

the deed which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. Parcels 2 and 3 were conveyed to Daniel Palmer by a deed from Jacob Johnson, dated 5 April 1828, and recorded in Book JS 29, page 414, among the aforesaid Land Records, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

10. That Daniel Palmer never conveyed his interest in and to that real property described in Exhibit 3, which is also described as Parcels 2 and 3 on Exhibit 2.

11. Plaintiff and her predecessors in title have, for more than twenty years (20), been in possession of all of the real property shown in Exhibit 2, which possession has been actual, open, notorious, exclusive, hostile, continuous, and under a claim of title and ownership.

12. Plaintiff has made diligent efforts to learn the identities and locations of heirs of Daniel Palmer, to wit: she has spoken at length with Leah Spade of Wolfesville, Maryland, who has conducted extensive genealogical research of families in the Myersville-Wolfesville area. She has spoken with Fred S. Palmer, a descendant of a collateral descendant of Daniel Palmer.

13. The aforementioned Leah Spade has provided to the Plaintiff more than 20 pages of genealogical information as to the descendants of Daniel Palmer from which only Defendants Mildred Stamm and Hazel Blickenstaff can be determined as to identity and location.

14. The aforementioned Fred S. Palmer has no knowledge of the identity and location of any of the heirs of Daniel Palmer.

15. The attorney for the Plaintiff, John H. Tisdale, has searched the records maintained by the Register of Wills for Frederick County,