

Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services

Maryland Police and Correctional Training Commissions

6852 4th Street • Sykesville • Maryland 21784 (410) 875-3400 • FAX (410) 875-3975 • V/TTY (800) 735-2258 • www.dpscs.state.md.us/agencies/mpctc.shtml

STATE OF MARYLAND

WES MOORE

ARUNA MILLER

CAROLYN J. SCRUGGS SECRETARY

CHRISTINA LENTZ ACTING DEPUTY SECRETARY ADMINISTRATION

ANNIE D. HARVEY ACTING DEPUTY SECRETARY OPERATIONS

VACANT ASSISTANT SECRETARY

ALBERT L. LIEBNO, JR. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

February 8, 2023

The Honorable Bill Ferguson The Honorable Adrienne A. Jones

President Speaker

Senate of Maryland Maryland House of Delegates

State House, H-107 State House, H-101

Annapolis, Maryland 21401 Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Re: Report required by Senate Bill 71/Ch. 60(2), 2021 (MSAR #13019)

Dear President Ferguson and Speaker Jones:

In July 2020, the Law Enforcement Body Camera (BWC) Task Force was formed by the General Assembly (HB739 Chapter 309, Acts of 2020). The Task Force was charged with studying economical methods for storing video and audio recordings made by police-worn body cameras. It also was to make recommendations that take into account the budgets of State, county, local and campus law enforcement agencies.

Legislative action under Senate Bill 71/Ch. 60(2), 2021 charged the Task Force to further study the implementation and the feasibility of requiring the use of body-worn cameras by police officers in counties and municipalities throughout the State, and making recommendations based on the study.

The Task Force consists of the below members, and is supported by the staff of the MD Police and Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC).

Delegate Jazz Lewis, Chair Senator Charles Sydnor, Vice-Chair Delegate David Moon Senator Justin Ready

Mr. Robert Bishop, MD Department of Information Technology Chief Antonio DeVaul, Takoma Park Police, MD Chiefs of Police Association

Mr. Ethan Hunt, Anne Arundel County Office of Law, MD Association of Counties

Secretary Michael Leahy, MD Department of Information Technology

Mayor Raymond Morriss, City of Cumberland, MD Municipal League

Sheriff Doug Mullendore, Washington County Sheriff, MD Sheriffs' Association Mr. Scott Nicewarner, Hagerstown City Administrator, MD Municipal League Captain Michael Pilkerton, St. Mary's County Detention & Rehabilitation Center, MD Correctional Administrators' Association

Councilmember Joseph Solomon, Hyattsville City Council, Prince George's County Municipal Association

Major Phil Tou, University of Maryland Police, International Association of Campus Law Enforcement Administrators

MPCTC Staff

Albert Liebno, Executive Director Katie Johnson, Administrative Support

The Task Force met December 20, 2021 and December 8, 2022. A compilation of meeting minutes is provided as an appendix. Both meetings were held virtually, led by chair Delegate Jazz Lewis, and livestreamed for the public.

In the December 2021 meeting, members discussed the draft legislation submitted to the House, which was based on the Task Force's recommendations. The goal of the meeting was to make sure no key items were omitted from the 2022 draft bill. Members also discussed a statewide storage system and the need to have enough bandwidth for use of all agencies accessing it for various functions at the same time.

The BWC Task Force met again in December 2022. Delegate Lewis provided an overview of the BWC legislation proposed and rejected in the 2022 session, which required BWC equipment in all Maryland Law Enforcement agencies by 2025. It also tasked the Department of Information Technology (DoIT) and the Department of General Services (DGS) to negotiate and institute a statewide contract for BWC equipment, storage and services. Incorrect language assigned fiscal responsibility to the Department of Public Safety & Correctional Services (DPSCS), of which the funding projection was an astronomical estimate.

The 2023 bill removes DPSCS involvement since that Department does not employ officers who use BWC equipment. It also explains that agencies can opt-in or opt-out of the statewide contract, thereby reducing the financial projections, which assumed that all agencies would be using the contract. The 2023 bill also assigns the Police Training & Standards Commission (PTSC) the duty of researching statewide storage options for BWC footage.

