

Copy.

State of Maryland,

City of Baltimore, S.S.

I hereby certify that on this fourth day of June 1880 before me the subscriber a Justice of the Peace of the State of Maryland in and for the City of Baltimore personally appeared John S. Edwards and made oath in due form of law that he was summoned this date by the Sheriff to attend as a witness for the defendant in case of Reed vs. Proctor tried this day that he went to said Court and had an interview in the passage adjoining the Court room with said Proctor and his lawyer (the latter a stout gentleman with black hair and beard at which interview he told said Proctor that in the presence and hearing of his said lawyer that he could not swear that he had ever taken one barrel of flour to said Reed and gotten but two barrels because in every case when he took flour back to Reed he had invariably gotten a barrel or barrels for any barrel or barrels returned and that if he ever took one barrel back to Reed and got two barrels bought that day he must have taken back then three barrels, the two so bought and one for the one so returned if any was returned. that when he had made this statement to Proctor and his lawyer both of them told him he might go the lawyer adding "I thought you knew something about the case more than you do" that a year or more ago Mr. Reed the plaintiff came to Mr. Proctor's store cor. Linden Av. and Hoffman Street and said to Mr. Proctor "If your driver will say that he returned that barrel of flour to me, I will say

nothing more about it." Mr. Proctor asked me the next day if I could not say that I had returned the barrel of flour and I told him that I had no recollection of returning it and therefore could make no such statement and this affiant further states that he never brought to said Proctor from said Reed any note receipt or memorandum upon any occasion although he has taken back a bill for any flour delivered to him, that this affiant remembers about a year and a half ago taking a barrel of flour from Proctor's store by the latter's order to the store of Mr. Reed and getting another barrel in its stead Mr. Proctor claiming that the barrel so returned was not good and demanding another from him in exchange, although this affiant knows that said barrel so returned had been made up by filling a barrel out of which flour had been taken in Proctor's store from bags of flour in the latter's store. and this affiant further swears that said ~~that said~~ Proctor came to Dr. Dobson's store where affiant is now employed about eight O'clock this morning and told affiant he would want him today or tomorrow and about eleven O'clock this morning affiant was summoned by the sheriff.

And further affiant saith not

(Signed)

John ^{his} Edwards
mark

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this 4th day of June A.D. 1880
(Signed) Geo. W^c. Caffray J.P.

Copy
Albert W. Reed

vs.

Saml. J. Proctor

Affidavit of
Jno. S. Edwards

Mr. Robertson Clerk

Please file

Geo. G. Hooper

atky. for Plff.

Exhibit
Affidavit of
Edwards

To the Clerk of the
Supreme Bench.

File this Exhibit
it - with the Complaint
of the Bar Association
of Ball's City -
against W. C. Gleason
Edward Chas. Hinkley
Arch. Tutting
Counsel for Complainants

fd. June 5/80

Filed July 30, 1880

The Bar Association

by
Wm E. Sheeran

The respondent respectfully
moves the Court to strike
out the sixth & seventh
charges mentioned in the
petition because they are
respectively bad in
substance

John V. Doe
att'y for respondent

Motion to strike
out 6th and 7th
charges.

7th Nov 1, 1880.

The Bar Association

Wm E. Gleason

In the Supreme
Court of Baltimore
City.

The respondent Wm E. Gleason
by John P. Poe his attorney respectfully
moves that the rule heretofore entered
in this proceeding, requiring him to
show cause why he should not be
disbarred, may be discharged,
for the reason that it appears
by the Complaint itself that
the Complainant, the Bar Association,
has no such legal interest in
the subject matter of this inquiry
as authorizes it to take any
action on the premises.

John P. Poe
attorney for respondent.

The Bar Association

Wm. E. Gleason

=

motion that the
rule discharged

=

(Overruled)

Filed Sept 30/80, 1880

Copy

State of Maryland

City of Baltimore: S.S.

I hereby certify that on this fourth day of June 1880 before me the subscriber a Justice of the Peace of the State of Maryland in and for the City of Baltimore personally appeared John E. Edwards and made oath in the form of law that he was summoned this date by the Sheriff to attend as a witness for the defendant in case of Reed vs Proctor tried this day that he went to said Court and had an interview in the passage adjoining the Court room with said Proctor and his lawyer (the latter a stout gentleman with black hair and beard at which interview he told said Proctor that in the presence and hearing of his said lawyer that he could not swear that he had ever taken one barrel of flour to said Reed and gotten but two barrels because in every case when he took flour back to Reed he had invariably gotten a barrel or barrels for any barrel or barrels returned and that if he ever took one barrel back to Reed and got two barrels bought that day he must have taken back then three barrels, the two so bought and one for the one so returned if any was returned that when he had made this statement to Proctor and his lawyer both of them told him he might go the lawyer adding "I thought you knew something about the case more than you do" that a year or more ago Mr Reed the plaintiff came to Mr Proctor's store cor. Lincol. Av. and Hoffman Street and said to Mr Proctor "If your trier will say that he returned that barrel of flour to me, I will say nothing more about it." Mr Proctor asked me the next day if I could not say

