

In the Baltimore City Court, —
Baltimore City, to wit: —
William Ruts, by John T. Mason,
his attorney, sues George Reuling,
For that the plaintiff, at the defendant's
request, retained and employed the
defendant as a surgeon and oculist
to attend upon the plaintiff's wife, and
endeavour to cure her of a disease,
under which she then labored,
for several. —

And the defendant accepted and
entered upon the said retainer and
employment; yet the defendant
conducted himself in an ignorant,
unskillful and negligent manner, ^{in that behalf} so
that the sight of the plaintiff's wife
was destroyed, whereby the plaintiff
lost the services of his wife, and has
incurred expenses in medical and
other attendance & endeavour to
cure her. —

And the plaintiff claims therefor
\$10,000. —

J. T. Mason, R.
att. for plff.

Box 33527

245
9

In the Post Office
City Bank

William Pitt

1st.

George Peckinp.

Declaration

Mr. Robertson

Some in this case stand
copy of the war with the
bill of the armed forces
against the bank and the
with accounts of the Q. in
numbers in Quincy 1878.

26090 of Masson's

at the bank

275

Feb April 1878

WRIT OF SUMMONS.

BALTIMORE CITY, to wit:

State of Maryland to the Sheriff of Baltimore City,

You are hereby commanded to summon *George Reulizza* ^{GREETING} *acc^{pt}*

of Baltimore City, to appear before the Baltimore City Court, to be held at the Court House in the same city,
on the second *Monday* of *May* next, to answer an action at
the suit of *William Ruth*

and have you then and there this writ.

WITNESS, the Honorable GEORGE WILLIAM BROWN, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of
Baltimore City, the *14* day of *January* 18 *78*

ISSUED the *18* day of *April* in the year of 18 *78*
W. L. Robertson CLERK.

No 27

Baltimore City Court.

William Ruths

VS

George Reuling

N. Charles. sh

Summoned *copias libe*

Philip M Snowden

sheriff

WRIT OF SUMMONS.

Copy of nar and ~~notice to plead~~ within
to be served on defendant.

J. T. Mason
(Mason)

William Rutch } Peter Poltione
 } City-Court
 } U. } Elroy Leroy
George Reuling } 1879.

It is agreed in the above entitled
Cause that all errors of pleading
shall be waived and that either
party may prosecute or defend
as they choose in any state of
the pleadings.

C. J. Morrison,
Atty. for p. l. t.
R. S. Morrison
Atty. for defendant

Route Baltimore
City - Conn.

William Harts

11,

George Pauling

Agnew

W. K. Johnson

Keasple to

E. H. Harris

for May 1879

In Baltimore City Court,

Richard & wife
~~*Henry & wife*~~

Jan Term, 187*80*

VS.

Reeling

No.

The *Defendant* in this case suggests to the Court here, that he believes he cannot have a fair and impartial trial in this Court, and prays the Court to order and direct the removal of the record of proceedings in his case to the Court of some adjoining Circuit for trial.

On this *25th* day of *February* A. D. 187*80* appears in open Court here *Henry Reeling* the *Defendant* in the above case and makes oath on the Holy Evangely of Almighty God, that the matters and things contained in the above suggestion are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

A. C. Robertson Clerk,

Baltimore City Court.

Ordered by the Court this *20th* day of *February* 187*80* that the record of proceedings in the above case be transmitted to the *Circuit* Court of *Howard County* for trial.

Henry A. Gares