IN THE

CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALTIMORE CITY

1934

CARRIE BELL MOODY

VS

CHARLES OGLE MOODY

12 29306

ORDER FOR PUBLICATION

Mr. Clerk:

Please file etc.

Thusard Marchall.
Attorney for Plaintiff

THURGOOD MARSHALL

ATTORNEY AT LAW
604 PHOENIX BUILDING
BALTIMORE, MD.

FILED /5 aug 1934

HE DAILY BECORD OF BALTIMORE MD

CARRIE BELL MOODY

IN THE

\*

VS

CIRCUIT COURT OF

\*

CHARLES OGLE MOODY

BALTIMORE CITY

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### ORDER OF PUBLICATION

The object of this suit is to procure a divorce a vinculo matrimonii by the plaintiff, Carrie Bell Moody, for the defendant Charles Ogle Moody.

The bill recites the marriage in September, 1906 in Baltimore City; the plaintiff has been a resident of Maryland more than two years; defendant is a non-resident; defendant abandoned and deserted the plaintiff in September 1921, said abandonment and desertion has been continuous more than three years, was deliberate act of defendant; there is no hope of reconciliation.

Lagut 1 Demus

True Copy --- Test

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FO BALTIMORE CITY CARRIE BELL MOODY Vs. CHARLES OGLE MODDY BILL OF COMPL Mr. Clerk: Please file etc.

THURGOOD MARSHALL

ATTORNEY AT LAW
604 PHOENIX BUILDING
BALTIMORE, Mp.

FILED Dugust 193

THE DAILY RECORD OF BALTIMORE MD

CARRIE BELL MOODY \* IN THE

That your eratrix may be diversed a vinculo matrimoni

2. That your cratrix may have such other and further relief

of the cause

CIRCUIT COURT OF

CHARLES OGLE MOODY \* BALTIMORE CITY

d abide by and perform

arder of publication, gl \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* ne sheent defendant, Charles

Ogla Mondy, of the object and substance of this Bill of Complaint

warning him to be and appear in this court at some certain day To the Honorable, the Judg/of said Court:

The bill of complaint of your oratrix respectfully represents unto your Honor as follows:

- 1. That your oratrix, Carrie Bell Moody, was married to the defendant, Charles Ogle Moody, in Baltimore Maryland in September 1906 by a minister of the gospel.
- 2. That your oratrix resides in Baltimore Maryland and has been a resident of the State of Maryland for more than two years last part.
- That the conduct of your oratrix towards her husband has always been kind, affectionate and above reproach.
- That on or about September, 1921, the defendant without just cause or provocation deserted and abandoned your oratrix; that said abandonment has continued uninterruptedly since that date, was the defendant's own deliberate and final act, and is beyond any reasonable hope or expectation of a reconciliation.
- 5. That there are no children living as a result of this marriage.
- That the defendant is not a resident of the State of Maryland and when last heard of was living in New Jersey. WHEREFORE, your oratrix prays.

- 1. That your oratrix may be divorced a vinculo matrimonii from the defendant, Charles Moody.
- 2. That your oratrix may have such other and further relief as the nature of the cause may require.

May it please your Honor, to grant unto your oratrix the order of publication, giving notice to the absent defendant, Charles Ogle-Moody, of the object and substance of this Bill of Complaint warning him to be and appear in this court at some certain day to be named herein, to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree as may be passed herein.

And as in duty bound, etc.

Thurgoed Marshall.
Attorney for Plaintiff.

Moodly vs.

Moody

Certificate of Publication

B39306

THE DAILY RECORD
Filed day of 1938

#### THE DAILY RECORD

Thurgood Marshall, Solicitor,
604 Phoenix Building.
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY—(B—453—1934)—Carrie Bell
Moody vs. Charles Ogle Moody.
ORDER OF PUBLICATION.
The object of this suit is to procure a
divorce a vinculo matrimonii by the plaintiff, Carrie Bell Moody, from the defendant, Charles Ogle Moody.
The bill recites the marriage in Sentem-The bill recites the marriage in September, 1906, in Baltimore City; the plaintiff has been a resident of Maryland more than two years; defendant is a non-resident; defendant abandoned and deserted the plaintiff in September, 1921, said aban-donment and desertion has been continuous more than three years, was deliberate act of defendant; there is no hope of reconcili-It is thereupon, ordered this 15th day of August, 1934, by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, that the plaintiff, by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some daily newspaper published in this city, once a week for four successive weeks, on or before the 15th day of September, 1934, give notice to said absent defendant of the object and substance of the bill and warning him to be and appear in this Court, in person or by solicitor, on or before the 1st day of October, 1934 to show cause, if any he may have. It is thereupon, ordered this 15th day of 1934, to show cause, if any he may have, why a decree should not be passed as prayed. SAMUEL K. DENNIS. True Copy-Test: CHAS. R. WHITEFORD,

