

Parcel 80, 43.57 acres, deed 940/538, as owned by Douglas F. Johnson, Jr. and Jean D. Johnson, his wife, is described as two parcels being a part of "Creager's Scheme", the first being Lot #3, metes and bounds = 8.19 acres and the second being Lot 4, metes and bounds = 35.38 acres. I traced these parcels back until they came out of 70.6 acres in a deed 779/583, owned by Joseph F. and Josephine A. Royer. I platted this 70.6 acres and it did not involve any of the questioned parcels. This 70.6 acres is carved from Parcel #3 of a deed recorded in 1939, in 416/211, into Ralph W. Miller and Esther M. Miller, his wife. This 73 acres went back to a deed in 1871, C.M. 7, folio 366, where it is still 73 acres. There was no being clause in this deed so I had reached a dead-end in tracing this parcel back any further. I would like to mention at this point that the description for the 70 acres has a line (13th: S 23° West 77 perch) that matches the outlines of the questioned parcel but does not cross over or indicate any portion thereof.

I then decided to trace back the parcel designated as 140, being 10.6 acres of land owned by Rodger K. Menzies by deed 831/602. I traced this 10 acres back until it comes out of 70.6 acres in a deed recorded in 779/583. This trail leads back the same way as Parcel 80 and comes to a dead-end in 1871.

The next parcel I tried was parcel 78. You will note that this 16 acre parcel owned by Rudolph E. Linder and Joanne M. Linder, his wife, by a deed recorded in 949/955, abutts the Dorsch questioned parcel. I traced this 16 acre parcel back to a deed in 1864, recorded in Liber J.W.L.C. 1, folio 173, owned by a Samuel Smith. There is no being clause in this deed and I could not go back any further. I did not take the time to plat this 16 acres because I am quite sure that it will just produce a picture the same as shown on the tax map. My hopes were to have it carved from a larger tract that could possibly be a portion of the questioned parcel.

Next I traced back the parcel of land as shown as Parcel 137, as owned by Thomas J. Toomey by a deed recorded in 895/203. This is a 31 acres, 3 rood and 27 square perch tract of land. This parcel is carved from a 79.935 acre parcel owned by Milton A. Hansen and wife, by a deed recorded in 627/418. I platted the 31 acres, 3 rood and 27 square perch in deed 895/203 and found a defective deed description. After running the courses backwards to make a determination of the exact location of the error, I was able to correct the description and to make the metes and bounds work. This platting is very similar to that as shown on the Tax Map as Parcel 37. This description referenced a tract of land called "Piney Mountain Estates Development". I can not locate a plat for this tract of land. I continued to trace back this 79.935 acres and found a metes and bounds in a deed 627/418. I then platted this tract of land. I then continued to trace this 79.935 acres back hoping that it would be carved out of a larger tract. No luck, this 79.935 acre parcel breaks down into five tracts: 39-1/2 acres and 28 perch, 5 acres, 2 rood and 19 perch, 9 acres, 9 acres and 60 perch and 10 acres, 2 rood and 23 square perch of land. I traced the 9 acres back to a deed recorded in J.L.J. 14, folio 450, in 1894. I then tried to trace back the 10 acres, 2 rood and 23 perch and ran into problems getting it back of 1893. I then gave up on trying to trace these back and decided to try another approach. When I platted the 79.935 acres there were two calls that referenced deed liber/folios that were not in my chain of title but that were clues, so I traced back these deed references (A.F. 7/458 and W.I.P. 9/125). I found