

(Non jury) 341

You have been summoned to appear in COURT the second Monday of 193.....

Personal attendance in Court on the day named is not required; but unless within such number of days thereafter as the law limits, legal defense is made to this suit, a Judgment by default may be entered against you.

No. 194

277/4

BOX 1890

IN THE
Baltimore City Court.

EDWIN W. BAKER

BOX 1890
Plaintiff

vs.

K. BERTHA HURST

1008 W. LAFAYETTE AVENUE

CITY.

Defendant

MR. CLERK,

BALTIMORE CITY COURT:

BOX 1890

Issue in this case, and send copy of the declaration and notice with the writ to be served on the Defendant and make the writ returnable on the second Monday of APRIL, 1936.

D. Lindoay Baynham

Attorney for Plaintiff

1423 PENNA. AVE.

Filed day of APRIL, 1936.

FILED APR 11 1936

3/32/11/32

Box 482



STATE OF

CITY OF SCT.

I HEREBY CERTIFY, That on this day of, 193
before me, the subscriber, Notary Public, by Letters Patent, under the great seal of the State of
..... commissioned and duly qualified, residing in the
..... in the State aforesaid, personally appeared

.....
and made oath in due form of law, on the Holy Evangelry of Almighty God (*who being conscientiously
scrupulous of taking an oath*) did solemnly, sincerely and truly declare and affirm on the five books of
Moses, (he being an Israelite), that there is justly due and owing by

.....
the Defendant in the within named case, to the Plaintiff on annexed

.....
(the cause of action in said cause), the sum of
..... dollars and cents,
(with interest from) over and above
all discounts, to the best of his knowledge and belief.

(Note—If the Plaintiff be absent from the State, or if the Plaintiff be a corporation, the following additional oath is necessary.)

And he further swears that he is the Agent of the said Plaintiff and duly authorized to make this
affidavit, and has personal knowledge of the matters therein stated.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my
Seal Notarial, the day and year aforesaid.

Baltimore, Md. April 11, 1936.

Mrs. K. Bertha Hurst, Dr.

to Edwin W. Baker, Cr.

1928 Care of Property-----	\$ 200.00	
1930-Janitor work-----	800.00	
Special services rendered-----	1000.00	
1934-Built four (4) rooms-----	400.00	
Insurance claim-----	110.00	
Other general services rendered, to you -----	550.00	
Total-----		\$3060.00

Edwin W. Baker

APR 11 1936

FILED APR 11 1936

Spring M. Borker

	Logan-----	\$3000.00
Other General Services rendered	-----	220.00
Insurance costs	-----	170.00
1927-1928 Lumber (4) Lumber	-----	400.00
Special Services rendered	-----	1000.00
1920-1928 Lumber	-----	800.00
1928-29 Sale of Property	-----	500.00

to remain M. Borker's CL.

Mrs. K. Borker Hilda DL.

Beltsville Md. April 11 1936

11

In The Baltimore City Court.

City of Baltimore, to wit:

EDWIN W. BAKER

by D. LINDSAY BAYNHAM

his Attorney sues K. BERTHA HURST

For money payable by the Defendant to the Plaintiff

1. For goods bargained and sold by the Plaintiff to the Defendant
2. And for work done and materials provided by the Plaintiff for the Defendant , at her request.
3. And for money lent by the Plaintiff to the Defendant
4. And for money paid by the Plaintiff for the Defendant , at her request.
5. And for money received by the Defendant for the use of the Plaintiff
6. And for money found to be due from the Defendant to the Plaintiff , on accounts stated between them.
7. And for that the Defendant , on the _____ day of _____, 193
by h promissory note now overdue, promised to pay to the Plaintiff , \$ _____
_____ after date, but did not pay the same.

8. And for that one _____

on the _____ day of _____, 193 , by h promissory note now overdue, promised to pay to the Defendant , or order \$ _____ after date; and the Defendant endorsed the same to the Plaintiff , and the said note was duly presented for payment, and was dishonored, whereof the Defendant had due notice but did not pay the same.