Members discussed the lack of appetite for the startup costs for BWC implementation, and encouraged task force members to contact the new administration to explain the need for seed funding for agencies. They discussed the objection to providing seed funding to agencies was due to the belief that BWC programs should be a part of standard policing going forward and not state funded. It was noted that while larger agencies may have the budgetary capabilities, smaller agencies will need financial assistance in order to install BWC programs.

cc: Sarah Albert, Department of Legislative Services (5 copies)

APPENDIX

Compilation of BWC Task Force Meeting Minutes

These "minutes" are a synopsis of the Task Force's discussion and decisions and not a verbatim rendering of the proceedings.

Law Enforcement Body Worn Camera Task Force *TELECONFERENCE* Minutes – 8th Meeting –December 20th, 2021

MEMBERS PRESENT

Delegate Jazz Lewis, Chair

Delegate David Moon

Senator Justin Ready

Mr. Robert Bishop for Secretary Michael Leahy, MD Department of Information Technology

Chief Antonio DeVaul, Takoma Park Police, MD Chiefs of Police Association (MCPA)

Mayor Raymond Morriss, City of Cumberland, MD Municipal League (MML)

Sheriff Doug Mullendore, Washington County Sheriff, MD Sheriffs' Association (MSA)

Mr. Scott Nicewarner, Hagerstown City Administrator, MD Municipal League (MML)

Major Phil Tou, University of Maryland Police, International Association of Campus Law Enforcement Administrators (IACLEA)

MEMBERS ABSENT

Senator Charles Sydnor, Vice-Chair

Captain Michael Pilkerton, St. Mary's County Detention & Rehabilitation Center, MD Correctional Administrators' Association (MCAA)

Councilmember Joseph Solomon, Hyattsville City Council, Prince George's County Municipal Association (PGCMA)

MPCTC STAFF PRESENT

Albert L. Liebno, Jr., Executive Director Katie Johnson, Registrar

GUESTS

Jennifer Young, Maryland General Assembly, Department of Legislative Services Henry Snurr, Delegate Lewis' Office

D'Paul Dibber, MD Association of Counties

Lt. Michael Johnson, Howard County Police, representing MD Association of Counties Michael Sanderson, MD Association of Counties

The 8th meeting of the Law Enforcement Body Worn Camera (BWC) Task Force was held via teleconference and livestreamed via Google Meets, and opened by the Chair, Delegate Jazz Lewis, shortly after 3:00pm.

Delegate Lewis stated there is a draft bill in the House based on the Task Force's recommendations. He said the goal of the meeting was to discuss the legislation to clarify any points and make sure no key items were omitted.

Delegate Lewis outlined the main points of the draft bill, which can be view here: <u>BWC Draft Bill</u> He noted that vendors already employed by agencies for BWC technology would be grandfathered into the new bill.

Members discussed the new bill contradicting with Federal Task Force officers under the Department of Justice laws. They also discussed the small minority of task force members who work under the authority of multiple agencies, and which policy they would follow. Delegate Lewis made note that a task force officer should default to the policy of whichever agency is facilitating the task force, whether it be County, State or Federal.

Members noted that a statewide storage system would need to have enough bandwidth for use of all agencies accessing it for various functions at the same time.

Delegate Lewis said that members could email any additional thoughts to his office, since there is time before the legislative session starts.

The meeting adjourned at 3:19 p.m.

Law Enforcement Body Worn Camera Task Force *TELECONFERENCE* Minutes – 9th Meeting –December 8th, 2022

MEMBERS PRESENT

Delegate Jazz Lewis, Chair

Delegate David Moon

Mr. Robert Bishop for Secretary Michael Leahy, MD Department of Information Technology (DoIT)

Chief Antonio DeVaul, Takoma Park Police, MD Chiefs of Police Association (MCPA)

Mr. Ethan Hunt, Anne Arundel County Office of Law, MD Association of Counties (MACo)

Mayor Raymond Morriss, City of Cumberland, MD Municipal League (MML)

Mr. Scott Nicewarner, Hagerstown City Administrator, MD Municipal League (MML)

MEMBERS ABSENT

Senator Charles Sydnor, Vice-Chair

Senator Justin Ready

Sheriff Doug Mullendore, Washington County Sheriff, MD Sheriffs' Association (MSA)

