

DELEGATE WHEATLEY: This is my intention, if in order, Mr. Chairman. I would like to so amend, that the section would strike out the "provided by law" and put in place of that the word "promote".

THE CHAIRMAN: "Provide by law for"?

DELEGATE WHEATLEY: "Shall promote" and take out "for" also; excuse me.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Gleason, for what purpose do you rise?

DELEGATE GLEASON: A parliamentary inquiry.

THE CHAIRMAN: State the inquiry.

DELEGATE GLEASON: Mr. Chairman, do I understand correctly that the "provided" has been changed to "promote", and the words "by law for" are deleted?

THE CHAIRMAN: The modification is to delete from line 14 the words "provide by law for" and insert the word "promote".

DELEGATE GLEASON: I wonder if the Chairman would consider substituting for the General Assembly the word "State"?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Wheatley.

DELEGATE WHEATLEY: Mr. Chairman, I could not speak for the Majority. I would certainly have no objection to it.

THE CHAIRMAN: I do not think you can amend the Committee Report in this way unless you are speaking for the Committee.

DELEGATE WHEATLEY: I would have to consult with them.

THE CHAIRMAN: Very well. As the Chair understands the change that you are making in line 14, it is a change by the Committee, is this correct?

DELEGATE WHEATLEY: That is correct.

THE CHAIRMAN: Very well. This is a change in section 2 as submitted by the Committee and not an amendment, in line 14, in section 2, the second line, strike the words "provided by law for" and insert the word "promote".

Delegate Mitchell.

DELEGATE MITCHELL: Mr. President, is it your ruling, or is it the will of the Committee—I wanted to get clear—as to whether the General Assembly alone should promote.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Mitchell, Delegate Wheatley, as Chairman or Vice-Chairman of the Committee, speaking for the Committee, has the privilege of changing the recommendations of the Committee so long as he speaks for the Committee. He says that he cannot speak for the Committee in changing the words "General Assembly" to "State". This could be submitted by an amendment later. We now have before us Amendment No. 3. Delegate Mitchell.

DELEGATE MITCHELL: The reason I say that is that some of the members of the Committee have informally suggested that they would accept that change.

THE CHAIRMAN: I would suggest to you that we will make faster time if we move ahead in this way, Delegate Mitchell.

DELEGATE MITCHELL: Mr. President, then I just want to speak briefly for the majority report as amended.

THE CHAIRMAN: In opposition to the amendment, I take it?

DELEGATE MITCHELL: In opposition to the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN: Very well, proceed.

DELEGATE MITCHELL: In opposition to the amendment presented by the minority.

In 1931 I came out of the School of Education of the University of Pennsylvania with a Bachelor's Degree in education. Back in those days, the educators of the University of Pennsylvania defined equal educational opportunity as Delegate Cardin and some of those who have spoken for the Minority Report defined it. Further, the Advisory Committee on Education of the Federal Government in a report in 1938 defined equal educational opportunity in those terms so that equal educational opportunity has never meant solely, or has never been measured solely, in terms of dollars.

I find further, I am concerned by the arrogance of some of our young lawyers and bright reformers who are not educators, who have not had a background as teachers. It may not appear to them contemptuous, but as we sit here as parents and former teachers, and some of us former educators, and listen to some of the educators who are authorities in the field speak and note the lack of respect on the part of some of the other members for their experience and educational attainment, I am very concerned.