9. And for that the Plaintiff , on the _____ day of _____, 193 by h bill of exchange now overdue, directed to the Defendant , required the Defendant to pay to the Plaintiff _____ days after date, and the Defendant accepted the said bill but did not pay the same.

10. And for that the Defendant , on the _____ day of _____, 193 by h bill of exchange directed to _____ required _____ to pay Plaintiff \$ _____ days after date, and the said bill was duly presented for acceptance, and was dishonored, of which the Defendant had due notice, but did not pay the same.

And the Plaintiff claims \$ 30.60

D. Lindsay Baynham Attorney for Plaintiff

To the Defendant K. BERTHA HURST

TAKE NOTICE—That on the day of your appearance to this action in the BALTIMORE CITY COURT, you will be required to plead to said declaration in accordance with the Act of 1886, Chapter 184, or judgment by default will be entered against you.

D. Lindsay Baynham Plaintiffs Attorney.

FILED JUN 6 1936

341
70

277
2794
M.J.

IN THE

BALTIMORE CITY COURT

— May

Edwin W. Baker

vs.

K. Bertha Hurst

DEMAND FOR BILL OF PARTICULARS

Service of Copy of Demand for
Bill of Particulars admitted
this 5th day of June, 1936.

40, Lindsay B. Reynolds

Mr. Clerk: Please file.

Thurgood Marshall

Defendant's Attorneys.

THURGOOD MARSHALL

ATTORNEY AT LAW

PHOENIX BUILDING

4 E. REDWOOD STREET

BALTIMORE, MD.

FILED

FILED JUN 6 1936

EDWIN W. BAKER

vs.

K. BERTHA HURST

:
:
:
:
:
:
:

IN THE

BALTIMORE CITY COURT

The defendant, K. Bertha Hurst, by Charles H. Houston and Thurgood Marshall, her attorneys, demands the particulars of the plaintiff's claim.

Thurgood Marshall

Charles H. Houston

Defendant's attorneys.

No. 194 April R. D., 193 6

Baltimore City Court.

E. H. Baker

vs.

K. B. Hurst

1008 W. Lafayette Ave

WRIT OF SUMMONS

Cop of of Nar and Notice to plead
within to be served on defendant.

D. J. Baynham
Attorney for Plaintiff

Filed **FILED MAY 12 1936** day of 1936 193

13

Non est
Subato

Joseph C. Deegan
Sheriff

APR 13 1936 RECEIVED TO MAY 20 1936

Summoned and on a copy of Mar and Notice to
Plead left with the Defendant Joseph C. Deegan
Sheriff.
Dues \$0.95

chipato
5/7/36

WRIT OF SUMMONS

STATE OF MARYLAND

BALTIMORE CITY, to wit.

To the Sheriff of Baltimore City, Greeting:

You are commanded to summon

Re Bertha Hurst.

of Baltimore City, to appear before the Baltimore City Court, to be held at the Court House in the same City, on the second Monday of *April (next)* ~~next~~, to answer an action at the suit of

Edwin H. Baker

and have you then and there this writ.

Witness the Honorable SAMUEL K. DENNIS, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore

City, the *13* day of *Jan* 193 *6*

Issued the *11* day of *April* in the year 193 *6*

James B. Blake Clerk.

341

70

227
m

IN THE

BALTIMORE CITY COURT

EDWIN W. BAKER

VS.

K. BERTHA HURST

JUDGMENT OF NON PROS

Mr. Clerk:

Please file, etc.

Thurgood Marshall

THURGOOD MARSHALL

ATTORNEY AT LAW

PHOENIX BUILDING

4 E. REDWOOD STREET

BALTIMORE, MD.

FILED

23rd June 1936

EDWIN W. BAKER

vs.

K. BERTHA HURST

:

: IN THE BALTIMORE

: CITY COURT

:

The Defendant, K. Bertha Hurst, moves for a Judgment of Non Pros for failure of the Plaintiff to file a Bill of Particulars according to the rules of Court.

Charles H. Houston
Thurgood Marshall
Defendant's Attorneys

ORDERED that the judgment be entered as prayed, this twenty-third day of June, 1936.

X

Rogene O'Sullivan

*OK
Rogene*