Captain Michael Pilkerton, St. Mary's County Detention & Rehabilitation Center, MD Correctional Administrators' Association (MCAA)

Councilmember Joseph Solomon, Hyattsville City Council, Prince George's County Municipal Association (PGCMA)

Major Phil Tou, University of Maryland Police, International Association of Campus Law Enforcement Administrators (IACLEA)

MPCTC STAFF PRESENT

Albert L. Liebno, Jr., Executive Director Katie Johnson, Recorder

GUESTS

Sam Jones, Legislative Aide for Senator Ready Madelyn Miller, Maryland General Assembly, Department of Legislative Services Sarah Sample, MD Association of Counties Michael Sanderson, MD Association of Counties Henry Snurr, Delegate Lewis' Office Jennifer Young, Maryland General Assembly, Department of Legislative Services

The 9th meeting of the Law Enforcement Body Worn Camera (BWC) Task Force was held via teleconference and livestreamed via Google Meets, and opened by the Chair, Delegate Jazz Lewis, at 10:00am.

Delegate Lewis provided an overview of the BWC legislation proposed in the last session, and supplied a link to House Bill 162. The bill required BWC equipment in all Maryland Law Enforcement agencies by 2025. It also tasked the Department of Information Technology (DoIT) and the Department of General Services (DGS) to negotiate and institute a statewide contract for BWC equipment, storage and services. Incorrect language assigned fiscal responsibility to the Department of Public Safety & Correctional Services (DPSCS), of which the funding projection was an astronomical estimate of \$82 million to start and \$13 million to annually maintain.

Delegate Lewis explained that this year's bill removes DPSCS involvement since that Department does not employee officers who use BWC equipment. It also explains that agencies can opt-in on the statewide contract, and aren't mandated to use the negotiated services. This should reduce the financial projections, which assumed that all agencies would be using the contract. The bill also assigns the Police Training & Standards Commission (PTSC) the duty of researching statewide storage options for BWC footage. At this time, some agencies have a working process for handling storage and redaction, while others are buried under the weight of data.

Delegate Lewis expressed disappointment in the lack of appetite for the startup costs for BWC implementation, and encouraged task force members to contact the new administration to explain the need for seed funding for agencies. He noted that the new scaled down version of the bill makes the project more affordable while still maintaining the fundamentals of the legislation.

Executive Director of the MD Police & Correctional Training Commissions (MPCTC), Mr. Albert Liebno, provided an update on PTSC actions regarding BWC. He noted that Senate Bill 71 updated the guidelines of usage and policy of BWC for Maryland law enforcement agencies. The PTSC had already published an approved model policy, and changed the language of the document from "recommended" to "required" in order to meet the conditions of the bill. The approved document has been published since February 2022.

Director of the MD Association of Counties (MACo), Mr. Michael Sanderson, provided a priority report. He noted that if the state leads the negotiations for BWC services, along with cost savings of a large contract, it would set a baseline for security and capabilities of the equipment and services. Subsequently, agencies would not need to duplicate efforts in regards to cyber security of BWC data.

Ms. Sarah Sample, Associate Policy Director of MACo, expressed the need to establish rules for redaction and release of footage as it relates to the protection of children, victims and witnesses. She said the association will model the Public Information Act language used in Senator Sydnor's previous drafts.

Delegate Lewis opened the discussion to any comments from members. Delegate David Moon explained that the objection to providing seed funding to agencies was due to the belief that BWC programs should be a part of standard policing going forward, and not state funded. Chief Antonio DeVaul noted that while larger agencies may have the budgetary capabilities, smaller agencies will need financial assistance in order to install BWC programs.

Delegate Lewis thanked participants for their time, and encouraged everyone to keep working! The meeting adjourned at 10:25am.

