

Q. But you could not now distinguished? A. The froth on the mouth would not even be considered in forming my opinion as I don't consider it of any value one way or the other.

RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION.

Q. You recall the wounds that the body showed, the bruise from the blow in the forehead, the bruised arms, both sides of the neck, the wounds on both knees and on the ankle and her hands, on her elbow, in your opinion, would those bruises have been caused all at one time, by one fall? A. Why there are some of those wounds that could not have been caused by a fall.

Q. Which ones are they? A. The wounds on the neck would never have been made by a fall. They are wounds caused by pressure, my opinion they were wounds due to some one grasping the woman around the neck and choking her.

Q. Much pressure? A. Sufficient to cause considerable contusion and some slight abrasions.

Q. From the location, nature and shape of those wounds would the party grasping that neck be relative to the subject? A. Behind.

Q. They would be behind? A. Yes, sir.

Q. In your opinion from the nature and appearance and construction of those wounds, would it be possible for Mrs. Brandon to have made those with her own hands? A. Impossible.

(Mr. Hartman): Impossible did you say?

A. Yes, sir.

RE-CROSS-EXAMINATION.

Q. A person being attacked with eclampsia, supposing that she was sitting from here to that gentleman over there (indicating) and she had one of those convulsions, falling to the floor very hard, produce those wounds on the knees and then gotten up and had another fall again and then have another, until she reached that bed? A. An eclampsia convulsion there and another one here and another one here followed right along, I don't believe you gentlemen know what eclampsia convulsions