Clerk.

au16,23,30,s6

THE DAILY RECORD

Per Carl Mc Commons

Car	rie Bel	l Moody
	v	s.
Char	les Ogl	e Moody
DE	POS	ITIONS
B N	. 3930	6
1	PLAINTIF	F'S COSTS
Examiner		\$
Copies		
Notices		
Sheriff		
Stenograp	her	
		\$
D	EFENDAN	T'S COSTS
Examiner		\$
Copies		
Notices		
Sheriff		
Stenograp	her	
		\$

Carrie Bell Moody

VS.

Charles Ogle Moody

# In the Circuit Court OF BALTIMORE CITY

A Decree Pro Confesso having been passed,
and notice having been given me by the Solicitor for thePlaintiff
of a desire to take testimony in the same, I, GEORGE ARNOLD FRICK, one
of the Standing Examiners of the Circuit Courts of Baltimore City, under and by
virtue of an order of the above named Circuit Court, passed in said cause on the
ninth day of October , 193.4 , met on
the 29th day of November in the year nineteen
hundred and thirtyfour, at my office, in the City of Baltimore, in the
State of Maryland, and assigned the 30th day of November
in the same year at 12.30 o'clock in the after noon and the
office of the Examiner in the City and State
aforesaid, as the time and place for such examination of witnesses in said cause;
at which last mentioned time and place I attended, due notice of such meeting
having been given, and proceeded in the presence of the Solicitorof the
Plaintiff to take the following deposition, that
is to say:

TESTIMONY taken at the office of George Arnold Frick, Examiner, on Friday, November 30th, 1934, at half past twelve o'clock in the afternoon.

Present: Thurgood Marshall, Counsel for Plaintiff.

#### Thereupon:

CARRIE BELL MOODY, the plaintiff, produced as a witness on her own behalf, being first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows, that is to say -

#### BY THE EXAMINER:

- 1 Q- State your name, residence and occupation?
- A- Carrie Bell Moody, 520 W.Hoffman Street, Baltimore, Maryland, housework now.
- 2 Q- You are the plaintiff in this proceeding?
  - A- Yes, sir.
- 3 Q- How long have you known the defendant, Charles 0. Moody?
  - A- Practically all my life.

#### Carrie B. Moody .

#### BY MR . MARSHALL:

- 4 Q- Mrs. Moody, have you been a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland for more than two years prior to the filing of this suit?
- A- I have been a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland, all my life, continuously. I was born here.
- 5 Q- When were you married?
  - A- On September 16th, 1906.
- 6 Q- Where?
  - A- At Baltimore.
- 7 Q- By a minister?
  - A- Yes, by Reverend George W. West.
- 8 Q- Mrs. Moody, were any children born as a result of your marriage?
  - A- There were two.
- 9 Q- Are they living at the present time?
  - A- Both are dead.
- 10 Q- During the time you lived with Mr. Moody, your husband, were you always a kind, faithful and affectionate wife towards him?
  - A- I was.
- 11 Q And did you ever give him any cause to be disagreeable or to doubt you in any way?
  - A- I did not.

#### Carrie B. Moody.

- 12 Q- Are you and your husband living together now?
  - A- No.
- 13 Q- When did you separate?
  - A- In September, 1921.
- 14 Q- Which one left the other?
  - A- He left me .
- 15 Q- When he left you did you give him any just cause or provocation to leave you at that time?
  - A- No, sir, I did not.
- 16 Q- Did he say anything when he left did he make any statement as to whether he was leaving you or anything of that sort?
  - A- No.
- 17 Q- He just packed up his clothes and left?
  - A- Yes.
- 18 Q- Had he at any time before that said he was not going to live with you?
  - A- No, he had not.
- 19 Q- Have you heard from him since that time?
  - A- Yes, I have.
- 20 Q- When?
  - A- I heard from him about five years ago.
- 21 Q- Did he say whether or not he was coming back to live with you or not?
- A- No, he said the depression got him in Atlantic City and he wanted to come to Baltimore.