E4 2lr1097

D:11 Ma .	Drafted by: Voight
Bill No.:	Typed by: Elise
Requested:	$_{-}$ Stored $-11/15/21$
C	Proofread by
Committee:	Checked by

By: Delegate J. Lewis

A BILL ENTITLED

1 AN ACT concerning

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

21

Public Safety - Law Enforcement - Body-Worn Cameras

FOR the purpose of requiring and authorizing the Maryland Police Training and Standards Commission to take certain actions relating to body—worn cameras, including adding certain misconduct to a certain disciplinary matrix, adopting certain policies, providing certain training, and conducting certain audits; requiring certain law enforcement agencies in the State to require the use of body-worn cameras by certain law enforcement officers on or before a certain date and adopt certain policies relating to disclosure of recordings and data; requiring the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services, in coordination with the Department of Information Technology and the Commission, to negotiate certain contracts for certain law enforcement agencies and to establish and administer a certain statewide uniform storage and access system for certain body-worn camera data; requiring the Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services to be responsible for certain costs and expenses related to the use of body-worn cameras by certain law enforcement agencies; authorizing the Commission to request that the Executive Director of the Governor's Office of Crime Prevention, Youth, and Victim Services condition certain funding on certain action by a certain law enforcement agency; and generally relating to law enforcement and body—worn cameras.

20 BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,

Article – Public Safety

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.

[Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



2lr1097

1	Section 3–101
2	Annotated Code of Maryland
3	(2018 Replacement Volume and 2021 Supplement)
4	(As enacted by Chapter 59 of the Acts of the General Assembly of 2021)
5	BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
6	Article – Public Safety
7	Section 3–105
8	Annotated Code of Maryland
9	(2018 Replacement Volume and 2021 Supplement)
10	(As enacted by Chapter 59 of the Acts of the General Assembly of 2021)
11	BY repealing and reenacting, without amendments,
12	Article – Public Safety
13	Section 3–201(a) and (d)
14	Annotated Code of Maryland
15	(2018 Replacement Volume and 2021 Supplement)
16	BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
17	Article – Public Safety
18	Section 3–511
19	Annotated Code of Maryland
20	(2018 Replacement Volume and 2021 Supplement)
21	(As enacted by Chapter 60 of the Acts of the General Assembly of 2021)
22	BY adding to
23	Article – Public Safety
24	Section 3–511.1 through 3–511.6
25	Annotated Code of Maryland
26	(2018 Replacement Volume and 2021 Supplement)
27	SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND
28	That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:
29	Article - Public Safety
30	3–101.

2lr1097

- 1 (a) In this title the following words have the meanings indicated. 2 "Administratively charged" means that a police officer has been formally (b) accused of misconduct in an administrative proceeding. 3 "Disciplinary matrix" means a written, consistent, progressive, and 4 (c) transparent tool or rubric that provides ranges of disciplinary actions for different types of 5 misconduct. 6 7 (d) "Exonerated" means that a police officer acted in accordance with the law and 8 agency policy. "Law enforcement agency" has the meaning stated in § 3–201 of this title. 9 (e) "Not administratively charged" means that a determination has been made 10 (f) not to administratively charge a police officer in connection with alleged misconduct. 11 12 "Police misconduct" means a pattern, a practice, or conduct by a police officer (g) 13 or law enforcement agency that includes: 14 (1) depriving persons of rights protected by the constitution or laws of the State or the United States; 15 a violation of a criminal statute; and 16 (2)17 a violation of law enforcement agency standards and policies. (3) "Police officer" has the meaning stated in § 3–201 of this title. 18 (h) "Serious physical injury" has the meaning stated in § 3-201 of the Criminal 19 (i) Law Article. 20
- 21 (j) "Superior governmental authority" means the governing body that oversees a 22 law enforcement agency.
- 23 (k) "Unfounded" means that the allegations against a police officer are not 24 supported by fact.

)5.

5

6 7

2	(a) (1)	The	Maryland	Police	Training	and	Standards	Commission	shall
3	develop and adopt	, by re	egulation, a	model	uniform di	sciplin	nary matrix	for use by eac	h law
4	enforcement agend	y in t	he State.						

