

the attention of either the Convention or the Committee of the Whole what appears to be an error in Report of the Committee of the Whole Number 8, dealing with the action taken by the Committee of the Whole with respect to the judicial article?

THE CHAIRMAN: This is a matter that would have to be corrected before the Convention. Is the error in the Report itself, or in the attachment?

DELEGATE MARION: If by attachment you mean the article as amended by the Committee of the Whole, yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is correct.

DELEGATE MARION: In attachment.

THE CHAIRMAN: I would suggest that you let me know about that sometime during this morning and we will make the corrections at the next session of the Convention.

The first item on the agenda for the Committee of the Whole is the special order of business, consideration of Recommendation No. 1 of Committee Report EB-1. The present posture of that matter is that the Recommendation has been amended so that it now reads:

"The Committee recommends that a Board of Public Works be provided for in the Constitution."

The tie vote by which the recommendation as thus amended failed to be either approved or disapproved, was reconsidered. The matter is now in that situation.

The Chair recognizes Delegate Morgan.

DELEGATE MORGAN: Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment to Committee Recommendation No. 1 of Committee Report EB-1.

THE CHAIRMAN: State the amendment.

DELEGATE MORGAN: The Committee Recommendation No. EB-1 be amended to read as follows, and it is at the Clerk's Desk: "the Committee recommends that a board of review be provided for in the Constitution."

THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment, therefore, would be to strike the words, "Public Works", in line 14, and insert the word, "review".

Is the amendment seconded?

DELEGATE MORGAN: Also, to strike the word "the".

THE CHAIRMAN: That has already been done.

Is there a second?

(Whereupon, the motion was duly seconded.)

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any discussion?

Delegate Della?

DELEGATE DELLA: Mr. Chairman, will Delegate Morgan yield for a question?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Morgan?

DELEGATE MORGAN: I yield.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Della.

DELEGATE DELLA: Delegate Morgan, I see that you have a three-man board, known as the board of review, with the governor and comptroller.

Did your Committee consider naming the third person or the position of the third person, whether it be secretary of state or the director of planning, because you are leaving in mid-air the third person, that may be in the administrative interest of the state government.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Della, the Chair calls to your attention that the matter to which you refer is a matter covered by Amendment No. 10 of Committee Recommendation EB-1, adopted last evening. The only purpose of the change in the Committee Report at this point is to make it conform to the action taken last night with respect to the recommendation.

DELEGATE DELLA: Mr. Chairman, the only purpose in asking Delegate Morgan this question is my belief that it is proper that the position should be designated; whether we are considering it now, I am only mentioning it.

THE CHAIRMAN: That is my point.

We are not considering now the precise language.

DELEGATE DELLA: That is right.

I am only bringing to his attention and the Committee's attention that I think for good legislation, the third position should be named and not be left in mid-air.

THE CHAIRMAN: Very well.

Delegate Morgan?

DELEGATE MORGAN: Mr. Chairman, under the Committee's Recommendation of the draft of the executive article, there is