#### Carrie B. Moody .

22 Q- Did he say he wanted to come to live with you or not?

A- No, he did not say so.

23 Q- Have you lived with him as husband and wife since the separation?

A- I have not.

24 Q- Have you seen him since that time you saw him five years ago?

A- I didn't see him five years ago, I just received a letter from him at that time.

25 Q- Have you seen or heard from him since that time?

A- Not since.

26 Q- Is there any hope on your part of a reconciliation between you two?

A- There is not.

27 Q- Is your husband a resident of the State of Maryland?

A- He is not at present.

28 Q- Do you know where he is?

A- No, I do not. I haven't heard from him for five years.

29 Q- When you heard from him where was he then?

A- Atlantic City, New Jersey.

30 Q- Since the time of the separation what has been

#### Carrie B. Moody.

your conduct - good or bad?

- A- I have been a good woman since that time. I lived where he left me for about seven years after he left and then I lived on Druid Hill Avenue one year in an apartment and then I moved to where I am now about four years ago.
- 31 Q- Do you wish to resume your maiden name?
  - A- Yes.
- 32 Q- What was your maiden name?
  - A- Carrie Bell.

#### QUESTION BY THE EXAMINER:

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be of benefit or advantage to the parties to this suit or either of them or that may be material to the subject of this your examination or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

Carrye Belle moody

Answer:

-6-

OLIVIA B. PAYNE, a witness of lawful age, produced on behalf of the plaintiff, being first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows, that is to say - BY THE EXAMINER:

- 1 Q- State your name, residence and occupation?
  - A- Olivia B. Payne, 1307 Druid Hill Avenue, Baltimore,
- I am most too old to work now, I only keep my home.
- 2 Q- Do you know the parties to this suit, Carrie Bell Moody and Charles Ogle Moody?
  - A- I do.
- 3 Q- How long have you known them?
- A- I have known Carrie practically all her life and I have known him since shortly after they got married.

#### BY MR. MARSHALL:

- 4 Q- Were you present at the wedding?
  - A- No. I was not.
- 5 Q- Did you see them after the wedding?
  - A- Oh, time and again.
- 6 Q- Did they live together as man and wife and hold themselves out as such and did people in the community consider them as man and wife?
  - A- Yes.

#### Olivia B. Payne.

- 7 Q- You live in the community where they lived?
  - A- I am living where I am now forty-five years.
- 8 Q- Mrs. Payne, during the time you have known the plaintiff, Mrs. Moody, has she been a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland all of that time?
  - A- All her life.
- 9 Q- Were any children born as a result of her marriage?
  - A- Two.
- 10 Q- Are they living or dead?
  - A- Both dead.
- 11 Q- During the time you knew them to live together as husband and wife what was Mrs.Moody's conduct as to whether or not she was a good wife?
  - A- I really think she was a good woman.
- 12 Q- Was she a good wife to Mr. Moody?
- A- Yes, I firmly believe it, and I have been in her house repeatedly.
- 13 Q- Are they living together now?
  - A- No.
- 14 Q- Which one left the other?
  - A- He left Carrie.
- 15 Q- Did he say whether or not he was coming back or not?
  - A- I didn't hear him say so.

#### Olivia B. Fayne.

- 16 Q- Did she give him any just cause or reason to leave?
  - A- I couldn't say she did.
- 17 Q- As far as you know did she?
  - A- No, she did not.
- 18 Q- Have you heard from him since or about him?
- A- No. I have seen him once passing my house when I was cleaning, that has been before my husband died, that was eleven years ago but he didn't say anything to me or I to him.
- 19 Q Do you know about when they separated?
  - A- In 1921, if I am not mistaken.
- 20 Q- Do you think/there is any hope of a reconciliation between these two parties?
  - A- No, I don't.
- 21 Q- Do you know whether or not he is a resident of the State of Maryland?
- A- He is not, I am sure. He was in Atlantic City, New Jersey, the last I heard.