- (2) THE MODEL UNIFORM DISCIPLINARY MATRIX DEVELOPED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION SHALL ADDRESS MISCONDUCT RELATED TO THE IMPROPER USE OF BODY-WORN CAMERAS, EQUIPMENT, AND TECHNOLOGY.
- 8 (b) Each law enforcement agency shall adopt the uniform State disciplinary 9 matrix.
- 10 (c) (1) Within 15 days after an administrative charging committee issues an administrative charge against a police officer, the chief of the law enforcement agency shall offer discipline to the police officer who has been administratively charged in accordance with the disciplinary matrix.
- 14 (2) The chief may offer the same discipline that was recommended by the 15 administrative charging committee or a higher degree of discipline within the applicable 16 range of the disciplinary matrix, but may not deviate below the discipline recommended by 17 the administrative charging committee.
- 18 (3) If the police officer accepts the chief's offer of discipline, then the offered discipline shall be imposed.
- 20 (4) If the police officer does not accept the chief's offer of discipline, then 21 the matter shall be referred to a trial board.
- 22 (5) At least 30 days before a trial board proceeding begins, the police officer 23 shall be:
- 24 (i) provided a copy of the investigatory record;
- 25 (ii) notified of the charges against the police officer; and
- 26 (iii) notified of the disciplinary action being recommended.

2lr1097

25

(4)

1	3–201.
2	(a) In this subtitle the following words have the meanings indicated.
3 4 5 6	(d) (1) "Law enforcement agency" means a governmental police force, sheriff's office, or security force or law enforcement organization of the State, a county, or a municipal corporation that by statute, ordinance, or common law is authorized to enforce the general criminal laws of the State.
7 8	(2) "Law enforcement agency" does not include members of the Maryland National Guard who:
9 10	(i) are under the control and jurisdiction of the Military Department;
11 12	(ii) are assigned to the military property designated as the Martin State Airport; and
13 14	(iii) are charged with exercising police powers in and for the Martin State Airport.
15	3–511.
16 17	(a) In this section, "law enforcement agency" has the meaning stated in \S 3–201 of this title.
18 19 20	(b) On or before January 1, 2016, the Maryland Police Training and Standards Commission shall develop and publish online a policy for the issuance and use of a body-worn camera by a law enforcement officer that addresses:
21	(1) the testing of body-worn cameras to ensure adequate functioning;
22 23	(2) the procedure for the law enforcement officer to follow if the camera fails to properly operate at the beginning of or during the law enforcement officer's shift;
24	(3) when recording is mandatory;

when recording is prohibited;

1		(5)	when recor	ding is discretionary;
2		(6)	when recor	ding may require consent of a subject being recorded;
3		(7)	when a rec	ording may be ended;
4		(8)	providing r	notice of recording;
5		(9)	access to a	nd confidentiality of recordings;
6		(10)	the secure	storage of data from a body-worn camera;
7		(11)	review and	use of recordings;
8		(12)	retention o	f recordings;
9		(13)	disseminat	ion and release of recordings;
10		(14)	consequenc	ees for violations of the agency's body-worn camera policy;
11	the commun	(15) nication		requirements when another individual becomes a party to he initial notification;
l3 l4	privacy in p	(16) rivate	specific pro	otections for individuals when there is an expectation of ces; and
15 16	and use of b	(17) ody–w		onal issues determined to be relevant in the implementation by law enforcement officers.
L 7	(c)	(1)	(i) This	paragraph applies to:
18			1.	the Department of State Police;
19			2.	the Anne Arundel County Police Department;
20			3.	the Howard County Police Department; and

2

3

4 5

6

1 4. th	he Harford County Sheriff's O	ffice
---------	-------------------------------	-------

- (ii) On or before July 1, 2023, a law enforcement agency to which this paragraph applies shall require the use of body—worn cameras, subject to the policy on the use of body—worn cameras developed by the law enforcement agency, by each law enforcement officer employed by the law enforcement agency who regularly interacts with members of the public as part of the law enforcement officer's official duties.
- On or before July 1, 2025, [a] ALL law enforcement [agency of a county]

 AGENCIES, other than a law enforcement agency described in paragraph (1) of this

 subsection, shall require the use of body—worn cameras, subject to the policy on the use of

 body—worn cameras developed by the law enforcement agency, by each law enforcement

 officer employed by the law enforcement agency who regularly interacts with members of

