

No other witnesses being named or produced before me and no further time being required for the examination of witnesses or the taking of further depositions in said cause, I then at the request of the solicitors for the respective parties closed the depositions so taken by me in said cause and now return the same to your Honorable Court, (Signed by some of the witnesses and the signatures of all the witnesses whose depositions were taken by the stenographer and clerks were waived by agreement of Solicitors) this 25th day of August, 1913, at the City of Frederick, State of Maryland, Witness my hand and seal.

D. Princeton Buckey (SEAL)
Examiner.

-Plaintiffs costs of Depositions-

D.P. Buckey, Examiner fee Feb, 19-Mch, 28 July, 12.	\$12.00
Miss Ruth Habercorn, Clerk	5.00
Jerry M. Dutrow, Witness, 1 day & Milage	3.25
J.H. Cline " " " "	3.25
Helen B. Stonebraker " " "	3.25
	<hr/>
	\$27.05
Frank L. Knode for copy depts, testimony	1.40
	<hr/>
	\$28.45

Defendants cost of Depositions.

D.P. Buckey, Examiner fee May 21, & July 12	\$8.00
Frank L. Knode, Clerk & Sten.	4.90
H.J. Mentzer, Witness and milage	3.25
S.K. Yaukey " " "	3.25
	<hr/>
	\$15.98

I hereby certify that the above costs are correct.

D. Princeton Buckey.
Examiner.

Filed August 25th, 1913.

H. Edith Thompson and
G. Livingston Thompson,
her husband.
vs.
Helen D. Rowland.

&
%
&
%
&
%
&
%

No. 8904 EQUITY.
In the Circuit Court for
Frederick County,
In Equity.

-----oooOooo-----

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGES OF SAID COURT!

The Complainants, H. Edith Thompson, and G. Livingston Thompson, her husband, by Levin Stonebraker, their Solicitor, excepts and objects to the admissability of the testimony given by the witnesses, J.H. Mentzer, on May 21, 1913, in answer to question 9, on page one, of said testimony, because (a) the said answer was not responsive to the question asked, (b) because said witness was not qualified as an expert on the value of the real estate in that community; (c) because the same is immaterial and irrelevant) and for other reasons to be assigned at the hearing.

Also to the testimony of said witness given answer to questions 10 and 11, on page two, of said testimony because (a) said witness was not qualified as an expert, in said matters; (b) because the same is immaterial and irrelevant; (c) and for other reasons to be assigned at the hearing.

Also to the admissability of the testimony given by Helen D. Rowland, in answer to question 5, on page six, because (a) The same is immaterial and irrelevant; (b) and for other reasons to be assigned at the hearing.

ALSO to the testimony of said witness given in answer to question 7, on page six, because (a) the said question is leading; (b) because the same is immaterial and irrelevant; (c) and for other reasons to be assigned at the hearing.

ALSO to the testimony of said witness, given in answer to question 10, on page six and seven, of said testimony; (a) because said witness was not qualified as an expert; (b) because said witness had stated in her prior examination, that she did not know the value thereof; (c) because said question was leading; (d) and for

other
ALSO
beca
irre.
ALSO
page
the
(o)
hear
ALSO
thin
be s
File
H.E
Liv
Her
Hel
TO
Cou
The
Cor
af
no
nw
Ci
of
of
Tr
Th
in
p
i
Y
a
s
b
r
Y
s