1 that I had returned the barrel of flour and I told him
2 that I had no recollection of returning it and therefore
3 could make no such statement and this affiant
4 further states that he never brought to said Proctor
5 from said Reed any note, receipt, or memorandum
6 upon any occasion although he has taken back a
7 bill for any flour delivered to him, that this affiant
8 remembers about a year and a half ago taking a
9 barrel of flour from Proctor's store by the latter's order
10 to the store of Mr Reed and getting another barrel in
11 its stead Mr Proctor claiming that the barrel so re-
12 turned was not good and demanding another from him
13 in exchange, although this affiant knows that said
14 barrel so returned has been made up by filling a
15 barrel out of which flour has been taken in Proctor's
16 store from bags of flour in the latter's store. And this
17 affiant further swears that said Proctor came to Mr
18 Dobson's store where affiant is now employed about
19 eight o'clock this morning and told affiant he would
20 want him today or tomorrow and about eleven o'clock
21 this morning affiant was summoned by the Sheriff

And further affiant saith not

(Signed)

John S^{his} Edwards
marks.

24 Subscribed and sworn to before me this 4th day of June, A. D. 1880.

(Signed)

Geo Mc Caffray J. P.

27 True Copy - Test

28 Francis A Proctor

29 Clerk of the Supreme Bench
30 of Baltimore City
31
32

Albert W Reed

Samuel Y Proctor

Affiant of
Mrs S Edwards.

Copy to be served upon
William & Gleeson

Filed July 3^d 1880.

Bar Association of Baltimore City } Before the
vs. } Supreme Bench
Wm E Gleeson } of
Baltimore City

To the Clerk:

Please renew the summons
for witnesses to testify for the Com-
plainant in the above entitled case
returnable on Monday the 1st day of
November 1880 at 10 o'clock in the
morning.

Edward Otto Hinkley
for Complainants
the Bar Association

Bar Association of
Baltimore City

vs

William E. Gleeson.

Order for summons

7th Oct 29/12, 1880


The Bar Association
of Baltimore City

Wm E. Gleason

In the Supreme
Court of Baltimore
City.

To the Honorable the Judges of the Supreme
Court of Baltimore City—

The petition of Wm E. Gleason
Respectfully shows that upon the petition
of the Bar Association of Baltimore
City ^{against him} the answer thereto of the
Respondent & the replication of
the said Bar Association thereto
certain issues of fact arise.
Which he is advised he is entitled
to have tried and determined
by a Jury—

He therefore respectfully prays
that your Honors will be pleased
to direct the issues of fact
arising upon said proceedings
to be framed & transmitted for

trial to such Court as your
Honors may determine there
to be tried and decided
by a Jury - and as well as
John P. The
ally (baptist)

The Bar Association
of Baltimore City

Wm E Gleason

Mr Clerk

File this
petition -

John P. Poe

Capetun

7^d Nov 1, 1880.

In The matter of the

Complaint of the Bar Association
of Baltimore City
against

William E. Gleeson

The petition and exhibit marked "affidavit of Edwards" filed in this case having been read and considered, it is on this 10th day of July 1880, ordered by the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City that the defendant William E. Gleeson Esq a member of the Bar of Baltimore City show cause on or before the 13th day of September next why the prayer of said petition should not be granted, provided a copy of said petition and exhibit be served on him on or before the 15th day of August next.

George H. Brown

George H. Brown

Robt. Gilman

Order of Court



70 July 10/80

The Bar Association
of
Baltimore City
William E. Gleeson
To the Clerk,
In the
Supreme Bench
of
Baltimore City.
To be heard
on Thursday 30 Sept 1880

Summon as witnesses for
complainant in the above entitled
case,

Albert M Reed 143 North Howard St
William E. Bailett same place
John S. Edwards (colored man)
at Dodson's Pharmacy Linden Avenue
Residence 150 Tyson Street
Deputy Sheriff M Grew
George G. Mosher, Atty at Law
St. Paul's Street

Louay Dep Clerk of Ball City Ct

Edward Ows Hinckley
for complainant

The Bar Association
of
Baltimore City

vs.

W^m E. Gleeson

Order for Witnesses.

7th Sept 28/80