 the public as part of the law enforcement officer's official duties.
- 13 (d) (1) A law enforcement agency described in subsection (c) of this section 14 shall develop and maintain a written policy consistent with the policy published by the 15 Maryland Police Training and Standards Commission under subsection (b) of this section 16 for the use of body—worn cameras.
- 17 (2) A policy developed and maintained under paragraph (1) of this subsection shall specify which law enforcement officers employed by the law enforcement 19 agency are required to use body—worn cameras.
- 20 (e) A body-worn camera that possesses the requisite technological capability 21 shall automatically record and save at least 60 seconds of video footage immediately prior 22 to the officer activating the record button on the device.
- 23 (f) A law enforcement agency may not negate or alter any of the requirements or 24 policies established in accordance with this section through collective bargaining.
- 25 (G) (1) THE MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING AND STANDARDS
 26 COMMISSION MAY PROVIDE TRAINING TO LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS AND LAW
 27 ENFORCEMENT AGENCY EMPLOYEES REGARDING BODY-WORN CAMERA POLICIES
 28 AND THE USE OF BODY-WORN CAMERAS, EQUIPMENT, AND TECHNOLOGY.
- 29 **(2)** THE TRAINING PROVIDED BY THE MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING 30 AND STANDARDS COMMISSION UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION MAY

- 1 BE IN COORDINATION WITH ANY TRAINING OFFERED BY THE PROVIDER OR
- 2 MANUFACTURER OF THE BODY-WORN CAMERAS, EQUIPMENT, OR TECHNOLOGY.
- 3 (H) ALL BODY-WORN CAMERAS, EQUIPMENT, AND TECHNOLOGY USED BY
- 4 LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICERS SHALL BE INTEGRATED INTO THE STATEWIDE
- 5 UNIFORM STORAGE AND ACCESS SYSTEM UNDER § 3-511.2 OF THIS SUBTITLE.
- 6 **3-511.1.**
- 7 (A) (1) THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND CORRECTIONAL
- 8 SERVICES, IN COORDINATION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION
- 9 TECHNOLOGY AND THE MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING AND STANDARDS
- 10 COMMISSION, SHALL NEGOTIATE CONTRACTS TO ACQUIRE BODY-WORN CAMERAS,
- 11 EQUIPMENT, AND TECHNOLOGY FOR ALL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES UNDER §
- 12 **3–511** OF THIS SUBTITLE.
- 13 (2) THE CONTRACTS NEGOTIATED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS
- 14 SUBSECTION SHALL INCLUDE CONTRACTS FOR:
- 15 (I) BODY-WORN CAMERAS;
- 16 (II) A STATEWIDE UNIFORM STORAGE AND ACCESS SYSTEM FOR
- 17 BODY-WORN CAMERA DATA; AND
- 18 (III) ANY RELATED EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY DETERMINED
- 19 TO BE NECESSARY FOR THE EFFECTIVE USE OF BODY-WORN CAMERAS.
- 20 (B) THE CONTRACTS NEGOTIATED UNDER SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS
- 21 SECTION SHALL PRIORITIZE:
- 22 (1) EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY THAT COMPLIES WITH THE
- 23 POLICIES DEVELOPED BY THE MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING AND STANDARDS
- 24 COMMISSION UNDER § 3–511 OF THIS SUBTITLE;
- 25 (2) EQUIPMENT AND TECHNOLOGY THAT CONFORM TO INDUSTRY
- 26 STANDARDS AND BEST PRACTICES;
- 27 (3) CYBERSECURITY AND DATA PRIVACY;

1	(4)	COMPATIBILITY	WITH	DIFFERENT	EQUIPMENT	AND
2	TECHNOLOGY:					

