

6. The Defendant, Myrtle Sigler, for answer to Paragraph 6 of the Complaint states that she has no personal knowledge of whether or not the Plaintiffs appeared before the Mayor and Town Council of the Town of Brunswick on the day and date alleged but presume that they did so but denies categorically that anyone other than herself had any right to attempt to dedicate the said strip of land and that the Town of Brunswick was quite correct in their refusal to accept the offer of dedication from Mr. French who had no right to make that offer; defendant further answering says that John H. Tisdale, Esquire, had no authority whatsoever, either at Equity or at Law, for his statements contained in the 3rd and 4th Paragraph of the January 25, 1985, letter marked "Exhibit 4" of the originally Complaint.

7. For answer to Paragraph 7 of the Complaint, the Defendant, Myrtle Sigler, denies that at this time anyone other than herself and her invitees have any right to use the land in question for any purpose other than as permitted by her.

8. That for answer to Paragraph 8 of the Complaint the Defendant, Myrtle Sigler, denies generally the allegations contained therein; she denies that she was under any obligation to establish, maintain, or permit the use of the driveway across her property; she denies that the Planning Commission has any right to authorize the construction of a driveway across her land and calls attention to "Exhibit 2" filed with the original Complaint which clearly shows the so called driveway on Lot 2A. Lot 2A is 81 feet wide and the dimensions shown on the plat total 81 feet which takes the driveway shown only to the boundary line between Lot 2A and the Defendant's strip of land and does not indicate any extension unto defendant's land; the Defendant does further