

legation that said Wachter was induced to purchase said real estate by the representations of said trustee is utterly false and untrue. That he held out no inducement whatever to said Wachter, and the only promise made to said Wachter by said Levy was that he would loan him two thousand dollars to be secured by a first mortgage on the real estate sold him by said trustees, which promise said Levy has at all times and is now willing and ready to fulfil, and said Wachter and also his solicitor are aware of his willingness to fulfil his said promise.

That Charles C. Waters, the other trustee, denies that he held out any inducements whatever to said Wachter and says that the allegations contained in the second paragraph of said Wachter's answer in so far as they apply to him are utterly false and without foundation.

3. They deny the allegations of the third paragraph of said answer, and aver that by the methods afforded by the laws of the land, to-wit the Code of Public General Laws of the State of Maryland, your Honorable Court has jurisdiction to coerce said purchaser and prevent him from making sport of its orders and decrees.

4. They deny the allegations of the fourth paragraph of said answer, and say that this is a fit and very proper case for the exercise of the jurisdiction of your Honorable Court to compel a purchaser to comply with the terms of his purchase and to abide by and perform the orders and commands of your Honorable Court, (and petitioners respectfully submit that this Court has such jurisdiction,) for the reason that said purchaser if unable to comply has voluntarily placed himself in that position by fraudulently