- 3 **(5)** CAPABILITIES TO EFFECTIVELY VIEW, EDIT, REDACT, AND 4 TRANSFER DATA FROM BODY-WORN CAMERAS; AND
- 5 **(6)** COST EFFECTIVENESS.
- 6 $(C) \quad (1)$ THE RIGHT OF A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY TO RECEIVE 7 SERVICES FROM ANY ENTITY RELATED TO VIEWING, EDITING, REDACTING, OR 8 TRANSFERRING DATA FROM BODY-WORN CAMERAS MAY NOT BE RESTRICTED IN ANY MANNER. 9
- 10 THE MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING AND STANDARDS **(2)** COMMISSION SHALL APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE ANY REQUEST TO USE AN ENTITY 11 12 NOT SPECIFIED IN A CONTRACT NEGOTIATED UNDER SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION TO RECEIVE SERVICES RELATED TO VIEWING, EDITING, REDACTING, OR 13
- 14 TRANSFERRING DATA FROM BODY-WORN CAMERAS.
- 3-511.2. 15
- ON OR BEFORE JULY 1, 2023, THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY 16
- AND CORRECTIONAL SERVICES, IN COORDINATION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF 17
- INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND THE MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING AND 18
- STANDARDS COMMISSION, SHALL ESTABLISH AND ADMINISTER A STATEWIDE 19
- 20 UNIFORM STORAGE AND ACCESS SYSTEM FOR ALL BODY-WORN CAMERA DATA
- CAPTURED IN ACCORDANCE WITH § 3–511 OF THIS SUBTITLE. 21
- 22THE STATEWIDE UNIFORM STORAGE AND ACCESS SYSTEM UNDER SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION SHALL: 23
- 24ENABLE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES TO REMOTELY UPLOAD **(1)** DATA FROM BODY-WORN CAMERAS IN AN EFFICIENT MANNER; 25
- 26 **(2)** PROVIDE FOR AN ORGANIZED CATALOGING AND RETENTION OF 27BODY-WORN CAMERA DATA TO ENSURE EASE OF ACCESS AND MANAGEMENT;

4	۵\	
1	3)	ENABLE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES TO REMOTELY USE THE

- 2 STATEWIDE UNIFORM STORAGE AND ACCESS SYSTEM TO VIEW, EDIT, REDACT, AND
- 3 TRANSFER DATA FROM BODY-WORN CAMERAS;
- 4 (4) BE CAPABLE OF ADAPTING TO THE DIFFERENT SIZES AND NEEDS
- 5 OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES ACROSS THE STATE; AND
- 6 (5) CONFORM TO INDUSTRY STANDARDS AND BEST PRACTICES.
- 7 (C) THE STATEWIDE UNIFORM STORAGE AND ACCESS SYSTEM FOR
- 8 BODY-WORN CAMERA DATA SHALLBE THE CENTRAL LOCATION WHERE BODY-WORN
- 9 CAMERA DATA IS STORED AND ACCESSED BY LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES.
- 10 **3–511.3.**
- 11 THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND CORRECTIONAL SERVICES IS
- 12 RESPONSIBLE FOR ALL COSTS AND EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH THE USE OF
- 13 BODY-WORN CAMERAS BY A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY UNDER § 3-511 OF THIS
- 14 SUBTITLE, INCLUDING:
- 15 (1) THE INITIAL COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH BODY-WORN CAMERAS,
- 16 EQUIPMENT, AND TECHNOLOGY; AND
- 17 (2) ONGOING OPERATING COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE USE OF
- 18 BODY-WORN CAMERAS BY THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY.
- 19 **3–511.4.**
- 20 (A) (1) ON OR BEFORE JULY 1, 2023, THE MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING
- 21 AND STANDARDS COMMISSION SHALL DEVELOP AND PUBLISH ONLINE A POLICY
- 22 FOR THE DISCLOSURE OF BODY-WORN CAMERA RECORDINGS AND DATA TO THE
- 23 PUBLIC.
- 24 (2) THE POLICY DEVELOPED BY THE MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING
- 25 AND STANDARDS COMMISSION SHALL GIVE CONSIDERATION TO:
- 26 (I) PUBLIC INTEREST;

