obliged to employ to look after her interests, <sup>and to pay over the said money,</sup> but he has failed to answer her letters, keep his appointments or pay her the money collected, although often appealed to to do so. That she has dismissed him by letter as her attorney, because he has embarrassed her, and she thinks, been utterly inattentive to her legal claims. She therefore asks that the said G. Bohn Shingluff shall answer and discover what legal beneficial interest he has subserved in the premises; the money that he has received in her name as Administratrix as aforesaid, and that he shall bring the same into this Honorable Court at an early day, and as in duty bound, she will ever pray &c  
Louise Schardt.



On this 25<sup>th</sup> day of May A.D. 1885, before  
me, a Justice of the Peace of the State of Maryland,  
in and for Baltimore City, personally appeared  
Louisa Schad, and made oath in due  
form of law that the matters stated herein  
are true to the best of her knowledge and  
belief.

Wm. McCaffrey J.

Ordered, this day of May, A.D. 1885,  
that C Bohw Singluff answer the mat-  
ters set forth in the foregoing petition,  
and bring into Court whatever money  
he may have collected in the name  
of petitioner, as her attorney, on or  
before the day of May, , pro-  
vided a copy of this petition and order  
be served on him on or before the  
instant.

Petition.

of

Louisa Schae

Adm<sup>t</sup>.

v.

C Bohn Klingluff

The Clerk

Will please  
file & submit to  
Chief Justice.

Mrs. Louisa  
Schae.

Filed, May 20<sup>th</sup> 1885