#### QUESTION BY THE EXAMINER:

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be of benefit or advantage to the parties to this suit or either of them or that may be material to the subject of this your examination or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

Answer: 18. Alwia & Payne

No other witne	esses being named or produced before me, I then at the
request of the Solicitor	of the Plaintiff
closed the depositions	taken in said cause, and now return them closed under my
hand and seal on this.	l2th day of
April	in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred
and thirty five	, at the City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland.
	Glorge Trusted Herek (SEAL)
	Examiner.
There are no	Exhibits with these depositions, to wit:
Plaintiff's	Exhibit
Defendant's	Exhibit
	·····
	Terras fresh Herek
	Examiner.
I, GEORGE A	RNOLD FRICK, the Examiner before whom the forego-
ing depositions were ta	ken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning a
day and taking the said	depositions upon two days, on both
of which I was employ	ed by the plaintiff and on none
by the defendant	General Maria
	Examiner.

453

# Circuit Court B453 1934 Docket No.

CARRIE BELL MOODY vs.

CHARLES OGLE MOODY

### Decree Pro Confesso

B No. 39306

Filed 9 day of Oct, 1934

CARRIE BELL MOODY

VS.

CHARLES OGLE MOODY

IN THE

## CIRCUIT COURT

**BALTIMORE CITY** 

		September	Term, 1934	
	The Defendant	CHARLES OGLE MOODY		having been duly
summo	oned (notified by Order	of Publication) to appear to the B	Bill of Complaint, and h	aving failed to appear
thereto	o, according to the exig	gency of the writ (said Order)		

September

October day of. It is thereupon this. in the year nineteen hundred and thirty- four by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, ADJUDGED, ORDERED and DECREED, that the complainant is entitled to relief in the premises, and that the Bill of Complaint be and is hereby taken pro confesso against the defendant CHARLES OGLE MOODY But because it doth not certainly appear to what relief the plaintiff is entitled, it is further ADJUDGED and ORDERED, that one of the Examiners of this Court, take testimony to support the allegations of the Bill.

Moland Heledams

B45 3 193 4	Circuit Court  Docket No
Car	iee B Moody
9 3	vs.
Char	les 6. Moody,
199	der of Reference and Report
d dead	Lotane.
Ded F	18 18 18 18 18 18
Ded B	No. 39306
Gerad at it buts of ourse or Eschark buts of Section 1997.	No. 39306

Carrie Bell Moody	IN THE	
vs.	Circuit C	Court
Charles Eglo Moody	OF BALTIMORE	CITY
	march	Term, 193 5
This case being submitted, without argument, it is o	rdered by the Court, this	24ts
6010	Teph Nue	aster, to report the
Report of Auditor  Bill for divorce a vinculo matrimoni husband on the ground of abandonment.  Defendant proceeded against as a non proven.	i, filed by the wif	37-42.
Plaintiff's residence in Baltimore C	ity for more than t	wo years prove
The marriage proven.		
The abandonment for three years, its	finality and the i	rreconcilabili
of the parties proven.		
A decree pro confesso was passed aga	inst the defendant	and more than
thirty days have since elapsed. Case r	eady for decree.	
		, 1
April 24, 1935	Jas. a. Lata Auditor and Master	ne

# CIRCUIT COURT

**B** 453

3-13-

No.

Docket

CARRIE BELL MOODY

VS.

CHARLES OGLE MOODY

## Decree of Divorce Recorded

Folio /5/ 193 5

B No. 39306

F927 april 1935

DECREE OF DIVORCE.	
CARRIE BELL MOODY	IN THE
	CIRCUIT COURT
vs.	
<i>vo.</i>	BALTIMORE CITY
HARLES OGLE MOODY	
	na - l
	March TERM, 1930
This cause standing ready for hearing and	d being duly submitted, the proceedings were by the Co
ead and considered.	01'1
It is Thereupon, This 27'	day of Upril , A. D. 193
y the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, Adjudge	ed, Ordered and Decreed, that the said
	CARRIE BELL MOODY
	d Carrie Bell Moody
And it is Further Ordered, That the sai ay the cost of this proceeding.	d Carrie Bell Moody  J. Frank Suffley

The within is a proper	decree to be passed in
this case	
	0-
Jas.a.	Latane
	Auditor and Master