1	(II) TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY;
2	(III) INDIVIDUAL PRIVACY;
3	(IV) WHETHER THERE WILL BE ANY PREJUDICE TO AN ONGOING
4	INVESTIGATION;
5	(V) WHETHER ANY EDITS OR REDACTIONS ARE NECESSARY;
6	AND
7	(VI) ANY ADDITIONAL ISSUES DETERMINED TO BE RELEVANT TO
8 9	THE DEVELOPMENT OF A POLICY FOR THE DISCLOSURE OF BODY-WORN CAMERA RECORDINGS AND DATA TO THE PUBLIC.
10	(3) The policy for the disclosure of body-worn camera
11	RECORDINGS AND DATA TO THE PUBLIC SHALL BE DEVELOPED IN COMPLIANCE
12	WITH APPLICABLE LAWS AND REGULATIONS.
13	(B) ON OR BEFORE JULY 1, 2025, THE POLICIES DEVELOPED IN
14	SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION SHALL BE ADOPTED AND IMPLEMENTED BY ALL
15	LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES UNDER § 3–511 OF THIS SUBTITLE.
16	3-511.5.
17	(A) (1) THE MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING AND STANDARDS
18	
	3–511 OF THIS SUBTITLE TO EVALUATE THE IMPLEMENTATION OF BODY-WORN
20	CAMERA POLICIES AND THE USE OF BODY-WORN CAMERAS, EQUIPMENT, AND
21	TECHNOLOGY IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE LAWS AND REGULATIONS.
22	(2) THE SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES OF ANY AUDIT UNDER THIS
23	SUBSECTION SHALL BE DETERMINED BY THE MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING AND
24	STANDARDS COMMISSION.
25	(B) THE MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING AND STANDARDS COMMISSION
26	SHALL PROVIDE INFORMATION REGARDING THE AUDIT PROCESS TO A LAW
27	ENFORCEMENT AGENCY BEFORE AN AUDIT IS CONDUCTED.

- 1 (C) A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY SHALL MAKE AVAILABLE TO THE
- 2 MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING AND STANDARDS COMMISSION ALL EMPLOYEES,
- 3 RECORDS, AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEEMED NECESSARY BY THE MARYLAND
- 4 POLICE TRAINING AND STANDARDS COMMISSION TO CONDUCT AN AUDIT
- 5 REQUIRED UNDER THIS SECTION.
- 6 **3-511.6.**
- 7 (A) (1) FOLLOWING AN AUDIT UNDER § 3–511.5 OF THIS SUBTITLE, THE
- 8 MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING AND STANDARDS COMMISSION SHALL REPORT A
- 9 VIOLATION OF ANY APPLICABLE LAW OR REGULATION RELATED TO BODY-WORN
- 10 CAMERA POLICIES OR THE USE OF BODY-WORN CAMERAS, EQUIPMENT, OR
- 11 TECHNOLOGY TO:
- 12 (I) THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY;
- 13 (II) THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND
- 14 CORRECTIONAL SERVICES; AND
- 15 (III) THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF CRIME PREVENTION, YOUTH,
- 16 AND VICTIM SERVICES.
- 17 (2) A REPORT UNDER THIS SUBSECTION SHALL REQUEST THAT THE
- 18 LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION TO CORRECT THE
- 19 VIOLATION.
- 20 (B) (1) THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY THAT RECEIVES A REPORT OF
- 21 AN APPARENT VIOLATION UNDER SUBSECTION (A) OF THIS SECTION SHALL SUBMIT
- 22 A WRITTEN RESPONSE IN A TIMELY MANNER TO:
- 23 (I) THE MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING AND STANDARDS
- 24 COMMISSION;
- 25 (II) THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY AND
- 26 CORRECTIONAL SERVICES; AND
- 27 (III) THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF CRIME PREVENTION, YOUTH,
- 28 AND VICTIM SERVICES.

1	(2) THE RESPONSE OF THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY SHALL
2	INCLUDE WHAT ACTIONS, IF ANY, WERE TAKEN AS A RESULT OF THE FINDINGS OF
3	THE AUDIT

- (C) IF AN AUDIT UNDER § 3-511.5 OF THIS SUBTITLE IDENTIFIES ANY VIOLATION BY A LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY, THE MARYLAND POLICE TRAINING AND STANDARDS COMMISSION MAY REQUEST THAT THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF CRIME PREVENTION, YOUTH, AND VICTIM SERVICES CONDITION FUNDING FROM THE STATE AID FOR POLICE PROTECTION FUND ON ACTION BY THE LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY TO REMEDY THE VIOLATION AND PREVENT REPEAT VIOLATIONS.
- SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall be construed to apply only prospectively and may not be applied or interpreted to have any effect on or application to any contract related to body—worn cameras negotiated before the effective date of this Act.
- SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect July 1, 2022, the effective date of Chapters 59 and 60 of the Acts of the General Assembly of 2021. If the effective date of Chapters 59 or 60 is amended, this Act shall take effect on the taking effect of Chapter 59 or 60, whichever is later.